ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

AGENDA

BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING
Thursday, January 24, 2019
6:00 P.M.

Regional Fire Operations and Training Center
Board Room
1 Fire Authority Road
Irvine, CA 92602

This Agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. Except as otherwise provided by law, no action or discussion shall be taken on any item not appearing on the following Agenda. Unless legally privileged, all supporting documents, including staff reports, and any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Board of Directors after the posting of this agenda are available for review at the Orange County Fire Authority Regional Fire Operations & Training Center, 1 Fire Authority Road, Irvine, CA 92602 or you may contact Sherry A.F. Wentz, Clerk of the Authority, at (714) 573-6040 Monday through Thursday, and every other Friday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. and available online at http://www.ocfa.org.

If you wish to speak before the Fire Authority Board, please complete a Speaker Form identifying which item(s) you wish to address. Please return the completed form to the Clerk of the Authority prior to being heard before the Board. Speaker Forms are available at the counters of both entryways of the Board Room.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, you should contact the Clerk of the Authority at (714) 573-6040.

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION by OCFA Senior Chaplain Dave Keehn

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE by Director Rossini

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF OFFICE FOR NEW MEMBERS

ROLL CALL

1. PRESENTATIONS
   No items.
PUBLIC COMMENTS

Resolution No. 97-024 established rules of decorum for public meetings held by the Orange County Fire Authority. Resolution No. 97-024 is available from the Clerk of the Authority.

Any member of the public may address the Board on items within the Board’s subject matter jurisdiction but which are not listed on this agenda during PUBLIC COMMENTS. However, no action may be taken on matters that are not part of the posted agenda. We request comments made on the agenda be made at the time the item is considered and that comments be limited to three minutes per person. Please address your comments to the Board and do not engage in dialogue with individual Board Members, Authority staff, or members of the audience.

The Agenda and Minutes are now available through the Internet at www.ocfa.org. You can access upcoming agendas on the Monday before the meeting. The minutes are the official record of the meeting and are scheduled for approval at the next regular Board of Directors meeting.

REPORTS

REPORT FROM THE BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR

REPORT FROM THE CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMITTEE CHAIR

REPORT FROM THE FIRE CHIEF

• Introductions of new Assistant Chief of Business Services and Communications Director
• Administration of Oath of Office – Arson Canine and Demonstration
• FY 2018/19 Performance Update
• Canyon Fire 2 Update

2. MINUTES

A. Minutes from the November 15, 2018, Regular Board of Directors Meeting
   Submitted by: Sherry Wentz, Clerk of the Authority

   Recommended Action:
   Approve as submitted.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. FY 2018/19 Mid-Year Financial Report
   Submitted by: Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief/Administration & Support Bureau
   Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: APPROVE
   Recommended Action:
   Direct staff to return to the Board of Directors in March 2019 for approval of the proposed budget adjustments for the FY 2018/19 budget.

B. Annual Grant Priorities for 2019
   Submitted by: Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief/Administration & Support Bureau
   Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: APPROVE
   Recommended Action:
   Approve OCFA’s Annual Grant Priorities for 2019.
C. Disbanding Claims Settlement Committee and Delegation of Settlement Responsibilities  
Submitted by: Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief/Administration & Support Bureau  
Claims Settlement Committee Recommendation: To be reported out during the Claims Settlement Committee Chair’s Report  
Recommended Actions:  
1. Disband the Claims Settlement Committee.  
2. Delegate authority to approve settlements of workers’ compensation claims under $250,000 and settlements of all other claims and lawsuits under $50,000 to the Fire Chief.  
3. Authorize conforming changes to Roles/Responsibilities/Authority Matrix and the Board Rules of Procedure.  

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR  

4. PUBLIC HEARING(S)  
No items.  

5. DISCUSSION CALENDAR  

A. Organizational Service Level Assessments  
Submitted by: Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief/Administration & Support Bureau  
Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: APPROVE  
Recommended Action:  
1. Approve and authorize the Purchasing Manager to issue individual Purchase Orders to Citygate Associates, LLC, under the general terms and conditions of the previously authorized Master Agreement, for each of the following Service Level Assessments:  
   a. Emergency Command Center - $192,026  
   b. Emergency Medical Services - $106,842  
   c. Fleet Services - $92,922  
   d. Field Deployment Services – $122,061  
   e. Executive Leadership Team/Human Resources, Integrated Strategic Planning - $186,874  
2. Direct staff to increase expenditures in the FY 2018/19 General Fund (121) budget by $700,725 to fund the Service Level Assessments outlined above for 2019.  

ELECTION OF BOARD CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR  

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS
CLOSED SESSION

CS1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) and (e)(5) – Significant Exposure to Litigation (1 case)

CS2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) – Significant Exposure to Litigation (1 case)

CLOSED SESSION REPORT

ADJOURNMENT – The next regular meeting of the Orange County Fire Authority Board of Directors is scheduled for Thursday, February 28, 2019, at 6:00 p.m.

AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing Agenda was posted in the lobby, front gate public display case, and website of the Orange County Fire Authority, Regional Fire Operations and Training Center, 1 Fire Authority Road, Irvine, CA, not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting. Dated this 17th day of January 2019.

Sherry A.F. Wentz, CMC
Clerk of the Authority

UPCOMING MEETINGS:

Human Resources Committee Meeting
Tuesday, February 5, 2019, 12 noon

Budget and Finance Committee Meeting
Wednesday, February 13, 2019, 12 noon

Claims Settlement Committee Meeting
To be determined by Board action

Executive Committee Meeting
Thursday, February 28, 2019, 5:30 p.m.

Board of Directors Meeting
Thursday, February 28, 2019, 6:00 p.m.
At the May 24, 2018, Board of Directors meeting, staff presented the findings from three separate reviews which followed the Canyon 2 Fire: Independent Review Panel (IRP), County Board of Supervisors, and OCFA After-Action Report (AAR). The Board subsequently directed staff to review and evaluate the recommendations from each of these reviews and implement a plan to enhance emergency operations and service delivery.

In total, 90 recommendations were identified from the three reviews. I’m happy to report that 46% have been implemented, 11% are currently in progress, and 32% are pending implementation. Of those that are pending implementation, a number are anticipated to be addressed by the Division Chief overseeing the Emergency Command Center, which was recently filled in December of 2018.
## Canyon 2 Fire Recommendations

### In-Progress (10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(IRP 1B)</strong> OCFA ECC must periodically review, and train dispatch staff relative to dispatch SOPs, protocols, directives and required notifications.</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Ongoing process in ECC with supervisory and dispatch Staff. New shift schedule implemented, and additional Duty Officer oversight added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(IRP 2.C)</strong> The OCFA and OCSD needs to resolve conflicts through the revision of the joint MOU language to be determined and build a homogenous public safety aviation program within Orange County.</td>
<td>Special Ops DC</td>
<td>Operating plan signed; operations adjusted and monthly meetings between OCFA and OCSD; approaching six-month re-assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(BOS 8B)</strong> OCFA and Sheriff helicopter crews should continue to look for and implement opportunities to work together, such as joint training, including Sheriff helicopters in the ROSS system, the integration of Fire paramedics on Sheriff helicopter crews, the use of Sheriff helicopter cameras to record fire progression and relay real-time video of fires as needed, and the examination of the Ventura and San Diego models to identify and implement workable solutions for Orange County.</td>
<td>Special Ops DC</td>
<td>In progress. Continued focus on rescue missions and integration into fire responses has been implemented. A joint facility will be considered as a part of JWA master plan development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(BOS 8.C)</strong> OCFA should consider placing cameras on its helicopters and acquiring a real-time video capacity that can be sent to Dispatch and Incident Commanders.</td>
<td>Special Ops DC</td>
<td>In progress. Staff has been working with General Atomics to bring real-time intelligence, sensing, and reconnaissance (ISR) to incident commanders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(BOS 11)</strong> OCFA management and line staff should make decisions based on whether those decisions align with the organization’s strategic foundation: vision, mission, strategic goals, motto. Decisions made should be based on what is in the best interests of the citizens of Orange County, minimizing the parochial interests of employee associations, political considerations, or squabbles between public safety agencies.</td>
<td>Fire Chief</td>
<td>Organizational assess of mission, values, and goals is underway. Initiated Mission Driven Culture (MDC) training for mission command.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(AAR 3)</strong> Complete the re-design of the DOC, consider future technologies, and implement as available during re-design and construction to provide for additional space and functionality.</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Plans for DOC improvements have been approved. Awaiting start of construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(AAR 7)</strong> Develop collaborative strategies to work with the city EOC staff.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
<td>Orientation and additional training scheduled for February and March.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(AAR 11I)</strong> Evaluate how the 48-hour shift schedule impacts the ability to call back employees during major emergencies.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
<td>Operations Chief assessing options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(AAR 20A)</strong> Evaluate the replenishment of all equipment caches at the RFOTC. (i.e. communications kit, EMS, iPads and other)</td>
<td>EMS A/C</td>
<td>FY 18/19 budget request submitted for needed EMS equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(AAR 34)</strong> Develop a deeper pool of personnel who can use SCOUT and initiate an incident in the program. Train all Administrative Captains on the use of SCOUT.</td>
<td>Ops Training</td>
<td>Underway. Incident Management Team members have accounts and training. Tool has been used on a number of incidents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 1A</strong> When a call comes in, the call takers</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>This is a part of the call taking process that is trained on and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and/or dispatchers need to determine, to the best</td>
<td></td>
<td>reinforced with all ECC staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of their ability, the response location and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agency having jurisdiction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 4A</strong> Metro Net, OCFA and other dispatch</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Direction was provided to clarify following Canyon 2 incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agencies need to automatically dispatch a</td>
<td></td>
<td>and updates to SOP OP 06.67 further support this directive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>respective watershed response initially if the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reported location is within the MTZ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 4B</strong> Monitor the Post Canyon 2 Fire</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Both agencies continue to send responses into MTZ reports of fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispatch procedures by Metro Net and OCFA to</td>
<td></td>
<td>under a common communication plan. New CAD2CAD solutions will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improve the dispatch process.</td>
<td></td>
<td>provide additional functionality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 5A</strong> OCFA should automatically dispatch a</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Direction was provided to clarify following Canyon 2 incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watershed response whenever a fire is reported at</td>
<td></td>
<td>and updates to SOP OP 06.67 further support this directive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a location with the MTZ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 5.B</strong> OCFA should review and improve its</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Ongoing process in ECC with supervisory and dispatch staff. Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispatch training, protocols and process for wild-</td>
<td></td>
<td>to SOP OP.06.67 have been reviewed with staff. Wildland application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>land/brush fires reported within the MTZ.</td>
<td></td>
<td>deployed to iPads to improve field awareness. Duty Officers added to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 5.C</strong> If there is any doubt or difficulty</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>ECC for operational oversight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determining jurisdictional authority in a MTZ and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or across boundaries, dispatch the appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response per the inter-agency agreement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 6.A</strong> OCFA should review and monitor its</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
<td>Implemented. Prepositioning efforts have reduced instances for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new “backfill” policies and procedures to ensure</td>
<td></td>
<td>unforeseen personnel recall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“best practices” when filling immediate need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response coverage inside and outside the County.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 6.B</strong> The Duty Chief must exercise</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
<td>Operations Assistant Chief assessing and implementing prepositioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management discretion, when evaluating and</td>
<td></td>
<td>for significant weather events (fire and winter storm) using Cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confirming the selection of pre-designated strike</td>
<td></td>
<td>OES and CAL FIRE prepositioning frameworks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>team based on current and forecasted weather and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staffing conditions prior to dispatch.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 6.D</strong> OCFA should review the 48/96-work</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
<td>Operations Chief assessing options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shift schedule and relationship to employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residence locations to determine if off-duty recall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can be enhanced.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 2A</strong> Fire personnel should get “eyes-on” all</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
<td>This direction was clarified in the update to SOP OP.06.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reports of fires in wildland areas in order to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>make an accurate assessment of the situation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 2.B</strong> OCFA Dispatch personnel should</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
<td>Ongoing process in ECC with supervisory staff. New shift schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow through and be accountable for ensuring</td>
<td></td>
<td>implemented, and additional Duty Officer oversight added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that important questions are answered when making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire response decisions, including requesting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supervisory or management assistance when needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The documentation of these consultations and the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ultimate decisions within the CAD system is vital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in conducting after-action reviews.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 3</strong> Preventative measures should be</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
<td>Pre-positioned resources used throughout fire season in 2018. Prior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implemented on High Watershed Dispatch Level days,</td>
<td></td>
<td>to significant weather.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 4</strong></td>
<td>OCFA should take the appropriate and timely supervisory and disciplinary action with those responsible for not following OCFA policy in the dispatching of appropriate resources to a report of a vegetation fire on a High Watershed Dispatch Level day.</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 5</strong></td>
<td>On High Watershed Dispatch Level days, Dispatch Supervisors should meet with staff at the beginning of the shift to walk through roles and responsibilities, as well as other pertinent conditions, to mentally prepare staff for the shift, underscoring the expectation that they will respond on the side of safety in communication and actions.</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 8A.a</strong></td>
<td>OCFA should institute a policy that automatically requests an initial response from CAL FIRE-carded Sheriff helicopters/pilots for wildland fires when Sheriff helicopters can be the first on-scene.</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 10</strong></td>
<td>OCFA staff must follow established policy and procedure related to the dispatching of resources to reported wildland fires according to the Watershed Dispatch Level designation in place.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 12</strong></td>
<td>Identify and promote methods to electronically connect emergency dispatch centers from multiple jurisdictions together with initial notification and updates of critical fire events and weather conditions so that each can be simultaneously apprised of the situation and the current response underway.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 13</strong></td>
<td>Fire staff should be cognizant that in today’s technological environment, fire communications and operational decisions and actions are open to public scrutiny.</td>
<td>CAPA BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 1A</strong></td>
<td>Maintain accountability in the ECC that re-enforces the initiation of a response to a report of smoke and/or fire, regardless of the number of reports.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 1B</strong></td>
<td>Review and update SOP OP.06.43 annually and clarify circumstances and conditions on which this guideline can be modified.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 1C</strong></td>
<td>Develop additional policies for daily briefings and situational updates (i.e. significant weather events).</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 1D</strong></td>
<td>Provide additional tools and mechanisms to maintain situational awareness.</td>
<td>ECC Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 4</strong></td>
<td>Re-establish the OCFA phone bank system, which includes televisions to monitor current news outlets to assist with the dissemination of accurate information to the public. Consider relocating the Communications/Public Information Office.</td>
<td>CAPA BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 6</strong></td>
<td>Evaluate how to expand phone capabilities for PIOs.</td>
<td>CAPA BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 9</td>
<td>Reinforce the importance of County/OA EOC with DOC activation.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 10A</td>
<td>Strive to fill all open positions in collaboration with the local 3631 through the staffing Joint Labor Management team.</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11B</td>
<td>Continue to maximize the number of fire recruits in each Recruit Fire Academy</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11C</td>
<td>Continue the frequency of academies as necessary</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11D</td>
<td>Continue the utilization of the attrition planning process</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11E</td>
<td>Continue to offer multiple entry portals into the OCFA for the firefighter rank.</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11F</td>
<td>Continue to balance the timing of all promotions, to minimize overly affecting anyone rank.</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11G</td>
<td>Develop decision points and actions in anticipation of predictable future staffing issues (i.e. SAFD 10-year mark 4/2022):</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 11H</td>
<td>Effectively plan for future academies and promotions</td>
<td>HR Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 12</td>
<td>Provide a night HLCO with NVG training during night time helicopter firefighting anytime two or more ships are operating in accordance to FIRESCOPE guidelines.</td>
<td>Special Ops DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 19</td>
<td>OCFA should consider additional procedural options regarding HLCO capabilities as well as the development of standardized practices to use local public safety aircraft as HLCO capable platforms during Red Flag conditions.</td>
<td>Special Ops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 20B</td>
<td>Consider alternative equipment deployment strategies: such as individually issued radios and/or decision points for all front-line paramedic units to remove second set of EMS equipment.</td>
<td>EMS/Training A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 21</td>
<td>Evaluate the current dozer operator program for depth, succession planning, and surge capacity relative to the needs in declared fire season.</td>
<td>Special Ops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 22</td>
<td>Consider development of policy/procedure for the movement of units during major incidents. Incorporate utilization of the leapfrog methodology to avoid having open areas that are impacted by the incident.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 24</td>
<td>Follow-up with the internal CICCs committee to augment the number of personnel qualified/trainee for incident command positions such as Division Supervisor, Operations Branch Director, Operations Section Chief (Type 3), and Incident Commander (Type 3).</td>
<td>Special Ops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 25</td>
<td>Consider upgrading server capability to provide for access on all department issued iPads.</td>
<td>IT Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR 29</td>
<td>Explore automated processes to support personnel notification.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pending (29)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRP 1.C.a</td>
<td>OCFA must ensure that the Duty Officer’s responsibilities are understood.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRP 1.C.b</td>
<td>OCFA must ensure that the Duty Officer is utilized per protocols and procedures.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRP 1.D</td>
<td>OCFA should review and update its Duty Officer Notification Matrix to ensure prompt notification and dispatch guidance for fires reported with the MTZ.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 1.E</strong></td>
<td>OCFA should review and update its Duty Officer Standard Operational Procedure.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 4.C</strong></td>
<td>Review and update SOP OP.06.43 to clarify circumstances or conditions under which Chief Officers and ECC can modify the resource dispatch guidelines/recommendations.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 5.D</strong></td>
<td>OCFA should review and clarify the role of the Duty Officer (24/7) versus the OCFA ECC Manager (40-hour week)</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 6.C</strong></td>
<td>OCFA should review Duty Chief's responsibilities.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 1.A</strong></td>
<td>Use the deficiencies identified in the initial response to C2F as a case study for OCFA and other fire agencies to identify system weaknesses and close the gaps.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS.6</strong></td>
<td>Use the C2F response as a training case study and make the proper adjustments to ECC operations.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS.7</strong></td>
<td>Develop a more comprehensive training experience for dispatch staff, including hands-on experiences in a variety of critical functional areas of OCFA.</td>
<td>ECC Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 10</strong></td>
<td>Training should be sought specifically to the application of the automatic aid agreement between the City of Anaheim and Orange County Fire Authority.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 17</strong></td>
<td>Re-evaluate and establish decision points when patrols are deployed to cover a battalion during major incidents versus incident response.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 18A</strong></td>
<td>Evaluate decision points to reconfigure these identified units (RAMP) within each battalion.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 18B</strong></td>
<td>Consider converting pre-identified units (RAMP) before a major incident occurs, based on established decision points.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 18C</strong></td>
<td>Consider clarifying those positions other than the Duty Chief that have the authority to execute these types of decisions (RAMP).</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 20C</strong></td>
<td>Ensure IT personnel are added to the DOC activation process to allow access to iPads and radios.</td>
<td>IT Mgr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 23</strong></td>
<td>Consider the utilization of an electronic process to quickly capture all available personnel/ranks available; in order to improve the station coverage process.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 26</strong></td>
<td>Consider evaluating the entire county and re-establishing several locations throughout Orange County that can function as a base camp. Pre-plans with agreements should be sought out to ensure this process is efficient and effective.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 27A</strong></td>
<td>When dealing with multi-jurisdictional areas of responsibility ensure mop up is coordinated with the agencies having jurisdiction, communicated with all levels of line leadership, areas of responsibility are understood, and are acknowledged via agency representative’s signature.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 27B</strong></td>
<td>Ensure incident action plans identify mop up parameters and are followed throughout the potential for re-ignition; especially during expected high wind events.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 27C</strong></td>
<td>Upon the completion of the incident action plan timeframes, the ongoing mitigation of the incident including mop up and patrol remains with the agency having jurisdiction.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 27D</strong></td>
<td>Mop-up and patrol plans need to consider upcoming weather conditions.</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 28</strong></td>
<td>Re-institute a liaison program for city EOC positions and provide a process for continual training and succession planning.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 30</strong></td>
<td>Re-evaluate the current policy and process to assist with consistent up-staffing decision points and outcomes during the predesignated weather events. (i.e. Inclusion of the SAWTI)</td>
<td>OPS A/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 31A</strong></td>
<td>Consider recurrent RAMP Guidebook training for the AC, DC, BC, and FC Administrative Staff.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 31B</strong> Consider re-designing some RAMP sections to provide decision support through a phase-specific checklist.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 31C</strong> Develop an internal process to allow for more rapid RAMP updates to be concurrent with departmental changes.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 32</strong> Develop policy to identify staff chief officers’ roles and responsibilities for the County/OA EOC needs. Continue to utilize an Assistant Chief, a Division Chief and a staff Battalion Chief for operations at the County/OA EOC.</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 33</strong> Conduct ongoing County/OA EOC training for each of the OCFA specific positions in the County/OA EOC; specifically, the Director of Emergency +</td>
<td>Command DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Deferred for Future Consideration (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 14</strong> OCFA should research the feasibility to secure Type 1 helicopters with improved payloads and firefighting capabilities.</td>
<td>Concept will be re-evaluated in coming years as budget permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 16</strong> OCFA should evaluate securing FLIR capability for its helicopters and consider a UAS program with similar capability.</td>
<td>Law enforcement aircraft outfitted with capabilities and available to assist upon request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOS 1.B</strong> Consider whether a consolidation of fire suppression and emergency communication responsibilities in the 91/241 freeway corridor area might improve the efficiency and effectiveness of an initial fire response.</td>
<td>Objective met by improving procedures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outside Agency (7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 2A</strong> The OCSD needs to determine its commitment to become a fully recognized and participating firefighting asset. If so, they need to adhere to and be compliant with fire aviation industry standard and OCFA/OCSD MOU.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 2.B.b</strong> OCSD shall notify OCFA of their helicopter status daily.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 2.B.c</strong> OCSD shall operate within the ICS structure and accept leadership/direction from the Fire Agency Incident Commander or ATGS when assisting with a firefighting response.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRP 3.B</strong> Cal Fire or USFS shall card all OCSD aircraft and pilots annually.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 2</strong> Establish Joint Information Center (JIC) when a unified command is established, to ensure that all information is distributed timely and specifically to those cities that are impacted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 13</strong> Ensure agencies with resources that wish to participate in firefighting operations are in the ROSS system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAR 15</strong> Ensure assisting agencies are aware of the obligation to comply with all contracting fuel provision requirements for accepting ROSS call when needed orders.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES
ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY
Board of Directors Regular Meeting
Thursday, November 15, 2018
6:00 P.M.
Regional Fire Operations and Training Center Board Room
1 Fire Authority Road
Irvine, CA 92602-0125

CALL TO ORDER
A regular meeting of the Orange County Fire Authority Board of Directors was called to order on November 15, 2018, at 6:01 p.m. by Chair Sachs.

INVOCATION
Deputy Chief Dave Anderson offered the Invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Chair Sachs led the Assembly in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

Lisa Bartlett, County of Orange          Leah Basile, Lake Forest
Tim Brown, San Clemente                Sergio Farias, San Juan Capistrano
Carol Gamble, Rancho Santa Margarita   Dave Harrington, Aliso Viejo
Shelley Hasselbrink Los Alamitos       Noel Hatch, Laguna Woods
Gene Hernandez, Yorba Linda            Robert Johnson, Cypress
Al Murray, Tustin                      Vince Rossini, Villa Park
Ed Sachs, Mission Viejo                Don Sedgwick, Laguna Hills
Dave Shawver, Stanton                  Todd Spitzer, County of Orange
Michele Steggell, La Palma             Tri Ta, Westminster
Elizabeth Swift, Buena Park

Absent:  Lori Davies, Laguna Niguel     Ellery Deaton, Seal Beach
         Joe Muller, Dana Point            Juan Villegas, Santa Ana

Also present were:
Fire Chief Brien Fennessy               Deputy Chief Dave Anderson
Deputy Chief Lori Zeller                Assistant Chief Mark Sanchez
Human Resources Director Brigette Gibb  Assistant Chief Lori Smith
Assistant Chief Jim Ruane                General Counsel David Kendig
Clerk of the Authority Sherry Wentz

Chair Sachs announced, using his discretion as the Chair, would reorder the agenda to move Closed Session following the Consent Calendar.
1. PRESENTATIONS

   A. Requests for Commendations and Proclamations (F: 11.09)

      On motion of Director Murray and second by Director Swift, the Board of Directors voted
      by those present to approve the request as submitted and make presentations to those
      present.

      Chair Sachs and Chief Fennessy recognized and presented a plaque to outgoing Directors
      Al Murray and Tim Brown for their service on the OCFA Board of Directors.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (F: 11.11)

Stephen Wontrobski, Mission Viejo resident, commented OCFA should solicit a proposal for legal
services, and the need to revise the OCFA Joint Powers Agreement’s Amendment No. 4.

REPORTS

REPORT FROM THE BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR (F: 11.12)
Budget and Finance Committee Vice Chair Hasselbrink reported at the November 14, 2018,
meeting, the Committee voted unanimously by those present to receive and file the First Quarter
Financial Newsletter and Monthly Investment Reports and send them both to the Executive
Committee for approval of the recommended actions. The Committee voted unanimously to send
the Annual Statement of Investment Policy and Investment Authorization, Audited Financial
Reports for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018, and the 2018 Long Term Liability Study &
Accelerated Pension Payment Plan to the Board of Directors for approval of the recommended
actions.

REPORT FROM THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE CHAIR (F: 11.12)
Human Resources Committee Chair Murray reported at the November 6, 2018, meeting, the
Committee received and filed the Behavioral Health, and Cancer Prevention Presentations, the
Contract Award for Classification and Compensation Study Services, Annual Workers’
Compensation Program Update, and the FY 2017/18 Human Resources Accomplishments.

REPORT FROM THE CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMITTEE CHAIR (F: 11.12)
Claims Settlement Committee Chair Sachs reported as the November meeting was cancelled.

REPORT FROM THE FIRE CHIEF (F: 11.14)
Fire Chief Fennessy introduced recently promoted Division Chief Shane Sherwood and Assistant
Chief of Logistics Jim Ruane; acknowledged the promotion of Kenny Dossey to Division Chief;
thanked Vice Chair Muller for his Reserve Firefighter’s graduation participation; and presented a
briefing on the Santa Ana winds and recent fires.
Director Spitzer left at this point (6:32 p.m.).

Director Basile arrived at this point (6:33 p.m.).

2. MINUTES

A. Minutes from the October 25, 2018, Regular Board of Directors Meeting (F: 11.06)

Stephen Wontrobski, Mission Viejo resident, suggested comments made in the Minutes by Board Member Sedgwick should be amended.

On motion of Director Ta and second by Director Sedgwick, the Board of Directors voted to approve the October 25, 2018, Minutes as submitted. Directors Harrington and Johnson were recorded as abstentions due to their absence from the meeting, and Director Spitzer was absent for the vote.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Annual Statement of Investment Policy and Investment Authorization (F: 11.10D)

On motion of Director Murray and second by Director Johnson, the Board of Directors voted to:
1. Review and approve the submitted Investment Policy of the Orange County Fire Authority, to be effective January 1, 2019.
2. Pursuant to Government Code Sections 53601 and 53607, renew delegation of investment authority to the Treasurer for a one-year period, to be effective January 1, 2019.

Director Spitzer was absent for the vote.

B. Amendment to 2019 Board Meeting Schedule (F: 11.05)

On motion of Director Murray and second by Director Johnson, the Board of Directors voted to adopt Resolution No. 2018-07 entitled A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS AUTHORIZING TO TEMPORARILY EXPAND THE REGULAR MEETING DATES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2019.

Director Spitzer was absent for the vote.

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR
CLOSED SESSION (F: 11.15)

General Counsel David Kendig reported the Board would be convening to Closed Session to consider the matters on the Agenda identified as CS1, Conference with Counsel – Existing Litigation, and CS2, and CS3, Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation.

Chair Sachs recessed the meeting to Closed Session at 6:32 p.m.

Director Murray left at this point (6:43 p.m.).

CS1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION
   Name of Claim: Brian Coney v. OCFA
   Case No. ADJ11054320
   Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(a)

CS2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL–ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
   Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(c) – Initiation of Litigation (1 case)

CS3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
   Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) – Significant Exposure to Litigation (2 cases)

Director Ta left at this point (8:00 p.m.).

Director Hernandez left at this point (8:00 p.m.).

Chair Sachs reconvened the meeting from Closed Session at 8:50 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION REPORT (F: 11.15)

General Counsel David Kendig stated there were no reportable actions.

4. PUBLIC HEARING(S)
   No items.

5. DISCUSSION CALENDAR

   A. 2018 Long Term Liability Study & Accelerated Pension Payment Plan (F: 17.06A)

      Treasurer Tricia Jakubiak provided the staff report and a PowerPoint presentation for the 2018 Long Term Liability Study & Accelerated Pension Payment Plan.
On motion of Director Hatch and second by Director Shawver, the Board of Directors voted to:

1. Direct staff to continue the Accelerated Pension Payment Plan as indicated in the Updated Snowball Strategy.
2. Direct staff to adjust the FY 2018/19 General Fund budget to increase expenditures by $10 million for the purpose of allocating $10 million of the $13 million of available unencumbered funds identified in the FY 2017/18 financial audit to OCFA’s unfunded pension liability.
3. Direct staff to return to the Board of Directors in January, with the mid-year financial review, to consider any allocation of the remaining $3 million of available unencumbered funds identified in the FY 2017/18 financial audit.

Directors Hernandez, Murray, Spitzer, and Ta were absent for the vote.

B. Audited Financial Reports for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018 (F: 15.06)


On motion of Director Harrington and second by Director Basile, the Board of Directors voted to:

1. Receive and approve the reports.
3. Review the calculations used to determine the fund balance amounts assigned to the capital improvement program and workers’ compensation, and confirm the calculations’ consistency with the OCFA’s revised Assigned Fund Balance Policy.

Directors Hernandez, Murray, Spitzer, and Ta were absent for the vote.

C. Specialty Pay for Accelerant Detection Canine Handler and Canine Disaster Search Specialist (F: 17.04B1)

Deputy Chief Anderson presented the Specialty Pay for Accelerant Detection Canine Handler and Canine Disaster Search Specialist.

The Board of Directors by consensus received and filed the report as presented.

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS (F: 11.13)

Director Shawver thanked former Division Chief Bill Lockhart for his service with the City of Stanton and its surrounding cities, and he welcomed newly appointed Division Chief Shane Sherwood to his position.
Chair Sachs congratulated Jim Ruane on his promotion to Assistant Chief of Logistics; complimented Division Chief Rob Capobianco and OCFA’s Fleet Crew in getting additional surge apparatus on-line; and reported on his trip to Washington, D.C., where he advocated the use of U.S. air support to expedite the transportation of deployed Urban Search & Rescue teams.

**ADJOURNMENT** – Chair Sachs adjourned the meeting at 9:13 p.m., in the memory of the loss of OCFA retired Fire Apparatus Engineer Shawn Metcalf, Costa Mesa Fire Captain Mike Kreza, and the victims of the Borderline Bar mass shooting and the Camp and Woolsey Fires. The next regular meeting of the Orange County Fire Authority Board of Directors is scheduled for January 24, 2019, at 6:00 p.m.

Sherry A.F. Wentz, CMC
Clerk of the Authority
Summary
This item is submitted to provide a mid-year financial update on the FY 2018/19 budget in accordance with the OCFA’s Fiscal Health Plan.

Prior Board/Committee Action
Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: Approve
At its regular January 9, 2019, meeting, the Budget and Finance Committee reviewed and unanimously recommended approval of this item.

RECOMMENDED ACTION(S)
Direct staff to return to the Board of Directors in March 2019 for approval of the proposed budget adjustments for the FY 2018/19 budget.

Impact to Cities/County
Mid-year budget adjustments do not impact annual contract charges for cash contract cities, which are fixed at the beginning of each fiscal year.

Fiscal Impact
Financial impact has been presented in the attached report.

Background
The OCFA’s Fiscal Health Plan calls for a comprehensive system to monitor OCFA’s fiscal performance. This includes a review and comparison of forecasted revenues and expenditures against actual revenues and expenditures, as well as a mid-year budget review. The attached report reviews the current year budget, highlights any potential financial challenges to the OCFA, and previews anticipated FY 2019/20 budget issues, to the extent they are known at this time.

FY 2018/19 Budget Review
Significant changes have occurred since the budget was adopted in May 2018, including increases to budgeted beginning fund balance, increase in property tax revenue, increases in both revenue and expenditures related to assistance-by-hire emergency responses, as well as all approved adjustments to-date such as Carryover and new grant funds. These changes are detailed in the attached Mid-Year Financial Report.
Attachment(s)
Mid-year Financial Report
   Exhibit 1 – 2018 Trend Analysis - Forecast to Actual Comparison
   Exhibit 2 – Updated Five-Year Financial Forecast (Summary & Detail)
   Exhibit 3 – Five-Year Financial Forecast Assumptions
In May 2018, the Board of Directors approved the updated Fiscal Health Plan and Financial Stability Budget Policies. These documents describe the Authority’s strong fiscal policies, a comprehensive system for monitoring OCFA’s fiscal performance, and a framework to assure timely and appropriate response to adverse fiscal circumstances. Included in the Fiscal Health Plan is the requirement for a mid-year financial report, which is presented below.

**ECONOMIC OUTLOOK**

Property tax is OCFA’s largest source of revenue; therefore, this section focuses on economic factors impacting property values. The December 2018 Chapman Economic and Business Review forecast estimates a decline in housing appreciation rates from 5.6% in 2018 to 2.9% in 2019. Mortgage rates are projected to increase to 5.3% by the end of 2019, contributing to declining housing affordability. Rosenow Spevacek Group (RSG) reports that housing sales volume through October 2018 has decreased remarkably in OCFA member agencies jurisdictions, down 9.4% from last year. Property tax revenue growth rate estimates are likely to be adversely impacted by these factors.

In 2019 residential permit activity is expected to decrease by 7.3%, on top of a 7.3% decline from 2017 to 2018. However, commercial building permit valuation increased 72% in the past year and valuation of commercial construction is expected to grow 4.0% in 2019.

**CURRENT FISCAL YEAR FINANCES**

The following are estimated changes to the General Fund budget that are needed since the adoption of the FY 2018/19 budget in May 2018. Overall the currently proposed changes in the General Fund result in an estimated total revenue increase of approximately $7.5 million and an estimated total expenditure increase of $9.5 million. Of the $9.5 million in expenditure increases, $6.2 million are cost neutral as they are offset by corresponding sources of revenue.

**FY 2018/19 Potential Revenue Adjustments - $7.5 million**

- **Property Taxes:** Based on secured tax billings provided by the Auditor/Controller, preliminary projections indicate an approximate $600K increase over budget.
  - $600,000

- **Assistance by Hire (ABH):** ABH is the term used when OCFA responds to requests for assistance to incidents outside our area of responsibility, on a reimbursement basis. Current year activity is $6.2 million greater than budget due to various out-of-county responses. Staff will be monitoring this source of revenue for additional reimbursements. An expenditure adjustment is also proposed to the overtime/backfill category to cover the costs associated with providing the ABH services.
  - $6,186,845

- **Miscellaneous:** This category of revenue adjustments includes updates to cash contract city maintenance reimbursements, SB90 reimbursements, accounts receivable late payment penalties, revenue from Southern California Edison (SCE), adjustments to Advanced Life Support and ambulance supply reimbursements, Santa Ana College agreement, restitution, and revenue from sale of surplus items.
  - $734,156
FY 2018/19 Potential Expenditure Adjustments - $9.5 million

Assistance by Hire/Emergency Incident Costs: As mentioned under Revenue for Assistance by Hire, an adjustment of approximately $6.4 million is needed for out-of-county responses, primarily in the overtime/backfill category, but also for response-related supplies. $6,361,853(1)

Personnel: This category is comprised of several updates to salary and employee benefits, the largest in the amount of $1,317,016 being the determination by OCERS that paid vacation in excess of accrual limits for employees in the Legacy Retirement Plans and on-call pay are now pensionable salary items. Employer and employee retirement contributions began to be withheld beginning in July. Other miscellaneous adjustments related to salary and benefits comprise the balance of this category. $1,395,380

Supplies/Equipment/Professional Services: This category includes various adjustments increasing funding for the purchase of particular pieces of equipment and professional services which were unknown, or costs have increased since budget development. These include: replacement of obsolete Neopost machines, various HazMat training, supplies and protective equipment, EMS supplies and increased training costs, increased HR costs related to recruitments and Department of Industrial Relations user funding assessment, and costs to provide a set of turnouts to our level 1 reserves. $856,578

Miscellaneous: This expenditure category includes miscellaneous increases to the budget for: Huey helicopter maintenance; Fleet services maintenance & repairs, various Information Technology licenses, software, equipment and contract increases; Operations training in Live Fire Burn, additional costs to update the plymovent systems at all remaining fire stations, and costs related to grading/brushing SCE fire roads. $828,626

Expenditures with Revenue Offset: These expenditure items are completely offset by a corresponding revenue adjustment and include rental expenditures for SCE road grading completed through contract as well as costs to upgrade modems in our Zoll cardiac monitors. $57,089(1)

General Fund and CIP funds – Beginning Fund Balance and Budget Transfer Adjustment

- Budgeted beginning fund balances: As part of the annual mid-year adjustment, budgeted beginning fund balances will be adjusted in accordance with the FY 2017/18 year-end audit. These increases resulted primarily from additional revenue received in the fiscal year, as well as salary savings and S&S savings in the General Fund. The beginning fund balance adjustments for Capital Improvement Funds (CIP) largely result from the timing for completion of projects. Funds for projects that did not get completed were carried-over to FY 2018/19.

1 This expenditure increase is cost neutral, offset by a corresponding revenue source
• **General Fund Surplus Budget Transfer(s) to CIP Funds:** The most recent update to the Financial Stability Budget Policy allows for transfers of General Fund Surplus to the CIP funds at fiscal year onset to maintain positive fund balances in the CIP funds. This year the General Fund Surplus calculation at Mid-year does not allow for further transfers to the CIP funds nor additional payments to pay-down pension liability.

• **Fund 190 – Self Insurance:** An adjustment decreasing expenditures in the amount of $4.4 million is needed to match the budget to the amount recommended by the latest actuarial report at the 50% confidence level.

### FUTURE FISCAL YEAR FINANCES

Significant factors that are anticipated to influence the FY 2018/19 budget include:

• **Prepayment of OCERS Contributions** – Staff will conduct an analysis of OCFA’s cash flow position; we expect to prepay half of the employer contributions to take advantage of an approximately 4.5% discount. This discount has declined in recent years, from 7.25% to 5.8% and now to 4.5%. Although the discount has declined, it still amounts to savings of millions of dollars and is worth taking advantage of.

• **Property Taxes** - Since property tax is the largest source of income for the General Fund at about 66% we have again contracted with Rosenow, Spevacek Group, Inc. (RSG) to update our property tax projections. Updated preliminary information for our FY 2019/20 budget will not be available until February 2019; therefore, in the interim we are continuing to use RSG’s prior projection for FYs 2019/20 through 2022/23 of the Five-Year Financial Forecast.

• **Retirement Rates** - The Orange County Employees Retirement System (OCERS) Board has adopted retirement rates for FY 2019/20. Compared to rates used in the Five-Year Cashflow Forecast, employer rates for general employees increased by 0.04% and rates for the safety employees were unchanged after removing the impact of the additional pension liability contributions OCFA has made to OCERS. Consistent with Board direction, we continue to pay the original rates, capturing those savings and increasing payments directly to our unfunded liability.

### PENDING ISSUES

• **TRAN** – After review and consultation with our financial advisors we concluded a Tax Revenue Anticipation Note (TRAN) issue was not needed for cashflow purposes in the current fiscal year. The determination of whether we will need to issue a TRAN for FY 2019/20 will be made as we get closer to budget development for the next fiscal year. Many factors influence whether we will need to issue a TRAN, including the amount and timing of expenditures towards large capital projects.
MONITORING FINANCIAL HEALTH

*Financial Forecast*

The Fiscal Health Plan directs staff to monitor our financial indicators through frequent updates to the Authority’s Five Year Financial Forecast, measuring revenues, expenditures, debt, and committed and uncommitted fund balance. These categories are forecast using all available information, Board actions, and economic conditions (Exhibits 2 and 3).

A trend report has been developed comparing the differences between the forecasted data and actual financial results and is attached to this Review as Exhibit 1.
2018 Trend Analysis: Summary of 2-Year Forecast vs. Adjusted Actuals

Comparison of 2016/17 Forecast as Presented in 2015/16 Adopted Budget to 2016/17 Actuals
and
Comparison of 2017/18 Forecast as Presented in 2016/17 Adopted Budget to 2017/18 Actuals

Revenue Comparison [a] ($ in Millions)

Expenditure Comparison [b] ($ in Millions)

[a] Actual revenue adjusted for one-time sources not forecasted such as assistance by hire revenue, grant revenue, and one-time revenue associated with RDA dissolutions.

[b] Actual expenditures adjusted for one-time items not forecasted such as grant expenditures.
### Five-Year Forecast FY 2018/19 Budget

**Orange County Fire Authority**

**Five-Year Financial Forecast**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1 FY 2018/19</th>
<th>Year 2 FY 2019/20</th>
<th>Year 3 FY 2020/21</th>
<th>Year 4 FY 2021/22</th>
<th>Year 5 FY 2022/23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Fund Balance</td>
<td>197,213,719</td>
<td>166,060,665</td>
<td>170,301,128</td>
<td>180,911,526</td>
<td>187,938,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Revenues</td>
<td>424,408,974</td>
<td>413,609,924</td>
<td>427,523,955</td>
<td>441,107,318</td>
<td>460,800,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Expenditures</td>
<td>399,731,934</td>
<td>376,491,930</td>
<td>391,396,020</td>
<td>406,928,572</td>
<td>418,113,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paydown of UAAL</td>
<td>19,167,397</td>
<td>9,648,658</td>
<td>12,368,859</td>
<td>14,279,280</td>
<td>17,787,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total General Fund Expenditures</td>
<td>418,899,331</td>
<td>386,140,588</td>
<td>403,764,879</td>
<td>421,207,852</td>
<td>435,901,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net General Fund Revenue</td>
<td>5,509,644</td>
<td>27,469,335</td>
<td>23,759,076</td>
<td>19,899,467</td>
<td>24,899,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Incremental Increase in 10% GF Op. Cont.</td>
<td>6,615,415</td>
<td>855,032</td>
<td>1,490,409</td>
<td>1,553,255</td>
<td>1,118,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Surplus / (Deficit)</td>
<td>(1,105,772)</td>
<td>26,614,303</td>
<td>22,268,667</td>
<td>18,346,212</td>
<td>23,780,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Transfer to CIP Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24,250,000</td>
<td>17,350,000</td>
<td>9,173,106</td>
<td>11,890,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paydown of UAAL from General Fund Surplus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,364,303</td>
<td>4,918,667</td>
<td>9,173,106</td>
<td>11,890,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP/Other Revenues</td>
<td>28,574,537</td>
<td>47,950,941</td>
<td>41,314,915</td>
<td>34,264,273</td>
<td>37,042,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP/Other Expenses</td>
<td>55,237,235</td>
<td>44,565,510</td>
<td>32,194,926</td>
<td>28,790,412</td>
<td>21,640,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP Surplus / (Deficit)</td>
<td>(26,662,698)</td>
<td>3,385,431</td>
<td>9,119,989</td>
<td>5,473,861</td>
<td>15,401,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Fund Balance</td>
<td>166,060,665</td>
<td>170,301,128</td>
<td>180,911,526</td>
<td>187,938,642</td>
<td>204,459,034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Five-Year Forecast FY 2018/19 Budget

- **General Fund Revenues**
  - FY 2018/19: $424,411
  - FY 2019/20: $413,615
  - FY 2020/21: $427,525
  - FY 2021/22: $441,111
  - FY 2022/23: $460,800

- **General Fund Expenditures**
  - FY 2018/19: $418,900
  - FY 2019/20: $386,145
  - FY 2020/21: $403,765
  - FY 2021/22: $421,215
  - FY 2022/23: $435,900

Exhibit 2
### A. BEGINNING FUND BALANCE [b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5,452,477</strong></td>
<td>197,213,719</td>
<td>166,060,665</td>
<td>170,301,128</td>
<td>180,911,526</td>
<td>187,938,642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GENERAL FUND REVENUES

#### New Positions for New Stations

- Employees
- Salary
- Retirement - Regular Annual Payments
- Retirement - Paydown of UAAAL (Rate Savings)
- Retirement - Paydown of UAAAL (Unencumb. Funds)
- Retirement - Paydown of UAAAL ($1M per Year from WC)
- Retirement - Paydown of UAAAL ($1M per Year, Increasing)

#### Workers' Comp Transfer out to Self-Ins. Fund

- Other Insurance
- Medicare
- One-Time Grant/ABH Expenditures
- Salaries & Employee Benefits
- Services & Supplies/Equipment
- New Station/Enhancements S&S Impacts
- One-Time Grant Expenditures
- Transfer Out to CIP

#### TOTAL REVENUES

- 424,408,974
- 413,609,924
- 427,523,955
- 441,107,318
- 460,800,200

### GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES

#### Operating Transfers (from) Operating Contingency

- Transfers to CIP Funds from General Fund Surplus
- Transfers to Paydown of UAAAL from General Fund Surplus

#### Capital Improvement Program/Other Fund Revenues

- Interest Earnings
- Cash Contracts
- Capital Improvement Program/Other Fund Expenses

#### TOTAL EXPENDITURES

- 418,899,331
- 386,140,588
- 403,764,879
- 421,207,852
- 435,901,005

### NET GENERAL FUND REVENUE

- 5,509,644
- 27,469,335
- 23,759,076
- 19,889,467
- 24,899,194

### GENERAL FUND SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) [c]

- 1,145,772
- 26,614,303
- 22,268,667
- 18,346,212
- 23,780,673

### D. CIP SURPLUS/DEFICIT [a]

- (26,662,698)
- 3,385,431
- 9,119,989
- 5,473,861
- 15,401,870

### ENDING FUND BALANCE (A+B+C+D) [a]

- 166,060,665
- 170,301,128
- 180,911,526
- 187,938,642
- 204,459,034

### Fund Balances

#### Operating Contingency (10% of Expenditures)

- 36,794,161
- 37,649,193
- 39,139,602
- 40,692,857
- 41,811,379

#### Reserve Exceeding Required Contingency

- 19,493,205
- 19,493,205
- 19,493,205
- 19,493,205
- 19,493,205

#### Reserve for Cash Contract City Station Maintenance

- 400,000
- 400,000
- 400,000
- 400,000
- 400,000

#### Donations & Restricted Funds

- 3,953,884
- 3,953,884
- 3,953,884
- 3,953,884
- 3,953,884

#### Committed - SFF Cities Enhancement

- 987,043
- 987,043
- 987,043
- 987,043
- 987,043

#### Capital Improvement Program

- 15,146,836
- 10,558,024
- 11,649,738
- 9,294,136
- 17,427,811

#### Fund 190 - WC Self-Insurance

- 89,280,536
- 97,254,779
- 105,283,054
- 113,112,516
- 120,380,712

### Fund Total Balances

- 166,060,665
- 170,301,128
- 180,911,526
- 187,938,642
- 204,459,034

---

[a] Calculation removes fund balance transfers shown under General Fund Revenues as these are already included in Beginning Fund Balance.

[b] Beginning fund balance adjusted to reflect Carryover adjustments included in FY 2018/19.
Forecast Assumptions – Mid-Year Revised

**Basic Assumptions:**
The Adopted FY 2018/19 budget, and the Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan approved by the Board of Directors on May 24, 2018 form the basis for this financial forecast with the following adjustments:

- Updated total beginning fund balance from the FY 2017/18 audited financial statements
- All approved budget adjustments that have occurred since the adoption of the budget
- Proposed FY 2018/19 mid-year adjustments

**General Fund Revenues:**

- **Secured Property Taxes** – Rosenow Spevacek Group’s Final 2018 Report provides the growth factors assumed for the forecast. The following are projections of current secured property tax growth:
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2018/19</td>
<td>6.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2019/20</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2020/21</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2021/22</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2022/23</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Public Utility, Unsecured, Homeowners Property Tax Relief, and Supplemental Delinquent Taxes** – All of these categories of property taxes are projected to remain constant during the forecast period.

- **State Reimbursements** – State reimbursements are expected to remain constant, pending more details from CAL FIRE.

- **Federal Reimbursements** – This revenue is projected to remain constant.

- **One-Time Grant/ABH/RDA Proceeds** – These are one-time only revenues that vary significantly from year to year and therefore are not forecasted beyond the current year with the exception of the SAFER Grant budgeted for FY 2019/20. Board actions to date and proposed mid-year adjustments have increased the FY 2018/19 adopted budget by $15.1M for one-time increases in grants and assistance by hire.

- **Community Redevelopment Agency Pass-thru Revenue** – RSG completed a Redevelopment Area Excess Revenue Analysis of pass-thru and residual revenues from the dissolution of the redevelopment agencies dated 4/2/2018. The forecast figures come from this report.

- **Cash Contracts** – The forecast calculations are based on the Joint Powers Agreement and subsequent amendments and year-over-year changes are estimated between 3.50% and 4.50% per year. In addition, this revenue category includes estimated John Wayne Airport contract proceeds with an annual 4% increase cap, which is projected to continue through the forecast period.
• **Community Risk Reduction Fees** – Community risk reduction fees are projected to remain constant through the forecast period, pending any changes approved by the Board.

• **ALS Supplies & Transport Reimbursements** – This revenue is estimated to remain flat, pending any changes approved by the Board.

• **Interest Earnings** – Assumes an annual return of 2.00% for FY 2018/19, and 2.25% for FY 2019/20 through FY 2022/23.

• **Other Revenue** – This revenue source includes various items such as reimbursements for training and cost recovery for the firefighter handcrew.

**General Fund Expenditures**

• **Salaries & Employee Benefits** – S&EB is composed of the following factors:

  ✓ **New Positions for New Stations** – The forecast assumes that vehicles will be in service beginning 7/1/2020 for Station 52, 7/1/2021 for Station 12, and 7/1/2021 for Station 67.

  ✓ **Employee Salaries** – Projected salaries reflect increases consistent with the approved labor group MOUs. In addition, annual salary increases of 2% projected for the years that follow expiration of the current MOUs.

  ✓ **Retirement** – Retirement costs reflecting the projected employer retirement rates are based on the OCERS provided rates for FY 2018/19. The projected employer rates in the outer years of the forecast are based on a study prepared by Segal Consulting and provided by OCERS dated 7/3/2018. FY 2019/20 rates are approximately 0.05% higher for safety and 2.30% higher for non-safety compared to FY 2018/19 rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>51.95%</td>
<td>34.62%</td>
<td>Effective rates for FY 2018/19 provided by OCERS adjusted to remove impact of additional OCFA UAAL contributions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>52.00%</td>
<td>36.92%</td>
<td>FY 2019/20 based on OCERS provided rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>52.56%</td>
<td>37.42%</td>
<td>Outer years based on Segal Study dated 7/3/2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021/22</td>
<td>52.96%</td>
<td>38.12%</td>
<td>Effective rates were adjusted to remove impact of additional OCFA UAAL contributions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022/23</td>
<td>51.26%</td>
<td>37.22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: employer rates shown in the table above do not include the portion of the employee rate that is paid by OCFA*

FY 2018/19 includes a mid-year adjustment of $10.0M for accelerated paydown of OCFA’s Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) from unencumbered fund balance carried over from FY 2017/18.

In accordance with the Updated Snowball Strategy presented to the board in November 2015, outer years of the forecast include the following projected UAAL paydowns:

• Contributing additional funds each year using projected savings that will be realized under new Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) of $3.2M in FY 2018/19 and continuing in different amounts until payment is complete.
• Contributing an additional $1M each year starting in FY 2016/17 and increasing by $2M each year until it reaches $15M and continuing at $15M thereafter.
• Contributing $1 million per year from surplus fund balance available in the Workers’ Compensation Self Insurance Fund starting in FY 2016/17 for 5 years.
• Beginning in FY 2017/18, allocate 50% of the General Fund surplus to UAAL with the remaining 50% used to fund CIP.

✓ **Workers’ Compensation** – FY 2018/19 assumes a 50% confidence level for ongoing Workers’ Compensation costs. The 50% confidence level is assumed throughout the forecast period. Workers’ Compensation costs in the forecast period are based on projected payments in the Rivelle Consulting Services September 2018 Study.

✓ **Other Insurance** – Medical insurance rates for firefighters are assumed to remain flat in 2018 and increase by 5% for years 2019, 2020, and 2021 per the Health Plan Agreement dated 3/29/2017. For staff members, it is projected to grow by 10% annually. This category also includes $60,000 for unemployment insurance in FY 2018/19.

✓ **Medicare** – Annual amounts are calculated at 1.45% of projected salaries.

• **One-Time Grant/ABH Expenditures** – These are one-time only expenditures that vary significantly from year to year and therefore are not forecasted beyond FY 2018/19.

• **Services and Supplies (S&S)** – S&S is held flat unless a new fire station is built, specific increases have been identified by section managers, or one-time grant proceeds have been received.

**Net General Fund Revenue**
This figure equals the General fund Revenue minus the General Fund Expenditures.

**Incremental Increase in General Fund 10% Contingency:**
This is the amount needed to add to the General Fund 10% Contingency each year to maintain this category of fund balance at the required policy level of 10% of General Fund expenditures (less one-time expenditures).

**General Fund Surplus/(Deficit):**
This figure is equal to the Net General Fund Revenue less the incremental increase in the General Fund 10% Contingency. In years when there is a surplus, unless an exception is triggered, 50% is transferred to the CIP funds and 50% is used to paydown the UAAL as outlined in the Financial Stability Budget Policy approved by the Board on 3/23/2017. In years when there is a deficit, the deficit amount must be drawn from the 10% Contingency, and once those are exhausted, from fund balance for CIP.

A revision to the Financial Stability Budget Policy was approved which allows transfer of CIP funds at fiscal year onset to prevent negative CIP fund balance.
In FY 2018/19 a one-time adjustment from excess General Fund surplus of approximately $5.0M was made to the General Fund Reserve exceeding contingency to restore that item of fund balance to previous levels.

**Capital Improvement Program/Other Funds Revenue:**
- **Interest Earnings** – Assumes an annual return of 2.00% for FY 2018/19 and 2.25% for FY 2019/20 through FY 2022/23.
- **State/Federal Reimbursement** – The forecast assumes no State/Federal reimbursement revenue in the forecast period.
- **Cash Contracts** – The forecast calculations are based on the Joint Powers Agreement and subsequent amendments.
- **Developer Contributions** – The forecast assumes developer contributions will be used to fund various vehicles for Station 12, Station 52, and Station 67.
- **Workers’ Compensation Transfer** – These amounts equal the General Fund Workers’ Compensation budget which reflects a reduction of $1M used to paydown the UAAL per the Snowball Plan.
- **Operating Transfer In** – This figure equals the Operating Transfer Out from the General Fund.

**Capital Improvement Program/Other Funds Expenditures:**
Expenditures for each CIP fund are based on the CIP Budget.
- **Structural Fire Fund Entitlement (Fund 171)** – The forecast period assumes no Structural Fire Fund Entitlement expenditures past the next fiscal year.
- **Self-Insurance Fund (Fund 190)** – Self-Insurance fund expenditures are based on projected payments in the Rivelle Consulting Services September 2018 Workers’ Compensation Actuarial Study.

**Fund Balances:**
- **Operating Contingency** – Reflects policy of 10% of the General Fund expenditures each year (less one-time expenditures and UAAL payments). General Fund deficits (if applicable) are deducted from this category of fund balance once the Cash Flow fund balance is exhausted.

**Assigned Fund Balances**
- **Self-Insurance Fund (Fund 190)** – Funding is set aside for Workers’ Compensation outstanding claims at the 50% confidence level per Board policy. The required amount is based on the actuarial report for Estimated Outstanding Losses as of the last full fiscal year prior to report issuance. The required funding levels are maintained by retaining funds in fund balance that reflect the difference between the workers’ compensation transfer and Fund 190 expenditures.
• **Capital Improvement Program** – This fund balance includes funding for future capital replacements and is reduced annually by the cost of capital assets and increased in years when there are Operating Transfers into the CIP.
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Summary
This annual agenda item is submitted to the Budget and Finance Committee for adoption of OCFA’s Annual Grant Priorities for 2019.

Prior Board/Committee Action
Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: APPROVE
At its regular January 9, 2019, meeting, the Budget and Finance Committee reviewed and unanimously recommended approval of this item.

RECOMMENDED ACTION(S)
Approve OCFA’s Annual Grant Priorities for 2019.

Impact to Cities/County
Successful grant applications for staffing and equipment will provide significant benefit to member cities and the county.

Fiscal Impact
If grant funds are awarded for staffing or equipment, these funds will help offset expenses.

Background
The Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA) is requesting $1,542,584 from Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under the Assistance for Firefighters Grant program to enable 35 OCFA members and 25 members from neighboring fire departments to be certified Fire Ground Survival (FGS) instructors under the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF). OCFA’s certified instructors will then train all of OCFA’s 1,067 members. In addition, OCFA’s application for a FEMA Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) grant of $3.6 million to fund a fourth firefighter position for Buena Park, Tustin, Placentia, and San Clemente was approved in 2017. In 2018, OCFA's filled staffing levels fell below the minimum number necessary to continue receiving SAFER funds for a portion of the year; however, OCFA remains eligible to receive the SAFER grant funds for the approved staffing once filled staffing levels return to the required threshold. OCFA has two 50-person recruit academies planned for 2019 to fill the vacant positions.
The attached document outlines details on projects considered for 2019.

Attachment(s)
OCFA’s Annual Grant Priorities for 2019
**Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER)**

This Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grant funds the hiring of “front-line” firefighters. In 2017, the OCFA requested and received funding for new firefighter positions, including the addition of a fourth firefighter on engines to enhance service delivery, improve efficiency, and enhance firefighter safety. The grant provides three years of funding with a federal share of 75% in the first two years and 35% in the final year. There is no requirement the positions be maintained after the three-year grant period ends; however, the OCFA and the impacted cash contract cities listed below in the 2017 award have agreed to maintain the positions after the grant.

In 2018, OCFA’s staffing levels fell below the minimum number necessary to continue receiving SAFER funds for a portion of the year; however, the OCFA remains eligible to receive the SAFER grant funds once filled staffing levels return to the required threshold. OCFA has two 50-person recruit academies planned for 2019 to fill the vacant positions. The staffing level drop was due to the higher than average number of retirements in 2017 and 2018. The last two recruit academies did not produce enough graduates to keep pace with those retirements.

**2017 Award**

Firefighter Staffing: OCFA’s grant award funds a fourth firefighter for a single unit in each of the member cities of Buena Park, San Clemente, Placentia, and Tustin. Prior to award of the grant, each city had an engine staffed with three firefighters. Adding a fourth firefighter on these units was targeted to improve service delivery and enhance firefighter safety. At the conclusion of the three-year grant period, the cost of each position will be phased-in for Buena Park, San Clemente, and Tustin. These cities will not incur the full cost of the positions until FY 2024/25.

No application can be submitted during the current award period of 2018-2021.

**Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG)**

This FEMA grant funds the purchase of firefighting vehicles and safety equipment. Safety equipment applications are accepted for tools, personal protective equipment (PPE), training, wellness and fitness, and station modifications. Departments may submit one application and an additional “regional application,” in partnership with one or more other fire departments.

**2018 Application**

Fire Ground Survival Training: The Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA) is requesting $1,542,584 for 35 OCFA members and 25 members from neighboring fire departments to be certified Fire Ground Survival (FGS) instructors under the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF). Grant funds will also allow OCFA's 35 certified trainers to provide instruction during the final six-months of the grant to all of OCFA's 1,067 members. The 25 certified trainers from Anaheim Fire Department, Costa Mesa Fire Department, Fountain Valley Fire Department, Garden Grove Fire Department, and Orange Fire Department will provide instruction separately to their 200+ members.
According to the IAFF, "the purpose of the Fire Ground Survival program is to ensure that training for MAYDAY prevention and MAYDAY operations are consistent between all fire fighters, company officers, and chief officers. Firefighters must be trained to perform potentially life-saving actions if they become lost, disoriented, injured, low on air, or trapped. These training exercises must be consistent throughout the fire service."

**FEMA Fire Prevention and Safety Grant**

Application Opens: Fall 2018

Categories under this grant cover general education/awareness, arson investigation, and fire code education/awareness. Projects that may be considered include supporting OCFA’s ongoing smoke alarm installations, and wildland safety efforts. OCFA is in need of 600 smoke alarms designed for hearing impaired individuals. These alarms provide a visual strobe light notification, and a physical vibration designed to wake individuals when an audible smoke alarm is activated.

In 2018, the OCFA reorganized the Community and Public Affairs Department and transferred the staff of community educators to the Community Risk Reduction Department. No grant application was submitted in 2018 to allow for the transition and assessment of current education priorities.

**Drowning Prevention**

No specific applications or grantors are identified currently; however, staff is continuing to support efforts of the Orange County Task Force on Drowning Prevention to seek donations to support the marketing of drowning prevention campaign materials. In addition, the task force is considering the development of mock-drowning curriculum materials and swim lessons as possible projects for 2019. As needed, OCFA staff will support the development of grant applications.
Disbanding Claims Settlement Committee and Delegation of Settlement Responsibilities

**Contact(s) for Further Information**
Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief lorizeller@ocfa.org 714.573.6020
Administration & Support Bureau
Sherry Wentz, Clerk of the Authority sherrywentz@ocfa.org 714.573.6041

**Summary**
This item is submitted for approval to disband the Claims Settlement Committee, amend the Board Rules of Procedure to reflect the disbandment, and amend the Roles/Responsibilities/Authorities Matrix concerning the settlement of claims.

**Prior Board/Committee Action**

**Claims Settlement Committee Recommendation:** *
On September 24, 2009, the Board approved the establishment of the Claims Settlement Committee and delegation of settlement authority.

*This item will be considered by the Claims Settlement Committee at its January 24, 2019, meeting. The Committee Chair will provide an oral report on the Committee’s recommendation at the Board of Directors January 24, 2019, meeting.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION(S)**
1. Disband the Claims Settlement Committee.
2. Delegate authority to approve settlements of workers’ compensation claims under $250,000 and settlements of all other claims and lawsuits under $50,000 to the Fire Chief.
3. Authorize conforming changes to Roles/Responsibilities/Authority Matrix and the Board Rules of Procedure.

**Impact to Cities/County**
Not Applicable.

**Fiscal Impact**
There would be fiscal savings with the discontinuation of the agenda/posting process, costs of legal counsel’s meeting attendance, and follow-up processes associated with public meetings.

**Background**
The settlement of claims and lawsuits can be time sensitive and must be finalized within a specified time period. The Board of Directors has discretion to delegate authority to settle claims and litigation. Pursuant to prior direction of the Board, the Fire Chief has the authority to settle all claims and litigation up to $50,000. In 2009, the Board created a five-member Claims Settlement Committee (CSC) with the authority to settle claims and lawsuits, including workers’ compensation matters for amounts above $50,000; not to exceed $250,000. Settlement of all
claims and lawsuits in amounts exceeding $250,000 are administered by the Board. In the nine years of its existence, the CSC has met 59 times reviewing 131 settlement cases, with only two cases moving on to the Board that exceeded $250,000. The CSC also cancelled 41 of its scheduled meetings, due to a lack of business.

The Board Chair, considering these statistics, has opined that time and resources may be better utilized if the CSC is disbanded and delegate and expand the Fire Chief’s authority to settle workers’ compensation claims. The Board could expand the Fire Chief’s role to include the authority to settle workers’ compensation claims and litigation for amounts up to $250,000.

**Recommended Action**  
Staff recommends that the Board of Directors disband the Claims Settlement Committee, modify the Fire Chief’s authority to approve settlement of workers’ compensation claims below $250,000 and retain to his authority to settle all other claims and litigation below $50,000. Staff also recommends the Board retain its authority to settle workers’ compensation claims in excess of $250,000 and all other claims and lawsuits in excess of $50,000.

**Attachment(s)**  
1. Proposed Amended Roles/Responsibilities/Authority Matrix  
2. Proposed Amended Board Rules of Procedure
All authority rests with the Board of Directors unless it is delegated by statute or board action. When delegated, these authorities are further defined by contracts, resolutions, policies, or other board actions. The following chart defines OCFA’s levels of authority. The Board of Directors has the authority to change these delegations within the parameters of legal and contractual restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority Management</th>
<th>Claims Settlement Committee</th>
<th>Executive Committee</th>
<th>Board of Directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levels of Service</td>
<td>Develop and implement programs to provide the identified &quot;Levels of Service.&quot;</td>
<td>Approve temporary changes in &quot;Levels of Service.&quot;</td>
<td>Approve &quot;Levels of Service&quot; for the Authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Deployment</td>
<td>Establish policy/deployment that maintains the approved &quot;Levels of Service.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic and Mutual Aid Agreements</td>
<td>Fire Chief authorized to execute and renew Automatic Aid and Mutual Aid Agreements as necessary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and General Orders (GOs)</td>
<td>Develop and implement SOPs and GOs consistent with Board policies.</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Finance Committee - Review the budget; make recommendations.</td>
<td>Approve the budget prior to June 30 each year (JPA Agreement, Article IV.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Adoption</td>
<td>Develop the budget.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Execution</td>
<td>Authorize expenditures within approved budget appropriations (JPA Agreement, Article IV.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority Management</td>
<td>Claims Settlement Committee</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget: Intra- and inter-fund transfer; increases and decreases to appropriation.</td>
<td>Approve intra-fund transfers within adopted budgets</td>
<td>Approve inter-fund transfers between budgets; Approve increases and decreases to appropriations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station 41, Air Operations Maintenance Facility - Leases</td>
<td>Negotiate, approve and execute leases and/or lease amendments for Hangers Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance - Assigned</td>
<td>Assign amounts for workers’ compensation and the capital improvement program within requirements of the <em>Assigned Fund Balance Policy</em></td>
<td>Budget &amp; Finance Committee – Review calculations used to determine assignments for workers’ compensation and the capital improvement program for consistency with <em>Assigned Fund Balance Policy</em></td>
<td>Assign and un-assign fund balance for any specific purposes other than workers’ compensation and the capital improvement program, within the guidelines of GASB Statement No. 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance – Committed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Commit and un-commit fund balance via minutes action, within the guidelines of GASB Statement No. 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Planning and funding</td>
<td>Develop plan; administer budget in a manner consistent with plan and policies.</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Finance Committee - Review contingencies and spending for compliance with plan and policies.</td>
<td>Establish plan and policies. Transfer of Appropriation for Contingencies requires prior approval of the Chair or the Vice Chair, in the absence of the Chair, and must be reported to the Board immediately in writing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Orange County Fire Authority*

**Roles/Responsibilities/Authorities**

Effective 03/23/17 01/24/19
## ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY
### Roles/Responsibilities/Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority Management</th>
<th>Claims Settlement Committee</th>
<th>Executive Committee</th>
<th>Board of Directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt obligation</td>
<td>Develop and recommend financing plans.</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Finance Committee – Review of recommended financing plans.</td>
<td>Approve all debt obligations. (Note: long-term bonded indebtedness requires approval by 2/3rd vote of the members.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity purchases (materials, equipment &amp; supplies) Ord. 8, Sec. 1-3(18)</td>
<td>Approve purchase of commodities (Ord. 8, per Art. III selection process or Art. X cooperative purchasing) for annual contract amount less than $250,000. Approve any increase to commodity term contracts provided the annual contract amount remains less than $250,000.</td>
<td>Approve purchase of commodities with an annual contract amount greater than $250,000. Approved increase to commodity contracts if the increase results in the annual contract being greater than $250,000.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed asset purchases</td>
<td>Approve purchase of fixed assets with unit cost less than $100,000.</td>
<td>Approve purchase of fixed assets with a unit cost greater than $100,000.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service Contracts</strong> - includes professional services, facilities &amp; equipment services, and consulting.</td>
<td><strong>Authority Management</strong></td>
<td><strong>Claims Settlement Committee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Executive Committee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve all service contracts (selection process per Ord. 8, Art. III, or Art. X) for annual contract amount less than $100,000. Approve multi-year contracts so long as the annual amount is less than $100,000, and the total contract amount does not exceed $500,000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve all service contracts in which the annual contract amount exceeds $100,000 or multi-year contract exceeds $500,000 when future years are taken into consideration. Contract extensions beyond the initial contract term and allowable contract extensions will require Executive Committee approval prior to contract extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change Orders/Modifications</strong> <strong>Service Contract Amendments</strong> <em>(Non Public Projects)</em></td>
<td>For service contracts within the limits delegated herein to Authority Management, approve change orders in any amount so long as the revised amount remains within the delegated limits. For contracts originally approved by the Executive Committee or Board of Directors, approve change orders within the original scope of work, less than 15% but not to exceed a total value of $50,000.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve change order/modifications to any contracts with original or revised values that exceed those amounts delegated herein to Authority Management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY
**Roles/Responsibilities/Authorities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority Management</th>
<th>Claims Settlement Committee</th>
<th>Executive Committee</th>
<th>Board of Directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Purchases/Contracts</strong></td>
<td>Approve emergency purchases, as defined in Ord. 8, Sec. 1-3(14) &amp; Sec. 1-22, up to $100,000.</td>
<td>Purchases in excess of $100,000 require prior approval of Chair or Vice Chair and must be reported at the next Executive Committee meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sole Source Contracts</strong></td>
<td>Approve any sole source contracts less than $50,000, so long as acceptable justification is provided by the using agency per the requirements in Ord. 8, Sec. 1-21.</td>
<td>Approve any sole source contracts when aggregate amount exceeds $50,000. Sole source justification form is a required attachment to the staff report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Procurement Contracts – utilized when it is in the best interest of OCFA to award a contract without bidding requirements and the procurement does not meet the definition of a sole source.</strong></td>
<td>Approve any special procurement contract less than $50,000, so long as acceptable justification is provided by the using agency per the requirements in Ord. 8, Sec. 1-23.</td>
<td>Approve any special procurement contract when the aggregate amount exceeds $50,000. Special procurement justification form is a required attachment to the staff report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Projects</strong></td>
<td>Approve all informal public projects in amounts up to the statutory limits authorized by Public Contract Code Section 22032 (Currently projects under $175,000).</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve all formal public works contracts in amounts at or above the statutory limits authorized by Public Contract Code Section 22032 (Currently projects over $175,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Projects - Change Orders/ Modifications for formal and informal Public Projects as set forth in Public Contract Code Section 22032</strong></td>
<td><strong>Approve Change Order/modifications for formal and informal Public Projects up to 10% of original contract amount, but less than a total value of $17,500.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Approve Change Order/modifications for informal Public Projects over 10% of original contract amount, or exceeding a total value of $17,500.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Approve Change Order/modification on formal Public Projects over 10% of original contract amount, or exceeding a total value of $50,000 which ever is less.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Projects – Emergency as defined in Public Contract Code Sections 22035 and 22050 (Ord. 8, Sec. 1-53)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Fire Chief or designee has authority to declare an emergency and authorize procurement of equipment, services, construction services and supplies without the competitive bidding requirements when it is impractical to convene a meeting of the Board of Directors prior to addressing the emergency needs.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Authorize exemption from procurement requirements by four-fifths vote (at next scheduled meeting) as required per Public Contract Code Sections 22035 and 22050.</strong></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment Practices</strong></td>
<td><strong>Provide financial data to Budget &amp; Finance Committee, Executive Committee and Board of Directors. Develop draft policy.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Budget &amp; Finance Committee - Review Treasury/Investment actions to insure compliance with policy. Executive Committee – review monthly reports.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Adopt investment and Treasury policies.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting of salaries/benefits and other terms and conditions of employment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Identify issues, recommend negotiations approach; negotiate with labor organizations as approved by the Board of Directors.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Review management recommendations; make recommendations to the Board of Directors.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Provide direction to chief negotiator on negotiations; adopt resulting MOUs and changes in the PSR.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Orange County Fire Authority
## Roles/Responsibilities/Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority Management</th>
<th>Claims Settlement Committee</th>
<th>Executive Committee</th>
<th>Board of Directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grievances</td>
<td>Administer procedures pursuant to MOU and PSR provisions.</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary Actions</td>
<td>Implement disciplinary actions within legal and MOU requirements.</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hire/Terminate</td>
<td>Hire/terminate Authority staff.</td>
<td>Make recommendations to the Board of Directors on General Counsel legal services contract and Fire Chief employment contract, and compensation of General Counsel and the Fire Chief.</td>
<td>Hire/terminate Fire Chief and General Counsel. Approve service contract for General Counsel. Approve employment contract for Fire Chief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointments</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Appointment of clerk, auditor, and treasurer (JPA Agreement Articles II.9 and II.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification and Salary Ranges</td>
<td>Administer classification system within existing classes and budget.</td>
<td>Adopt and approve new or modified classes and corresponding salary ranges.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement of Employee Complaints and Grievances</td>
<td>Approve settlements up to $10,000 within existing PSR parameters.</td>
<td>Approve settlements up to $50,000.</td>
<td>Approve settlements over $50,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Legal Action</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Authorize filing of legal action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to Legal Action</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Authorize response to legal action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authority Management</strong></td>
<td><strong>Claims Settlement Committee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Executive Committee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Board of Directors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement of Claims and Litigation</td>
<td>Approve settlements of claims and litigation up to $50,000, in accordance with Board of Directors Rules of Procedure, and workers’ compensation claims under $250,000.</td>
<td>Approve settlements of claims and litigation over $50,000 not to exceed $250,000, in accordance with Board of Directors Rules of Procedure.</td>
<td>Approve settlements of claims and litigation in excess of $50,000 and workers’ compensation claim above $250,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of risk/coverage/exposure</strong></td>
<td>Recommend risk management policies; administer risk management program within established Board of Directors and legal requirements.</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Establish policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-Off for Uncollectible Accounts</td>
<td>Approve write-off of uncollectible accounts up to $15,000.</td>
<td>Approve write-off of uncollectible accounts over $15,000. Budget and Finance Committee review annual report of uncollectibles and make a recommendation to Executive Committee for final decision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept Real Property Interests</td>
<td>Accept interest in real property if the Board of Directors or Executive Committee has previously approved.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve Purchase Agreements for the acquisition of real property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secured Fire Protection Agreements</td>
<td>Approve Secured Fire Protection Agreements, using the standard form agreement previously approved by the Board of Directors, and approved as to form by General Counsel.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve Secured Fire Protection Agreements that contain material differences from the standard form approved by the Board of Directors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority Management</td>
<td>Claims Settlement Committee</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility licenses and easements</td>
<td>Execute licenses and easements for utilities necessary to implement construction projects approved by the Board or Executive Committee</td>
<td>Approve licenses and easements for utilities where no prior approval of construction projects has occurred</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept Grants</td>
<td>Accept all grants except: (1) when the grantor requires approval by the governing body, or; (2) when an adjustment is immediately needed to appropriations to expend the grant, or; (3) when a contract award is needed, requiring approval by the Executive Committee or Board.</td>
<td>Accept all grants in which the grantor requires approval by the governing body.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RULE 1. TIME AND PLACE OF MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Rule or by Resolution of the Board, a regular meeting of the Board of Directors shall be held on the fourth Thursday of each odd-numbered month, commencing at the hour of 6:00 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room, Regional Fire Operation and Training Center (RFOTC), One Fire Authority Road, Irvine. The meeting for the month of November shall be scheduled for the third Thursday, commencing at the hour of 5:30 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room, RFOTC, One Fire Authority Road, Irvine. Special meetings may occur on other dates, times, and/or locations as noticed.

(b) Except as otherwise provided in this Rule, a regular meeting of the Executive Committee shall be held on the fourth Thursday of each month, with the exception of December, commencing at the hour of 5:30 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room, RFOTC, One Fire Authority Road, Irvine. Due to the Thanksgiving holiday, the
regular November Executive Committee meeting business will be combined with the Board of Director’s meeting. Special meetings may occur on other dates, times, and/or locations as noticed.

(c) The Clerk of the Authority shall maintain the official meeting calendar, and shall post same in the display case located in the lobby of the Board Meeting Room and posting case outside main entry gate, RFOTC, One Fire Authority Road, Irvine.

(d) Any meeting of the Board may be adjourned to any other date and time when necessary for the transaction of business. Any adjourned meeting of the Board is part of a regular meeting.

(e) Special meetings of the Board may be called pursuant to and in accordance with Section 54956 of the Government Code. The Clerk shall prepare the notice and call of any special meeting. The notice shall specify the time and place of the special meeting and the business to be transacted. No other business shall be considered at such special meeting. A copy of the notice of the special meeting shall also be posted at the places designated in subsection (c) of Rule 1.

(f) In the event the Board or Executive Committee, or their respective Chairs, determine it advisable to hold a regular meeting at a location other than the Board Meeting Room, RFOTC, One Fire Authority Road, Irvine, a notice specifying the location of such meeting shall be posted in the display case in the lobby of the Board Meeting Room and posting case outside main entry gate, RFOTC, One Fire Authority Road, Irvine. Additional notices shall be posted and maintained as set forth in (c) above.

RULE 2. AGENDAS/ MINUTES FOR MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

(a) Items for the agenda for any regular meeting of the Board may be included on the agenda only with the approval of the Fire Chief or the Chair of the Board.

(b) During the Board Member Comments portion of a Board meeting, any member may request that an item be placed on a future agenda of the Board of Directors. Staff will prepare reports as appropriate and place the item on the agenda for the next meeting of the Board of Directors. At any other time, any Board Member may contact the Chair to request that an item be placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the Board of Directors. This item will be placed on the Board Discussion Calendar portion of the agenda for concurrence by the Board. No staff reports or materials will be prepared until the full Board directs that the item be placed on an agenda.

(c) As required by the Ralph M. Brown Act, items not on the posted agenda for a meeting shall not be considered by the Board except as follows:

(1) Upon determination by a majority vote of the Board that an emergency situation exists. The determination shall be made prior to Board consideration of the emergency item. An emergency situation is limited to:

(a) Work stoppage or other activity which severely impairs public health, safety or both.
(b) Crippling disaster which severely impairs public health, safety or both.

(2) Upon determination by a two-thirds vote of the Board Members present, or a unanimous vote if less than two-thirds of the Members are present, that there is a need to take immediate action and that the need came to the attention of the Authority subsequent to the agenda being posted. The determination shall be made prior to Board consideration of the item.

(3) The item was posted for a prior meeting occurring not more than five calendar days prior to the date action is taken on the item and at which prior meeting, the item was continued to the meeting at which action is being taken.

(d) The OCFA Board has adopted the use of Robert’s Rules of Order as its official guideline in the creation of minutes. All Board/Committee meeting will be documented using action-type minutes. Minutes will document the record of what actions were taken by the governing body, not what was said. The minutes will not contain personal comments or someone’s opinion about what happened.

(1) The minutes shall document the items identified in Rule 3 in the Board’s Order of Business.

(2) Public comments will identify the name of the speaker, their city of residences/or organization they represent (if provided by the speaker), and identify the subject to which their comments are being submitted. If commenting on a specific agenda item, the minutes will record the speaker’s name, their city of residences/or organization they represent (if provided), and identify if they are speaking in support or opposition of that item.

(e) “Notwithstanding any provision in these Rules to the contrary, no Memorandum of Understanding, or amendment, codicil, side letter, or any other modification to a Memorandum of Understanding, including any such documents negotiated pursuant to a reopener clause, between the Orange County Fire Authority and any employee bargaining unit ("proposed labor agreement"), shall be heard as an item on a Board agenda until and unless, at the time of the meeting during which the matter is heard by the Board, seven days has passed since the later of the following to occur: (1) the Clerk of the Authority has published a copy of the proposed document on the OCFA public website; and (2) the members of the employee bargaining unit have ratified the proposed labor agreement.”

RULE 3. ORDER OF BUSINESS FOR MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

(a) The business for regular meetings of the Board shall include:

- Invocation
- Pledge of Allegiance
- Roll Call
- Presentations
- Committee Reports
- Fire Chief’s Report
- Public Comments
- Minutes
Consent Calendar
(1) Agenda review at call of the Chair to identify those items on the Consent Calendar which a member of the Board or public requests the opportunity to discuss.
(2) Approval at the call of the Chair of those items for which there is no request for discussion.
(3) Discussion of items that the public has requested an opportunity to discuss shall be taken in sequential order.

Public Hearing(s)
Discussion Calendar
Closed Session
Closed Session Report
Adjournment

The Chair may alter or deviate the order of business.

(b) 9:30 p.m. Rule: At the hour of 9:30 p.m. the meeting will take a brief moment from the agenda at hand and make a determination as to which items will be considered and those that may be continued to the next regular meeting.

(c) 10:30 p.m. Curfew: All meetings will end business at 10:30 p.m. unless the hearing body elects to extend the curfew by a three-fifths vote of all members present.

RULE 4. MOTIONS DURING MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

(a) Action of the Board shall be taken by motion. Any action of the Board may be proposed by the motion of any Member. Such a motion, if seconded by any member, shall be on the floor and must be considered, unless a substitute motion has been made, seconded, and adopted. If a motion is not seconded, the motion fails for lack of a second, and shall be so declared by the Chair.

(b) A motion may be withdrawn or amended by its maker at any time before adoption or rejection, with the consent of the second. Absent the consent of the second, the original motion shall remain on the floor. The second to a motion may be withdrawn by the seconder at any time before adoption or rejection of the motion. Upon withdrawal of the second, the motion will be lost for lack of a second and so declared by the Chair unless seconded by another Member.

(c) After a motion has been seconded, any Member may discuss the subject of the motion. The Chair may, on any motion, call for the vote if it appears that further discussion will be repetitious or that a majority of the Members present concur in the call.

(d) A motion to reconsider the vote on an agenda item may be made at the meeting at which the item was acted upon, or any adjourned session of the same meeting.
RULE 5.   DECORUM FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS

(a) Members of the Board shall conduct themselves in an orderly and business-like manner to ensure that the business of the Authority shall be attended to efficiently and thoroughly and to ensure that the integrity of the deliberative process of the Board is maintained at all times. Members of the Board shall maintain a polite, respectful, and courteous manner when addressing one another, Authority staff, and members of the public during the meetings.

(b) Subject to direction by a majority of the Board, the Chair, or in the Chair's absence the Vice Chair, or in their absence any other member designated by the Board, shall be responsible for resolving all procedural issues and for maintaining the orderly conduct and decorum of meetings. It shall be the duty of the Chair to ensure that the rules of decorum contained herein are observed. The Chair shall maintain control of communication among Board Members, and between Board Members and the public.

(c) Communication by Board Members

1. Board Members should be recognized by the Chair before speaking.

2. A Board Member who is speaking shall remember that the purpose of the meeting is to attend to and resolve Authority business. Board Members shall avoid repetition and shall endeavor to limit their comments to the subject matter at hand. Board Members shall endeavor to express their views without engaging in lengthy debates.

3. When one Board Member is speaking, other Board Members shall not interrupt or otherwise disturb the speaker.

(d) Communication with members of the public addressing the Board.

1. Board Members may question the person addressing the Board at the conclusion of the person’s comments. A Board Member wishing to ask questions of a member of the public should first be recognized by the Chair.

2. Board Members shall not engage the person addressing the Board in a dialogue, but shall confine communication to a question and answer format.

3. If a member of the audience has addressed the Board on matters which are not on the agenda, Board Members shall refrain from extended discussions of the matter. If a Board Member so wishes, he/she may, during the Board Member Comments portion of the meeting, request that the matter be placed on a future agenda.

(e) Authority Staff shall not engage in a dialogue with members of the public during Board meetings. When addressed by the Board, staff shall respond in a polite and respectful manner.

(f) Members of the Audience shall not engage in disorderly or boisterous conduct, including the utterance of loud, threatening or abusive language, clapping, whistling, and stamping of feet or other acts which disturb, disrupt, impede or otherwise render the orderly conduct of the Board meeting unfeasible. A member of the audience engaging in any such conduct shall, at the discretion of the Chair or a majority of the Board Members, be subject to removal from that meeting.
(g) Members of the public may address the Board of Directors during the Public Comment Period and prior to the consideration of any agenda item. Any person wishing to speak, whether during the Public Comment Period or on an agenda item, shall first complete a request to speak form slip and submit the form to the Authority Clerk prior to the calling to order of the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter.

(h) No person shall address the Board of Directors without first being recognized by the Chair.

(i) The purpose of addressing the Board of Directors is to formally communicate to the Board on matters relating to Authority business or citizen concerns within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Persons addressing the Board on an agenda item shall confine the subject matter of their remarks to the particular matter before the Board.

(j) Each person addressing the Board of Directors shall do so in an orderly manner and shall not engage in any conduct, which disrupts, disturbs, or otherwise impedes the orderly conduct of the Board meeting. Any person, who so disrupts the meeting shall, at the discretion of the Chair or a majority of the Board Members, be subject to removal from that meeting.

(k) Persons addressing the Board of Directors shall address the Board as a whole and shall not engage in a dialogue with individual Board Members, Authority staff, or members of the audience.

(l) A time limit of approximately three minutes per person shall be allocated to all persons addressing the Board of Directors, however, at the discretion of the Chair, an individual speaking on behalf of a group sharing common concerns or opinions may be allocated additional time to speak for the group. A total of approximately thirty minutes will be allocated at the beginning of the meeting for Public Comments, with additional time granted at the discretion of the Chair. If needed, additional time for Public Comments will be provided at the conclusion of the normal business of the Board.

(m) Upon a violation of the rules of decorum established herein, the procedure to enforce the rules is as follows:

1. **Warning** The Chair shall first request that a person who is violating the rules cease such conduct. If, after receiving a request from the Chair, the person persists in violating these rules, the Chair shall order a recess. Any representative of the local assigned law enforcement personnel who is present at the meeting when the violation occurred shall be authorized to warn the person that his/her conduct is violating the rules and that he/she is requested to cease such conduct. If upon resumption of the meeting the violation persists, the Chair shall order another recess, whereupon the local assigned law enforcement personnel shall have the authority to order the person removed from the meeting and/or cited in violation of Penal Code Section 403.

2. **Motion to Enforce** If the Chair of the Board fails to enforce the rules of decorum set forth herein, any Board Member may move to require the Chair to do so, and an affirmative vote of a majority of the Board shall require the Chair to do so. If the Chair fails to carry out the will of the majority of the Board, the
majority may designate another Board Member to act as Chair for the limited purpose of enforcing the rules of decorum established herein.

3. **Clearing the Room** Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.9, in the event that any meeting is willfully interrupted by a person or groups of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of such meeting unfeasible and order cannot be restored by the removal of the individuals who are willfully interrupting the meeting, by a majority vote of the Board Members the meeting room may be ordered cleared and the meeting shall continue in session. Only matters appearing on the agenda may be considered in such a session. Representatives of the press or other news media, except those participating in the disturbance, shall be allowed to attend any session held pursuant to this Section 54957.9.

4. **Violation of the California Penal Code** A person or persons who substantially impair(s) the conduct of a Board meeting by knowingly and intentionally violating these rules of decorum may be prosecuted under Penal Code Section 403 for disturbing a public meeting. Every person who violates Penal Code Section 403 is guilty of a misdemeanor.

---

**RULE 6. ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS/ABSENCE OF PRESIDING OFFICER**

(a) The officers of the Board are the Chair and Vice Chair.

(b) Elections for Chair and Vice Chair shall be held at the first meeting of each calendar year.

   The method of nomination and election of the Chair and Vice Chair will be at the discretion of the Board.

(c) The Presiding Officer of the Board shall be the Chair, or in the Chair’s absence the Vice Chair, followed by the Immediate Past Chair, then the Budget and Finance Committee Chair.

---

**RULE 7. RETENTION OF EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTARY MATERIAL RECEIVED IN HEARINGS BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

All exhibits, including documentary materials such as photographs, drawings, maps, letters, petitions, and other physical evidence received by the Board at hearings shall be retained by the Clerk as part of the record of the hearings. To the extent possible, the Clerk may furnish copies of such materials to persons requesting them upon payment of the fee prescribed for copies of public records.

---

**RULE 8. CEREMONIAL FUNCTIONS AND PROCLAMATIONS**

The Chair is authorized to represent the Authority at ceremonial functions, proclamation ceremonies, and other similar events when the Board has not otherwise designated one of its Members to represent the Authority.
RULE 9.  THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

(a) The Executive Committee shall conduct all business of the Authority, with the exception of policy issues, including labor relations, budget issues, and other matters specifically retained by the Board of Directors.

(b) The Executive Committee shall consist of no more than nine members of the Board of Directors. Members of the Executive Committee shall serve until a new member is seated in their stead by virtue of appointment or assumption of one of the designated positions. The Executive Committee membership is comprised of the following designated positions: the Chair and Vice Chair of the Board of Directors, the immediate past Chair of the Board, and the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee. In addition, membership as constituted, shall include at least one member of the County Board of Supervisors. The Chair shall appoint the remaining at-large members, who shall serve subject to approval by majority vote of the Directors present at a subsequent meeting. Said members are authorized to serve pending such vote of the Board. In the selection of at-large members, appointments shall be made in such a manner as to achieve approximately the ratio of cash contract cities to total member agencies of the Authority. The Chair of the City Managers Technical Advisory Committee shall serve as the ex officio non-voting member of the Executive Committee and shall not be included in the determination of a quorum for any meeting.

(c) The Chair shall appoint a three-member panel of structural fire fund Alternate Directors and a three-member panel of cash contract city Alternate Directors. If an at-large member of the Executive Committee notifies the Clerk of the Authority that he or she cannot attend an Executive Committee meeting, the Clerk shall call, on a rotating basis, Alternate Directors from the respective panels in an attempt to replace a structural fire fund Director with a structural fire fund Alternate and/or a cash contract city Director with a cash contract city Alternate, as the case may be.

In the absence of the member of the Executive Committee representing the County Board of Supervisors, the Alternate voting member shall be the second regular member of the Board of Directors representing the County Board of Supervisors.

In the absence of the Budget and Finance Chair, the Alternate voting member shall be the Vice Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee.

Should the position of Immediate Past Chair on the Executive Committee become vacant, the most recent past Chair, who is a Director and who is not currently seated on the Executive Committee, shall serve in that capacity. Should there be no prior Board Chair on the Board of Directors, the current Director with the most tenure and not currently seated as a member of the Executive Committee will be seated on the Executive Committee and shall serve in the interim until there is an Immediate Past Chair.

There shall be no Alternate Directors appointed in the absence of the Chair, Vice Chair, or immediate past Chair.

Should the Board Chair or Vice Chair be unable to officiate over a Board and/or Executive Committee meeting that the Immediate Past Chair followed by the
Budget and Finance Committee Chair assume the duty of presiding over the meeting.

RULE 10. THE STANDING COMMITTEES

(a) Standing Committees may be established by the Board of Directors for the purpose of facilitating a thorough review of various issues before presentation to and action by the full Board. Alternate Directors shall not act as alternates for Directors on standing committees.

(b) The Budget and Finance Committee shall be established as a standing committee. The regular meetings of the Budget and Finance Committee will be on the second Wednesday of each month, with the exception of December, at 12 noon located in Classroom 1 (Board Breakout Room) at the RFOTC. Special meetings may occur on other dates, times, and/or locations as noticed.

(1) The Chair shall make all appointments to the Budget and Finance Committee. Appointments to the Budget and Finance Committee shall be made in such a manner as to achieve, as close as reasonably possible, a balance between the number of members representing Structural Fire Fund and Cash Contract cities.

(2) At the first meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee following the annual election of the Chair and Vice Chair of the Board of Directors, the Directors assigned to the Budget and Finance Committee shall elect from their members a Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee.

(3) The Chair, or in his/her absence, the Vice Chair, of the Budget and Finance Committee shall serve as a member of the Executive Committee.

(4) Items for the agenda for any regular meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee shall be included on the agenda only with the approval of the Committee Chair or the Staff Liaison.

(5) The Board of Directors, through the Chair, shall appoint one City Manager to the Budget and Finance Committee. The City Manager shall serve as an ex officio non-voting member of the Budget and Finance Committee. As an ex officio member, the City Manager shall not be included in the determination of a quorum for any meeting.

(e) The Claims Settlement Committee shall be established as a standing committee. The regular meetings of the Claims Settlement Committee will be at 5:30 p.m., prior to and on the same days as the regular meetings of the Executive Committee meetings. The Committee will meet in Classroom 1 (Board Breakout Room) at the RFOTC. Special meetings may occur on other dates, times, and/or locations as noticed.

(1) The Claims Settlement Committee consists of the Board Chair and Vice Chair, the Budget and Finance Committee Chair, the Human Resources Committee Chair, the Fire Chief, and the Human Resources Director. The Fire Chief’s designee shall, in the absence of the Fire Chief, be an alternate Committee member. The Risk Manager shall in the absence of the Human Resources Director, be an alternate Committee member. In the absence of a member of the Board of Directors, the absent member may designate in writing, filed with
the Clerk of the Authority, an alternate Committee member from the Executive Committee who may attend and participate to the full extent as the designated Committee member.

(2) Staff to the Committee will be the Risk Manager or his or her designee. Additional subject matter experts may attend Committees as necessary.

(3) The Claims Settlement Committee shall have authority to (a) settle workers’ compensation claims for amounts over $50,000, not to exceed $250,000, exclusive of any statutorily required future medical payments; (b) settle non-workers’ compensation claims for amounts over $50,000, not to exceed $250,000; and (c) advise and recommend to OCFA’s attorney of record the settlement of any lawsuit in an amount not to exceed $250,000.

(d)-(c) The Human Resources Committee shall be established as a standing committee. The regular meetings of the Human Resources Committee will be established by the Committee. The date and time selected by the Human Resources Committee is to meet on a quarterly basis on the first Tuesday during the months of February, May, August, and November at 12 noon. The Human Resources Committee will meet in Classroom 1 (Board Breakout Room) at the RFOTC. Special meetings may occur on other dates, times, and/or locations as noticed.

(1) The Human Resources Committee shall not exceed seven members of the Board of Directors. The Chair shall make all appointments to the Human Resources Committee. Appointments to the Human Resources Committee shall be made in such a manner as to achieve, as close as reasonably possible, a balance between the number of members representing Structural Fire Fund and Cash Contract cities.

(2) At the first meeting of the Human Resources Committee following the annual election of the Chair and Vice Chair of the Board of Directors, the Directors assigned to the Human Resources Committee shall elect from its members a Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee.

(3) Staff to the Committee will be the Human Resources Director or his or her designee. Additional subject matter experts may attend Committees as necessary.

(4) The Human Resources Committee shall advise OCFA staff and make recommendations to the Board of Directors on matters regarding human resources policies; job class specifications, compensation programs; benefit changes and renewals; labor negotiations; staff training, development and recognition programs; succession planning; risk management and workers’ compensation policies; and development of management/performance evaluation and information systems.
RULE 11. DESIGNATED LABOR NEGOTIATORS

(a) For purposes of holding a closed session concerning compensation and benefits for the Fire Chief, the Board of Directors' designated representatives shall be the Chair, the Vice Chair, the Immediate Past Chair, and the Budget and Finance Committee Chair. Should the Board and/or Budget and Finance Committee reorganize prior to the completion of the Fire Chief’s evaluation process the negotiators that began the process will complete the evaluation.

(b) For purposes of holding a closed session concerning compensation and benefits for all other represented and unrepresented employees, the Board of Directors' designated representatives shall be the Fire Chief’s designee, the Human Resources Director and any other representative so designated at a meeting of the Board of Directors.

RULE 12. PROVISION OF REQUESTED MATERIALS BY BOARD MEMBERS

(a) Should an individual Board Member request information or materials that: (i) are not currently maintained by the OCFA in its normal course of business, and (ii) would require significant staff resources for research or analysis to create a document or to prepare or compile the information, then the requesting Board Member shall seek approval from the Board Chair who shall either approve the request or agendize the consideration to authorize the request at the next Board meeting.

(b) Should a Board Member or a member agency make a Public Records Act request for official business purposes, in keeping with the current fee schedule, the Board Member or its member agency will not be charged a fee for this service. This privilege is not to be used as a means for members of the public to circumvent the fees approved by the Board of Directors associated with records requests. Any records produced in response to a Board Member or member agency official business requests shall be made available to all Board Members along with a summary of the hard and soft costs involved in the provision of the requested records.

(c) Any Board Member requesting records for purposes other than official use will be charged any applicable fees approved by the Board of Directors that are associated with the request.

RULE 13. COMPENSATION/REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPENSES

(a) Compensation: Members of the Board of Directors are entitled to receive $100 per meeting per day, with a maximum of $300 per month, for voting member attendance at OCFA publicly noticed (Brown Act compliant) meetings and the monthly Chair/Chief meeting.

(b) Authorized Expenses: OCFA funds, equipment, supplies (including letterhead), titles, and staff time must only be used for authorized OCFA business. The following types of occurrences qualify a Board Member to receive payment and/or reimbursement of expenses relating to travel, meals, lodging, and other actual and necessary expenses, and generally constitute authorized expenses, as long as the other requirements of this policy are met:
1) Communicating with representatives of regional, state and national government on OCFA adopted policy positions;

2) Attending conferences designed to improve Board Member’s expertise and information levels, including, but not limited to, ethics training required pursuant to California Government Code Section 53234;

3) Participating in regional, state and national organizations whose activities affect OCFA’s interests;

4) Recognizing service to OCFA (for example, acknowledging a longtime employee with a retirement gift or celebration of nominal value and cost);

5) Attending OCFA events.

All other expenditures require prior approval by the OCFA Board of Directors at a public meeting. The following expenses also require prior Board of Directors’ approval:

1) International travel;

2) Expenses exceeding $2,500 per person, per trip.

Examples of personal expenses that OCFA will not reimburse include, but are not limited to:

1) The personal portion of any trip;

2) Political contributions or events;

3) Family expenses, including partner’s expenses when accompanying a Board Member on OCFA-related business, as well as children or pet-related expenses;

4) Charitable contributions or events, unless the event has a direct relationship to OCFA business, (for example, acknowledging extraordinary deeds by OCFA personnel) is approved by the Fire Chief and does not exceed $250;

5) Entertainment expenses, including theater, movies (either in-room or at the theater), sporting events (including gym, massage and/or golf related expenses), or other cultural events;

6) Non-mileage personal automobile expenses, including repairs, traffic citations, insurance or gasoline;

7) Personal losses incurred while on OCFA business.

Any questions regarding the propriety of a particular type of expense should be resolved before the expense is incurred.

(c) Expense and Reimbursement Guidelines: To conserve OCFA resources and keep expenses within appropriate standards for public officials, expenditures, whether paid directly by OCFA or reimbursed to a Board Member, Members should adhere to the following guidelines. Unless otherwise specifically provided, reimbursement for travel, meals, lodging, and other actual and necessary expenses shall be at the Internal Revenue Service rates presently in effect as established in Publication 463 or any successor publication.
Transportation: The most economical mode and class of transportation reasonably consistent with scheduling needs and space requirements must be used, using the most direct and time-efficient route. In the event that a more expensive transportation form or route is used, the cost borne by OCFA will be limited to the cost of the most economical, direct, efficient and reasonable transportation form. Government and group rates offered by a provider of transportation services shall be used when available.

Automobile mileage is reimbursable at Internal Revenue Service rates in effect at the time of travel (see www.irs.gov). These rates are designed to compensate the driver for gasoline, insurance, maintenance, and other expenses associated with operating the vehicle. This amount does not include bridge and road tolls, which are also reimbursable.

Reasonable and necessary charges for public transportation, taxi, or shuttle service are reimbursable at actual cost with receipts.

Rental vehicles may be used during out-of-county travel and will be reimbursed at actual cost. Rental vehicles may be used when the efficient conduct of OCFA business precludes the use of other means of transportation or when car rental is the most economical mode available. Itemized original receipts must be submitted with vehicle rental claims.

Lodging: Actual lodging costs will be reimbursed or paid for when travel on official OCFA business reasonably requires an overnight stay. If such lodging is in connection with a conference or organized educational activity, lodging costs shall not exceed the group rate published by the conference or activity sponsor for the meeting in question, provided that the group rate is available at the time of booking. Government and group rates offered by a provider of lodging services shall be used when available.

Non-reimbursable lodging-related expenses include, but are not limited to, costs for an extra person staying in the room, costs related to late checkout or uncancelled reservations, in-room pay-per-view movie rentals, and non-OCFA business related phone calls. Itemized receipts must accompany claims for lodging reimbursements.

Meals: Meal expenses and associated gratuities should be moderate, taking into account community standards and the prevailing restaurant costs of the area. OCFA will pay the actual cost of the meals, but will not pay for alcohol/personal bar expenses.

Telephone/Fax/Cellular: Board Members will be reimbursed for actual telephone and fax expenses incurred on OCFA business. Telephone bills should identify which calls were made on OCFA business. For cellular calls when the Board Member has a particular number of minutes included in the Board Member’s plan, the Board Member can identify the percentage of calls made on OCFA business.
Airport Parking: Long-term parking should be used for travel exceeding 24-hours. OCFA will pay the actual cost of long-term parking.

Other: Baggage handling at then current airport baggage rates per bag and gratuities of up to 15 percent will be reimbursed.

Miscellaneous: Actual expenses for registration, tuition, and parking are reimbursable for OCFA authorized business. Miscellaneous expenses must be supported with itemized receipts.

Expenses for which Board Members receive reimbursement from another agency are not reimbursable.

(d) Expense Report Content and Submission Deadline: Expense reports must document that the expense in question meet the requirements of the policy. For example, if the meeting is with a legislator, the Board Member should explain whose meals were purchased, what issues were discussed and how those relate to the Authority’s adopted legislative positions and priorities.

Board Members must submit their expense reports within 30 days of an expense being incurred, accompanied by receipts documenting each expense. Restaurant receipts, in addition to any credit card receipts, are also part of the necessary documentation. Receipts for gratuities and tolls under $5 are not required.

(e) Audits of Expense Reports: All expenses are subject to verification of compliance with this policy.

(f) Reports to Governing Board: At the following Board of Directors’ meeting, each Board Member shall briefly report on meetings attended at OCFA expense. If multiple Board Members attended, a joint report may be made.

(g) Compliance with Laws: Board Members should keep in mind that some expenditures may be subject to reporting under the Political Reform Act and other laws. All documents related to reimbursable agency expenditures are public records subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act.

(h) Violation of this Policy: Misuse of public resources or falsifying expense reports in violation of this policy may result in any or all of the following: 1) loss of reimbursement privileges, 2) a demand for restitution to OCFA, 3) OCFA’s reporting the expenses as income to the elected official to state and federal tax authorities, and 4) prosecution for misuse of public resources.

RULE 14. DISCLOSURE OF CLOSED SESSION INFORMATION

All information presented to the Board in closed session shall be confidential. However, a Board Member may disclose information obtained in a closed session that has direct financial or liability implications for the Board Member’s local agency to the following individuals:

(a) Legal counsel of the Board Member’s local agency for purposes of obtaining advice on whether the matter has direct financial or liability implications for that local agency; and/or
(b) Other members of the legislative body of the Board Member’s local agency present in a closed session of that local agency.

Prior to disclosing any information obtained in a closed session to legal counsel of the Board Member’s local agency or other members of the legislative body of the Board Member’s local agency, the Board Member shall notify OCFA General Counsel of the intention to discuss the matter with their local agency's legal counsel or other members of the legislative body in order to afford General Counsel the opportunity to discuss with their local agency's legal counsel whether the matter has direct financial or liability implications for the Board Member’s local agency.

RULE 15. AMENDMENT OF RULES OF PROCEDURE

No rule of the Board shall be adopted or amended except by resolution adopted by the Board.
Organizational Service Level Assessments

Contact(s) for Further Information
Lori Zeller, Deputy Chief lorizeller@ocfa.org 714.573.6020
Administration & Support Bureau
Dave Anderson, Deputy Chief daveanderson@ocfa.org 714.573.6006
Emergency Operations Bureau

Summary
This agenda item is submitted for approval of Citygate’s initial scope of work, associated costs, and necessary budget adjustments for performance of service level assessments (SLAs) in specified areas of the OCFA during 2019.

Prior Board/Committee Action(s)
Budget and Finance Committee Recommendation: APPROVE
At its meeting of November 15, 2018, the Executive Committee awarded a Master Consulting Agreement with Citygate Associates, LLC, the number one ranked firm in the OCFA’s Request for Qualifications (RFQ) process for as-needed organizational service level review consulting services. Upon approval of the Master Agreement, staff was directed to return to the full Board of Directors in January with details regarding the initial year’s scope of work, cost, and budget.

At its regular January 9, 2019 meeting, the Budget and Finance Committee reviewed and unanimously recommended approval of this item.

RECOMMENDED ACTION(S)
1. Approve and authorize the Purchasing Manager to issue individual Purchase Orders to Citygate Associates, LLC, under the general terms and conditions of the previously authorized Master Agreement, for each of the following Service Level Assessments:
   a. Emergency Command Center - $192,026
   b. Emergency Medical Services - $106,842
   c. Fleet Services - $92,922
   d. Field Deployment Services – $122,061
   e. Executive Leadership Team/Human Resources, Integrated Strategic Planning - $186,874
2. Direct staff to increase expenditures in the FY 2018/19 General Fund (121) budget by $700,725 to fund the Service Level Assessments outlined above for 2019.

Impact to Cities/County
Not Applicable.

Fiscal Impact
Costs for year-one work total is $700,725 and requires a budget adjustment for funding, since this project has not been anticipated during the FY 2018/19 budget development process.
**Background**
In the past, the OCFA has hired consultants to assist in developing a long-term strategic plan for the Authority, designed to cover a five-year (or longer) planning horizon. Although long-term strategic planning is considered key to achieving major goals, many organizations have started questioning their value because of the pace of change, future uncertainties, and the need to be innovative and adaptive. This proposed Organizational Service Level Review project is intended to accomplish the same objectives as a strategic plan, but in smaller pieces, and allow for progress to be made on identified objectives, while other portions of the organization are still being assessed. To initiate this project, staff completed a competitive RFQ process resulting in the Executive Committee awarding a Master Consulting Agreement to Citygate Associates, LLC, the number one ranked firm. Staff was further directed to return in January with details regarding the initial year’s scope of work, cost, and budget.

We have identified the following areas for review during 2019, and worked with Citygate regarding each scope of work and associated costs, with a total combined cost of $700,725 as follows:

- Emergency Command Center - $192,026
- Emergency Medical Services - $106,842
- Fleet Services - $92,922
- Field Deployment Services - $122,061
- Executive Leadership Team/Human Resources, Integrated Strategic Planning - $186,874

Detailed scopes of work for the areas listed above are provided as Attachment Nos. 1-5. The latter area which includes “Integrated Strategic Planning” is a category that we anticipate for inclusion during each year of this process. This particular area will enable the Fire Chief and his Executive Team to use Citygate resources as issues arise and/or overlap between SLAs. Furthermore, Citygate’s project manager is responsible for coordinating all SLA issues into not just individual reports, but into an integrated strategic plan. This category will ultimately facilitate the final integrated strategic plan.

Staff is submitting this agenda item to the Budget and Finance Committee (B&FC) for review and input prior to submitting the item to the Board of Directors. Further, staff is recommending that the B&FC, as OCFA’s Audit Oversight Committee, provide review, input, and oversight for all future phases of Citygate’s work with OCFA. Essentially, the B&FC will vet all aspects of this work, prior to submitting final work products to the full Board of Directors.

**Attachment(s)**
1. Emergency Command Center
2. Emergency Medical Services
3. Fleet Services
4. Field Deployment Services
5. Executive Leadership Team/Human Resources, Integrated Strategic Planning
December 14, 2018

Brian Fennessy
Fire Chief
Orange County Fire Authority
brianfennessy@ocfa.org

RE: PROPOSAL TO PERFORM A SERVICE LEVEL ASSESSMENT OF THE EMERGENCY COMMAND CENTER (ECC) FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

Dear Chief Fennessy:

In response to your request under our Master Agreement, Citygate Associates, LLC (Citygate) is pleased to present this scope of work and costs to prepare a service level assessment for the Orange County Fire Authority’s (OCFA) Emergency Command Center (ECC).

PROJECT APPROACH

We propose to prepare the ECC service level assessment meeting the OCFA’s requested Statement of Work, incorporating guidelines and best practices from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the Commission on Fire Accreditation International, relevant federal and state laws and regulations, and other recognized industry best practices.

Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks over a five-month project schedule, incorporating all of the elements contained in OCFA’s Statement of Work. We will conduct the service level assessment pursuant to our project Work Plan below for a total cost not to exceed $192,026.

THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY’S REQUESTED ASSESSMENT

The OCFA has requested the following items to be reviewed:

Objective

Conduct an objective service level assessment of the ECC to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of activities and ensure compliance with policies/procedures, best practices, and regulatory agencies.
Core Areas of Interest

- Does the ECC meet NFPA performance standards? Report performance on all operational data.
- Is the ECC appropriately staffed, per industry standards, to deal with anticipated and unforeseen surges in activity?
- Are there any areas of weakness or vulnerability that require attention?
- Does ECC organizational structure effectively support the administration and operational functions?
- What are the opportunities to improve efficiency, performance, and service delivery?
- What technologies and best practices should the OCFA consider?
- What are the opportunities to improve the health and welfare of dispatchers?

Assumptions

- Analysis should be focused on the 12-hour shift schedule, which starts January 4, 2019.
- The OCFA is dissatisfied with Criteria Based Dispatch and intends to seek a replacement triaging system.
- The OCFA recently hired a consultant to assess and implement physical, cyber-security improvements and off-site co-location.
- Division Chief and shift Battalion Chiefs will be added to the ECC starting December 4, 2019; their roles and responsibilities are under development.
- Orange County Communications is making preparations to bring text-to-9-1-1 in the coming months.

Specific Questions and Tasks

- Policies/procedures
  - Are policies/procedures up to date and do they meet best practices?
  - Are policies and procedures clearly understood and consistently applied?
  - Assess initial and ongoing training and certifications; assess documentation.
Are memorandums and directives translated into policies/procedures in reasonable time frames?

Call processing

- Are room layout, information flow, and key positions aligned for effective operations?
- Are roles/responsibilities of call taker, primary dispatcher, tactical radio operator, and shift supervisor clear, understood, and consistently applied?

Call taker

- Can the call answering script be optimized to improve efficiency and reduce errors?
- How often are errors generated at entry? How well are they documented? How does the OCFA compare to other agencies?
- What is the frequency and what are the causes of misrouted calls?
- Are there opportunities to improve handling of non-English-speaking parties and members of the hearing-impaired community (TTY/TTD)?

Primary dispatcher

- Is there an opportunity to utilize technology to reduce call processing time?

Information technology

- Does underlying GIS data allow for the effective locating of incident locations and dispatching of resources?
- Are dispatchers provided robust mapping within computer-aided dispatch (CAD) to support their job function?
- Is Information Technology adequately supporting the 24/7 environment for after-hours issues?

Preparedness

- Are there policies/procedures, training materials, and exercises to support internal disruption and disaster recovery?
Staffing

- At what call volume should the OCFA consider adding additional dispatchers?
- If Garden Grove Fire was to partner with the OCFA for services, would the addition of four dispatchers meet anticipated workload?

Training

- Does dispatcher and supervisor training meet the minimum job performance requirements of NFPA 1061?
- Is training consistent, reliable, and documented?

Future

- Would bridging CAD2CAD with law enforcement promote efficient 9-1-1 service?
- Is there an opportunity to leverage 2-1-1 Orange County to a greater degree and reduce ECC workload?
- Are there actions that the OCFA should be taking in preparation of NG911?

**PROJECT WORK PLAN**

For the ECC service level assessment, per our Master Agreement with the OCFA, Citygate is partnering with Mission Critical Partners (MCP) for many of the elements requested. Other elements, overall project management, integrated reporting, and strategic plan integration will be conducted by Citygate.

Our proposed ECC service level assessment Work Plan consists of five tasks, as follows.

**Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project**

**Subtasks:**

1.1 **Develop Detailed Work Plan Schedule for Project**

- The Citygate/MCP team will develop a detailed work schedule for the project. This will assist both the Citygate/MCP team and the OCFA staff to monitor project progress.
1.2 Request and Review Authority Data and Documentation

- At the start of the project, Citygate will develop and submit a request for data/documentation relevant to this project, including:
  - Policies and procedures
    - Procurement, personnel, and project management
  - Infrastructure
  - 9-1-1
    - CPE, CAD, RMS, and Recorder
  - Personnel
    - Telecommunicators and supervisors, system administrators, and technology support staff
  - Oversight
  - External support provided to other agencies
  - Training and leadership development
    - Internal and external to ECC
  - Quality assurance
  - Disaster recovery
  - Existing succession plans.

- MCP will provide the OCFA the additional data sets that are needed for discussion prior to the kick-off meeting in Task 1. Although they will be finalized at project kick-off, some data areas that may be candidates for collection include:
  - Job descriptions
  - Turnover rates
  - Emergency and non-emergency call volumes
  - Number of ten-digit administrative calls
  - Incident volumes including events generated from the field
  - Radio frequencies utilized
Mobile data system currently being utilized
- Structured protocols currently in use
- External interfaces (e.g., CAD-CAD, automated alarms, National Crime Information Center [NCIC])
- Division of duties and responsibilities
- Supervision and schedules
- Training and certification
- Shift differentials
- Busy hour / demand data
- Costs, budgetary, and financial feasibility data
- Call characteristics
- Backup, redundancy, and diversity of systems/support.

Citygate will utilize a secure online file sharing service to make it convenient for OCFA staff to provide requested data/documentation.

After receiving the requested documentation, Citygate’s team will review it prior to conducting the start-up meeting and stakeholder interviews in the following subtasks. Citygate has found that reviewing this information prior to interviews improves the effectiveness and value of the interviews since it results in more specific questions and more definitive information.

1.3 Project Kick-Off Meeting and Planning Session (Estimated One Day On-Site)

Citygate and MCP will conduct a project kick-off meeting with the Fire Chief and any designated stakeholder representatives. Citygate’s and MCP’s project managers and project staffs will meet with the OCFA’s project team and key stakeholders to establish mutual acquaintance, clarify roles, and reach a mutual understanding of the future vision and plans for the assessment. Prior to the meeting, Citygate and MCP staff will thoroughly review any available documentation or material the OCFA can make available from previous relevant work, such as previous staffing studies, letters, standard operating procedures, contracts, as-built documentation, presentation and training material, etc. Citygate’s and MCP’s project managers will facilitate the meeting and will review:
- Project and task milestones, schedules, and deliverables
- Project budget
- Scheduling of interviews with user and stakeholder representatives
- Scheduling of progress review meetings
- Collection of any existing material and discussion of any other available information on each of the current systems/subsystems.

- A step-by-step review of the content and outline of the OCFA’s plan and other deliverables for this phase of the project will be conducted during the project kick-off meeting. Any updates or changes from the initial outline will be documented for mutual agreement and to ensure that all expectations of the OCFA are addressed in the plan.

- Bringing together the key stakeholders will be critical to developing a full understanding of the current state (as-is) and desired future state (to-be), along with the expectations and system requirements.

- MCP has extensive experience engaging stakeholders to shape the vision and strategic objectives. MCP will gather data associated with the current state and focus on integration with future state and develop appropriate timelines for each objective. MCP will address gaps in operations, identification of partners, and opportunities to improve community engagement.

- Expected current state components for OCFA’s ECC that would be applicable to the assessment include:
  - History and regional benefits
  - OCFA ECC’s vision
  - Implementation/progress to date on vision execution
  - Current regional partnerships
  - Operational challenges
  - Technology limitations (including system administrator staff and skillsets)
  - Communications needs
  - Memorandums of understanding (MOUs) / mutual aid agreements
  - Succession planning and leadership development
Evaluation of impact and lessons-learned when adding new community partners

Identification of the intersection between public safety and community organizations.

1.4 Ongoing Project Management

Citygate will provide monthly written status reports, along with an invoice, that describe work performed in the prior month, work scheduled in the upcoming month, and any study issues or project and budget issues.

In addition, if a serious issue is encountered at any point in the project, Citygate will immediately call and/or email the OCFA’s Project Manager to work on an effective, timely resolution.

Meetings and Deliverables

An on-site project kick-off meeting is anticipated for this task, which will include the delivery of the draft project plan. We will provide monthly project status updates throughout the project duration.

Task 2: Data Gathering and Analysis

Subtasks:

2.1 Schedule Data Gathering Meetings

In conjunction with the kick-off meeting and planning session on site, Citygate and MCP will work with the OCFA to schedule initial data gathering meetings.

2.2 Conduct Data Gathering Meetings

It is anticipated that MCP will be on site for up to four days following the kick-off meeting and planning session. Data gathering will be offered to include a survey, conference call follow-ups, and interviews, as needed.

MCP anticipates auditing existing equipment and discussing with the OCFA the current upgrade and replacement plan to gain an understanding of the current projects started and future projects planned. The audit inventory and assessment will include all the systems, focusing on operations, hardware/software, maintenance, expected upgrades, redundancy, ownership, financing etc., for mission critical activity such as:
9-1-1 Call Processing Equipment

¬ CAD including remote access and interfaces

¬ Logging

¬ Mobile data software and connectivity

¬ Networking

¬ Radio dispatch consoles

¬ Microwave connectivity to public safety answering point (PSAP)

¬ Alerting.

Successful data collection requires full support of the OCFA to arrange calls, interviews, and/or observations/visits.

### 2.3 Conduct Detailed Analysis of ECC

The Citygate/MCP team will conduct detailed analysis of ECC operations to address all Statement of Work questions in the areas of: policies/procedures, call processing, information technology, preparedness, staffing, training, and future.

MCP’s detailed review will include:

¬ **Existing and Future 9-1-1 Technology** – MCP’s subject matter experts will review the current documented standards for procurement and implementation of 9-1-1 and administrative technologies including interoperability, compatibility, system(s) lifecycle, maintenance, NG911, FirstNet, and system testing. These standards will be evaluated based upon the extensive public safety technology experience of MCP as well as industry standards.

¬ **Policies and Procedures** – Existing policies and procedures will be evaluated to determine if they are sufficient to meet the current and future needs of the system and if they are being followed by the OCFA. MCP will determine if the policies and procedures in place are comprehensive enough for both the size and mission of the OCFA. MCP has extensive experience evaluating operating procedures at the state, county, and city level.

¬ **Infrastructure** – The existing infrastructure will be documented and analyzed by MCP’s subject matter experts to determine deficiencies, end-of-support scenarios, as well as potential points of failure.
Recommendations will be made for necessary upgrades or replacements to minimize current risks and to prepare for new technologies such as NG911.

- **Personnel** – Human resources are the most critical component to every public safety organization. MCP will document the existing business practices, organizational structure, and workloads of 9-1-1 call takers and dispatchers, system administrators and technology support staff, supervisory and administrative support staff. Analysis will be completed based upon MCP’s experience, industry standards, and the impact of NG911 and FirstNet, to evaluate if current staffing levels, skill sets, and structure are adequate to most efficiently and effectively meet the current and future needs of the OCFA. MCP’s recommendations will include suggested staffing levels, business practice modifications, and additional positions to perform functions not currently being met. Succession planning and leadership development will also be addressed from a strategic view as the OCFA plans for future changes to technology and growth.

- **Oversight** – As a component of the personnel and policies and procedures analysis, MCP will document and evaluate the current supervisory structure in place. The evaluation will focus on the effectiveness of the current structure to support the current and future mission of the OCFA. Alternatives to the methods of oversight will be identified based on industry best practices and MCP’s project experience.

- **Training** – Internal and external training content, methods, policies, and procedures will be evaluated to determine if the current level of training is adequate or if deficiencies exist. MCP will also identify gaps in training and skills projected for both current state and future operations and technology support staff required to operate and support the PSAP in the future state. This will be accomplished through a combination of documentation review and through the interview process with staff and users. Recommendations will be made for improvements and alternatives to enhance or supplement current training offerings and delivery.

- **Disaster Recovery** – A disaster recovery plan is one of the most critical components to every public safety organization in times of extreme emergencies. MCP will document the existing disaster recovery plan, processes, tools and training, as well as backup power, communication, and facilities for the PSAP. Analysis will be completed based upon MCP’s
experience and current industry standards for disaster recovery. MCP will evaluate if the current plan, backup facility, backup power, and communication capabilities are adequate to efficiently and effectively meet the current and future needs of the OCFA in times of disaster. MCP’s recommendations will include suggestions around policy, plans, training, backup power, backup facility space, communication, staffing levels, business practice modifications, and additional positions needed to perform functions in an emergency situation and analyze potential needs for future changes to technology and growth.

Meetings and Deliverables

Up to four on-site days is anticipated for this task, which may be possible to partially combine with the on-site trip in Task 1.

Task 3: Mid-Project Draft Opinions Briefing

Subtasks:

3.1 Prepare and Conduct a Mid-Project Briefing

Upon completion of Tasks 1 and 2, the Citygate/MCP team will conduct an on-site briefing to preview findings and recommendations. This briefing will also include a discussion of any anomalies in the data and the resolution of any remaining issues.

Pursuant to any input received from the briefing, Citygate and MCP will make any data-driven changes and then refinements, if needed, will be incorporated into the Draft Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task to present the Mid-Project Briefing, which will be provided in MS-PowerPoint to the OCFA.

Task 4: Prepare Draft Report

Subtasks:

4.1 Prepare Draft Report with Exhibits

The Citygate/MCP team will prepare a Draft Report, including appropriate exhibits.
Upon completion of the Draft Report, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the OCFA’s Project Manager for comments using the track changes and insert comments tools in MS-Word.

4.2 Review Draft Report with OCFA Planning Assessment Team

Citygate’s normal practice is to review Draft Reports with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate takes time to discuss any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.

The Citygate/MCP team will conduct a site meeting to review the Draft Report, answer any questions, and agree on the elements for the Final Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meetings for this task.

Task 5: Prepare and Present Final Report

Subtasks:

5.1 Prepare and Submit Final Report

The process of Final Report preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the recommendations were made, and how implementation should be accomplished.

Based on results of the review process in Task 4, the Citygate/MCP team will prepare and submit an Executive Summary and comprehensive Final Report, including appropriate exhibits. The report will:

- Describe why the ECC is being reviewed
- Describe how the Citygate/MCP team performed the analysis
- Describe best practice benchmarks
- Present technical review findings
- Present actionable recommendations
Describe metrics and future needs for the ECC as an input to the overall OCFA applied strategic plan, which is continually built through each additional cost center service level review.

5.2 Final Report Presentation

The Citygate/MCP Project Managers will present key elements of the Final Report using Microsoft PowerPoint to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Executive Management team.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one partial-day meeting to present key elements of the Final Report to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Project Manager.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Citygate’s five-month schedule is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Draft Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-site meeting

CITYGATE/MCP PROJECT TEAM

The Citygate/MCP Project Team for this engagement includes the following experienced consultants:

Chief Stewart Gary, MPA, Public Safety Practice Principal / OCFA Project Manager

Chief Gary is the Public Safety Principal for Citygate Associates and is the retired Fire Chief of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department in Alameda County, California. In 1996, he successfully designed and led the implementation of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department consolidation. For many years, he was the lead instructor and program content developer for the Standards of Coverage process and annually taught a 40-hour course on this systems approach for fire deployment at the California Fire Academy. He
consults on all aspects of fire and EMS services design, planning, and performance auditing. Over the last 15 years, he has performed over 300 studies for clients of all sizes and projects of all complexities, such as the counties of San Diego and Los Angeles (Fire EMS Bureau), the cities of San Jose and San Diego, and one-station rural districts.

Chief Gary has excellent problem solving and facilitation skills having used planning, team building, culture development, and process re-design tools to successfully design, lead, and manage the California League of Cities Helen Putnam award-winning Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Consolidation. He also served his community of Livermore as a School Board and then City Council member for eight years, and is a long-time Rotarian.

Chief Gary will serve as the Project Manager for this engagement and will manage all of the technical work and written work products related to this study.

Chief Michael Dyer, MPA, Fire & Emergency Services Specialist

Fire Chief Michael W. Dyer began his public safety career over 36 years ago. This career has taken him through various assignments that afforded him the opportunity to gain invaluable experience in many different disciplines, either as a direct participant, supervisor, or manager.

Chief Dyer has served as an Ocean Lifeguard Specialist, Firefighter, Firefighter Paramedic, Firefighter Specialist, Fire Captain, Battalion Chief, Assistant Fire Chief, Deputy Chief, and Chief Deputy in the Los Angeles County Fire Department, and is the retired Fire Chief of the Santa Barbara County Fire Department. Chief Dyer previously held the #2 ranking position in the Los Angeles County Fire Department.

Chief Dyer holds a Bachelor’s Degree in Physical Education and a Master’s Degree in Public Administration from California State University, Northridge. Chief Dyer recently completed the Executive Leadership Program at the Naval Postgraduate School. In addition to his formal education, he is also certified as a Hazardous Materials Specialist, Paramedic, and achieved the certification of Fire Chief from the California State Fire Marshal.

Chief Dyer recently served on several state wide committees. He served on the California Joint Apprenticeship Management Board, Chair of CALFIRE Contract Counties, and the Chair of the FIRESCOPE Board of Directors.

Chief Dyer will assist with the review of ECC large incident management and regional mutual/auto aid functions.
Dallas “Denny” Neville, Public Safety Communications Specialist

Mr. Neville is a Retired Deputy Fire Chief, with over 40 years of service in California. He has served as Interim Fire Chief, Director at two large joint-dispatch facilities, and provided dispatch-oriented consulting services to several agencies, large and small. During his career in the fire service he served on two California Type I Incident Management Teams, served as Fire Marshal, Operations Chief, and has also served in career fire departments, all volunteer and combination paid/volunteer agencies. Mr. Neville is a member of Associated Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO) and serves on its Emerging Technologies workgroup.

He performed an important role in the design of the San Diego County Regional Communications System’s trunked radio project. He served on that project’s Steering Committee during construction and rollout, which dealt with issues for all participating disciplines, including private ambulance providers and base station hospitals, and then served as an alternate Board Member on the RCS Board of Directors. In addition, Mr. Neville has successfully served as Interim Director of Heartland Communications Facility Authority and as Administrator for North County Dispatch JPA. He was also responsible for managing two consecutive re-writing cycles for Fire and EMS Annexes of the San Diego County Mutual Aid Plan.

In 2013, Mr. Neville was nominated for and received the San Diego County Fire Chiefs Association “Maltese Award” for his dedicated efforts to improve communications interoperability for regional First Responders.

Mr. Neville will review fire operational aspects of the ECC and assist with overall opinions and recommendations given his experience in managing regional fire dispatch centers in Southern California.

David DeRoos, MPA, CMC, Citygate President

Mr. DeRoos has over 30 years of experience as a consultant to local government, preceded by five years as an assistant to the City Administrator. He earned his undergraduate degree in political science / public service (Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Davis and holds a Master of Public Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Prior to becoming a Principal in Citygate in 1991, he was a Senior Manager in the local government consulting division of Ernst & Young.

Mr. DeRoos is responsible for ensuring the assessment is conducted smoothly and efficiently within the schedule and budget allocated and that assessment deliverables meet Citygate’s and the client’s quality standards.
Mission Critical Partners, Public Safety Communications Specialists

Mission Critical Partners (MCP) is a professional services firm that helps public safety clients enhance and evolve their mission critical systems and operations. Through MCP’s breadth and depth of experience and an extensive network of resources, MCP offers unique, vendor-independent and successful solutions that solve its clients’ complex challenges. MCP’s planning, implementation, and lifecycle management services span all aspects of mission critical communications, while its expertise covers everything from radio to broadband, networks and 9-1-1, and facilities and operations. MCP provides confidence and support every step of the way, from design and procurement to building and management. The result is a high-performing public safety system that achieves maximum value and optimal efficiency.

MCP has assigned a team of seven consultants to perform this service level assessment of the OCFA’s ECC. MCP’s consultants will lead the technical, in-depth data review and operations analysis of the ECC, participate in on-site meetings, and author their portion of assessment report. Resumes for MCP’s five primary consultants on this project are provided in Attachment A.

PROJECT FEES

Our charges are based on actual time spent by our consultants at their established billing rates, plus reimbursable expenses incurred in conjunction with travel, printing, clerical, and support services related to the engagement. Our travel as needed for out-of-area consultants is budgeted at Southwest Airlines full fares and average local hotel rates. If advance planning allows, then lower fares will be used. In either event, the OCFA only pays the actual out-of-pocket expenses.

We will undertake this study for a not-to-exceed total cost based on our proposed project Work Plan, Statement of Work, and schedule as presented in the following table. Any additional work outside the scope of services described in this proposal, as mutually agreed to in writing as a change order, will be billed at the hourly rate of the respective consultant(s), including any reimbursable expenses plus a five percent administrative fee.

### Project Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Consulting Fees of Project Team</th>
<th>Reimbursable Expenses</th>
<th>Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)</th>
<th>Total Project Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citygate</td>
<td>$50,095</td>
<td>$5,072</td>
<td>$2,505</td>
<td>$57,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>$116,528</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$5,826</td>
<td>$134,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$166,623</td>
<td>$17,072</td>
<td>$8,331</td>
<td>$192,026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Project Hours

The following is a breakdown of project hours by task:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Citygate Associates</th>
<th>Mission Critical Partners</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Draft Report</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost proposal reflects our best effort to be responsive to the OCFA’s needs for this project, as we understand them, at a reasonable cost. If our proposed scope of work and/or cost is not in alignment with the OCFA’s needs or expectations, we are open to discussing modifications to our proposed scope of work and associated costs.

Citygate’s proposal includes one (1) draft review cycle as described in Task 4 of the Work Plan, to be completed by Citygate and the OCFA within 30 calendar days of the OCFA receiving the Draft Report. Additional Draft Report cycles or processing delays requested by the OCFA would be billed in addition to the contracted amount at Citygate’s time and materials rates. When changes are agreed upon, Citygate will provide the Final Report in reproducible .PDF format. The Draft Report will be considered to be the Final Report if there are no suggested changes within 30 days of the delivery of the Draft Report.
## Standard Hourly Billing Rates

### Citygate Consultants and Staff Hourly Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citygate Consultants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Gary</td>
<td>Public Safety Principal / OCFA Project Manager</td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Dyer</td>
<td>Fire &amp; Emergency Services Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas “Denny” Neville</td>
<td>Public Safety Communications Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citygate Project Support &amp; Oversight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeRoos</td>
<td>Citygate President</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Project Report Administrator</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mission Critical Partners Hourly Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Maney</td>
<td>Program Manager</td>
<td>$255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Vanauken</td>
<td>Technology Specialist II</td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaime Young</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>$230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Harrison</td>
<td>Technology Specialist I</td>
<td>$222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Schober</td>
<td>Technology Specialist I</td>
<td>$222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Miller</td>
<td>Assistant Project Manager</td>
<td>$211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Bischoff</td>
<td>Policy Specialist / Technical Writer</td>
<td>$164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin/Clerical</td>
<td>Support Specialist</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Billing Schedule

We will bill monthly for time, reimbursable expenses incurred at actual costs (travel), plus a five percent (5%) administration charge in lieu of individual charges for copies, phone, etc. Our invoices are payable within thirty (30) days. Citygate’s billing terms are net thirty (30) days plus two percent (2%) for day thirty-one (31) and two percent (2%) per month thereafter. Our practice is to send both our monthly status report and invoice electronically. Once we are selected for this project, we will request the email for the appropriate recipients of the electronic documents. Hard copies of these documents will be provided only upon request. We prefer to receive payment via ACH Transfer, if available.

* * *
As President of the firm, I am authorized to execute a binding contract on behalf of Citygate Associates, LLC. Please feel free to contact me at our headquarters office, located in Folsom, California, at (916) 458-5100, extension 101, or via email at dderoos@citygateassociates.com if you wish further information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

cc: Stewart Gary
December 18, 2018

Brian Fennessy  
Fire Chief  
Orange County Fire Authority  
brianfennessy@ocfa.org

RE: PROPOSAL TO PERFORM A SERVICE LEVEL ASSESSMENT OF THE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

Dear Chief Fennessy:

In response to your request under our Master Agreement, Citygate Associates, LLC (Citygate) is pleased to present the scope of work and costs to prepare a service level assessment for the Orange County Fire Authority’s (OCFA) Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Department.

**PROJECT APPROACH**

We propose to prepare the EMS Department service level assessment meeting the OCFA’s requested Statement of Work, incorporating guidelines and best practices from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the Commission on Fire Accreditation International, local and state EMS laws and regulations, local service level expectations, and other recognized industry best practices.

Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks over a five-month project schedule, incorporating all of the elements contained in the OCFA’s Statement of Work. We will conduct the service level assessment pursuant to the following project Work Plan for a total cost not to exceed $106,842.

**THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY’S REQUESTED ASSESSMENT**

The OCFA has requested the following items to be reviewed:

**Objective**

The EMS Department, formerly the EMS Section, had remained relatively unchanged within the organizational structure, and the legacy composition of the section had fallen behind the significant increase in EMS responses, increase in EMS personnel, emerging EMS
trends/technology, and regulatory oversight requirements on EMS staff. With a reorganization implemented under Fire Chief Brian Fennessy, an Assistant Chief of EMS/Training has been established, and the stature of the EMS Section was elevated to the level of a Department to more appropriately represent its importance within the framework of the OCFA. The overarching objective in this review is to ensure the Department and its staffing and support tools can provide the needed patient care quality oversight.

Core Areas of Interest

- Is the EMS Department administered, equipped, and staffed to ensure the readiness and reliability as required by OCFA’s operational needs?
- Is the EMS Department being operated in a cost-effective manner?
- Does the EMS Department meet performance standards for nationally accepted practices?
- Are there any areas of weakness or vulnerability that require attention in the EMS Department?
- Does the EMS Department’s organizational structure effectively support both administration and operational functions?
- What are the opportunities to improve efficiency, performance, and service delivery in the EMS Department?
- What technologies and best practices should the OCFA consider in the EMS Department?
- What are the opportunities to improve the health and welfare of EMS Department personnel?
- Review the operational and clinical needs to modernize Criteria Based Dispatching.
- Provide outcome-based clinical statistics for the Medical Director to better align response types with patient care needs across a very large, diverse, and widespread geography of communities.
- Review OCFA’s use of emergency medical response data and trends to public health initiatives and education.

Specific Questions

- Does the OCFA leadership appropriately give the EMS Department the needed organizational focus to be successful?
How well does the current EMS Department support integration into the regional healthcare system?

Does the EMS Department structure and staffing support all administrative and operational needs? Including the following areas:

- Is there sufficient professional staffing within the EMS Department?
  - EMS Coordinator
  - Nurse Educators
  - Medical Director
  - IT Support
  - Management Analysts
  - Quality Assurance / Improvement Analysts
  - Equipment Technicians
  - Administrative Assistant

- Is there sufficient sworn staff integrated within the EMS Department to support the EMS Department mission?
  - Training Captain Paramedics

- Is there sufficient sworn staff within the EMS Department to support EMS incident responses?
  - Mass casualty, wildland fires, and large-scale incident

- Is there sufficient fiscal and administrative support for professional development/training for the EMS Department staff?
  - Benchmark staff counts to three to five other similar size fire EMS departments

Is the EMS Committee functioning effectively, including the peer development/review of issues?

Is there sufficient EMS Department support for field operations personnel? Specifically, in the following areas:

- Quality assurance/improvement feedback
Data-driven training, system improvements, etc.

Training and continuing education

Development of future paramedics

Remediation, improvement, and discipline

Is the EMS Department adequately obtaining, analyzing, and applying findings based on empirical data?

What data metrics support updates to response and patient care delivery with statistical significance?

What additional staffing, if any, is necessary to support the ever-growing demands for data-driven expectations, outcomes, and training within the EMS system?

What opportunities exist to inform public health and education efforts, by OCFA or regional stakeholder agencies, to improve the health and safety of residents?

What improvements and investment are necessary to enhance and modernize the overall logistical support of all EMS activities?

Equipment testing, purchasing, and resupply

Supply inventory ordering and resupply

Control drugs mandated accountability and resupply

Use of technology to tie the actual use of supplies to the ordering and resupply process

Is the EMS Department meeting compliance in all areas of regulatory oversight, including, but not limited to the following?

Orange County Health Care

California EMS Authority

California Health and Safety Code

Drug Enforcement Agency

Cal/OSHA

NFPA best practices
DMV – personnel security in ambulances

Is there an adequate budgetary plan to support the future of the EMS Department?

Regarding issues related to Human Resources within the EMS Department and system:

Is the current pay incentive structure efficient, and does it support the EMS Department’s mission within the OCFA rank structure?

Is the use of the Paramedic certification within the ranks of Fire Apparatus Engineer and Fire Captain effective/efficient?

Is there sufficient assessment and evaluation of medical knowledge and skills within the current employee performance evaluation process?

Does the healthcare initiative for accountability, “Just Culture,” have a place within the EMS Department’s culture of training and learning?

With the increasing requirement to use technology within the EMS environment, does the EMS Department receive sufficient IT support in all aspects of the EMS Department, including, but not limited to the following?

iPads

Cloud interface and use

Monitors/defibrillators

Orange County Medical Emergency Data System (OC-MEDS)

Immunization records

Controlled drug logs

Integration with the staffing system

Is the EMS Department keeping up with EMS innovations in the following areas?

Education

Simulations

Equipment

Techniques
How well are the Emergency Command Center, Emergency Medical Dispatcher, and EMS systems and staffing integrating for effective response, as well as quality assurance/improvement?

**PROJECT WORK PLAN**

Our proposed EMS Department service level assessment Work Plan consists of five tasks, as follows.

**Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project**

**Subtasks:**

1.1 **Develop Detailed Work Plan Schedule for Project**

- We will develop a detailed work schedule for the project. This will assist both Citygate’s team and the OCFA staff to monitor project progress.

1.2 **Request and Review OCFA Data and Documentation**

- At the start of the project, Citygate will develop and submit a request for data/documentation relevant to this project, including documents describing the EMS Department’s organization, services, budgets, expenses, and performance measures, if any. This questionnaire is extensive and will require the Department manager to produce existing documents about the EMS Department’s operations, including workload measures and demand forecasts as available.

- Citygate will utilize a secure online file sharing service to make it convenient for OCFA staff to provide the requested data/documentation.

- After receiving the requested documentation, Citygate’s team will review it prior to conducting the start-up meeting and stakeholder interviews in the following subtasks. Citygate has found that reviewing this information prior to interviews improves the effectiveness and value of the interviews since it results in more specific questions and more definitive information.

1.3 **Project Kick-Off Meeting**

- We will conduct a project kick-off meeting with the Fire Chief and any designated stakeholder representatives. Citygate’s project staff will meet with the OCFA’s project team and key stakeholders to establish mutual acquaintance, clarify roles, and reach a mutual understanding of the future vision and plans for the assessment. Prior to the meeting, Citygate will thoroughly review any
available documentation or material the OCFA can make available from previous relevant work, such as previous staffing studies, letters, standard operating procedures, contracts, as-built documentation, presentation and training material, etc. Citygate’s Project Manager will facilitate the meeting and will review:

- Project and task milestones, schedules, and deliverables
- Project budget
- Scheduling of interviews with user and stakeholder representatives
- Scheduling of progress review meetings
- Collection of any existing material and discussion of any other available information on each of the current systems/subsystems.

A step-by-step review of the content and outline of the OCFA’s plan and other deliverables for this phase of the project will be conducted during the project kick-off meeting. Any updates or changes from the initial outline will be documented for mutual agreement and to ensure that all expectations of the OCFA are addressed in the plan.

1.4 Ongoing Project Management

Citygate will provide monthly written status reports, along with an invoice, that describe work performed in the prior month, work scheduled in the upcoming month, and any study issues or project and budget issues.

In addition, if a serious issue is encountered at any point in the project, Citygate will immediately call and/or email the OCFA’s Project Manager to work on an effective, timely resolution.

Meetings and Deliverables

An on-site project kick-off meeting is anticipated for this task, which will include the delivery of the draft project plan. We will provide monthly project status updates throughout the project duration.
Task 2: Data Gathering and Analysis

Subtasks:

2.1 Schedule Data Gathering Meetings

   - In conjunction with the kick-off meeting, Citygate’s team will begin the initial data listening and follow-up meetings.

2.2 Conduct Data Gathering Meetings

   - It is anticipated that Citygate will be on-site for two initial days for data follow-up and issues listening to managers and line staff.

2.3 Conduct Detailed Analysis of EMS Operations

   - The Citygate team will conduct detailed analysis of EMS Department operations to address all Statement of Work questions and tasks.

   - As needed, information follow-up will be conducted via conference call and/or written documents.

   - One additional site day for follow-up will also be used in case not all of the stakeholders can be reached.

Meetings and Deliverables

Up to two on-site days are anticipated for this task, which will be combined with the on-site trip in Task 1. There will also be an additional day on-site for stakeholder listening.

Task 3: Mid-Project Draft Opinions Briefing

Subtasks:

3.1 Prepare and Conduct a Mid-Project Briefing

   - Upon completion of Tasks 1 and 2, the Citygate team will conduct an on-site briefing to preview findings and recommendations. This briefing will also include a discussion of any anomalies in the data and the resolution of any remaining issues.

   - Pursuant to any input received from the briefing, Citygate will make any data-driven changes and then refinements, if needed, will be incorporated into the Draft Report.
Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task to present the Mid-Project Briefing, which will be provided in MS-PowerPoint to the OCFA.

Task 4: Prepare Draft Report

Subtasks:

4.1 Prepare Draft Report with Exhibits

- The Citygate team will prepare a Draft Report, including appropriate exhibits.
- Upon completion of the Draft Report, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the OCFA’s Project Manager for comments using the track changes and insert comments tools in MS-Word.

4.2 Review Draft Report with OCFA Planning Assessment Team

- Citygate’s normal practice is to review Draft Reports with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate takes time to discuss any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.
- The Citygate team will conduct a site meeting to review the Draft Report, answer any questions, and agree on the elements for the Final Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task.

Task 5: Prepare and Present Final Report

Subtasks:

5.1 Prepare and Present Final Report

- The process of Final Report preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the recommendations were made, and how implementation should be accomplished.
Based on results of the review process in Task 4, the Citygate team will prepare and submit an Executive Summary and comprehensive Final Report, including appropriate exhibits. The report will:

- Describe why the EMS Department is being reviewed
- Describe how the Citygate team performed the analysis
- Describe best practice benchmarks
- Present technical review findings
- Present actionable recommendations
- Describe metrics and future needs for the EMS Department as an input to the overall OCFA applied strategic plan, which is continually built through each additional cost center service level review.

5.2 Final Report Presentation

The Citygate Project Manager will present key elements of the Final Report using Microsoft PowerPoint to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Executive Management team.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one partial-day meeting to present key elements of the Final Report.

Project Schedule

Citygate’s five-month schedule is presented below:

**Sample Project Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Draft Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- On-site meeting
CITYGATE PROJECT TEAM

The Citygate Project Team for this engagement includes the following experienced senior Citygate consultants:

Chief Stewart Gary, MPA, Public Safety Practice Principal / OCFA Project Manager

Chief Gary is the Public Safety Principal for Citygate Associates and is the retired Fire Chief of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department in Alameda County, California. In 1996, he successfully designed and led the implementation of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department consolidation. For many years, he was the lead instructor and program content developer for the Standards of Coverage process and annually taught a 40-hour course on this systems approach for fire deployment at the California Fire Academy. He consults on all aspects of fire and emergency medical services design, planning, and performance auditing. Over the last 15 years, he has performed over 300 studies for clients of all sizes and projects of all complexities, such as the counties of San Diego and Los Angeles (Fire EMS Bureau), the cities of San Jose and San Diego, and one-station rural districts.

Chief Gary has excellent problem solving and facilitation skills having used planning, team building, culture development, and process re-design tools to successfully design, lead, and manage the California League of Cities Helen Putnam Award-winning Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Consolidation. He also served his community of Livermore as a School Board and then City Council member for eight years, and is a long-time Rotarian.

Chief Gary will serve as the Project Manager for this engagement and will manage all of the technical work, with an emphasis on clinical research, dispatch, and updates to service delivery, and oversee all written work products related to this study.

Chief Garret Olson, MA, EFO, Strategic Planning Specialist

Chief Olson, a second-generation Firefighter and Fire Chief, began his fire service career in 1988 as a paid-call firefighter with the Fire Department in Orange County, California.

In 1990, Chief Olson was hired as a 9-1-1 dispatcher for the Fire Department in Long Beach, California. He joined the Fire Department in Mesa, Arizona, later that same year and held the positions of Firefighter, Fire Engineer, Fire Captain, Battalion Chief, and Deputy Fire Chief. He was a certified Paramedic and Technical Rescue Technician.

In 2001, Chief Olson was deployed to New York City to assist with recovery from the September 11 terrorist attacks, serving as the government liaison between the City and the American Red Cross. In 2004, Chief Olson was recruited to join the City of Scottsdale, Arizona,
in building its new municipal fire department. He served as Deputy Chief of Training and Special Operations and the Deputy Chief of Field Operations before being promoted to Fire Chief in 2011. During his tenure as Fire Chief, Chief Olson was proud to lead efforts to initiate changes in Department staffing and deployment, strategic planning, organizational culture, and the Department’s community-focused mission.

In 2012, Chief Olson returned to his home state of California to become the first ever Deputy Chief in the long-established San Luis Obispo City Fire Department. For the previous 138 years, San Luis Obispo City Fire did not have a second-in-command to the Fire Chief. Bringing this new position to life in a Department steeped with tradition was an exciting opportunity. In 2013, Olson was then selected to lead the San Luis Obispo City Fire Department as its Fire Chief.

Chief Olson will review the EMS Department records, co-conduct the on-site assessments, and co-author all findings and reports, with an emphasis on staffing to meet the Department’s needs.

Chief Michael Samuels, Fire Services Specialist

With over 32 years of experience in the fire service Chief Samuels’ experience is varied and vast. He has an extensive background in both line and management functions. During the course of Chief Samuels’ career, he has served at every rank in the Corona Fire Department from Firefighter, Engineer, Captain, Battalion Chief, and Deputy Chief. As a line officer he has had the opportunity to respond to many incidents where he has operated at both a tactical and strategic level. As a staff officer he has served as the Emergency Medical Services Chief and Deputy Fire Chief. His staff experience includes management of the budget process, discipline and labor relations, and oversight of the Training Division, EMS Division, Facilities, Communications, and Fleet.

Chief Samuels will review the EMS Department records, co-conduct the on-site assessment, and co-author findings and reports with a particular focus on continuous quality improvement, training, and equipment resources.

Eric Lind, MA, Statistical and Operations Analysis Associate

Mr. Lind’s 18 years’ experience spans several industries, including two years in municipal government as a performance improvement analyst. His municipal government experience has largely focused on public safety performance improvement projects. He has developed baseline system-wide EMS response time capability and testing alternative models, reviewed MPDS systems and dispatch priorities for EMS systems, and improved Fire/EMS dispatch process flow. He has also performed a fire facilities location study, alternative fire service delivery modeling, and an administrative performance assessment of civilian police staff.
Mr. Lind has used performance improvement and business transformation techniques throughout his career across the globe. He is skilled with developing and conducting statistical research to answer operations questions. He is equally comfortable with survey research. Mr. Lind has two published survey research papers, including one he developed for Rotary International.

Mr. Lind is a Lean Six Sigma Certified Black Belt and has a bachelor’s degree and two master’s degrees in international business, with both master’s degrees from different countries.

Mr. Lind will assist with the clinical and staff workload statistical research needs.

**TriTech Software Systems, Geo-Mapping Specialist**

TriTech (formerly The Omega Group) has assisted Citygate for over 10 years. TriTech has revolutionized the public safety industry by becoming one of the first vendors to embed mapping technology into computer-aided dispatch software and to develop one of the most sophisticated recommendation algorithms. Today, TriTech leads the way as the undisputed leader with software that covers every facet within the incident-response workflow, including 9-1-1, computer-aided dispatch, field-based reporting, records management, jail management, analytics and intelligence, patient care reporting, and ambulance billing software. Providing customers with unmatched satisfaction levels and delivering innovative solutions has made TriTech the most trusted partner in public safety software.

*TriTech’s GIS deployment specialist will assist with the spatial mapping of patient and incident statistical research trends across the diverse service area.*

**Michael D. Fay (Animated Data), Statistical Specialist**

Mr. Fay has assisted Citygate with deployment studies for over 10 years. He has over 30 years’ experience and has served as a firefighter, EMS director, educator, consultant, and publisher. As President of Animated Data, Inc., he is the designer and publisher of StatsFD, formerly NFIRS 5 Alive. Using standard NFIRS 5 datasets, StatsFD quickly performs diagnostic analysis of fire department operations.

*Mr. Fay will assist with the clinical patient and incident statistical research needs.*
David DeRoos, MPA, CMC, Citygate President

Mr. DeRoos has over 30 years of experience as a consultant to local government, preceded by five years as an assistant to the City Administrator. He earned his undergraduate degree in political science / public service (Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Davis and holds a Master of Public Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Prior to becoming a Principal in Citygate in 1991, he was a Senior Manager in the local government consulting division of Ernst & Young.

Mr. DeRoos is responsible for ensuring the assessment is conducted smoothly and efficiently within the schedule and budget allocated and that assessment deliverables meet Citygate’s and the client’s quality standards.

PROJECT FEES

Our charges are based on actual time spent by our consultants at their established billing rates, plus reimbursable expenses incurred in conjunction with travel, printing, clerical, and support services related to the engagement. Our travel as needed for out-of-area consultants is budgeted at Southwest Airlines full fares and average local hotel rates. If advance planning allows, then lower fares will be used. In either event, the OCFA only pays the actual out-of-pocket expenses.

We will undertake this study for a not-to-exceed total cost based on our proposed project Work Plan, Statement of Work, and schedule as presented in the following table. Any additional work outside the scope of services described in this proposal, as mutually agreed to in writing as a change order, will be billed at the hourly rate of the respective consultant(s), including any reimbursable expenses plus a five percent administrative fee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulting Fees of Project Team</th>
<th>Reimbursable Expenses</th>
<th>Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)</th>
<th>Total Citygate Project Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$94,310</td>
<td>$7,816</td>
<td>$4,716</td>
<td>$106,842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Project Hours

The following is a breakdown of project hours by task:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Stewart Gary</th>
<th>Garret Olson</th>
<th>Michael Samuels</th>
<th>Eric Lind</th>
<th>TriTech</th>
<th>Animated Data</th>
<th>David DeRoos</th>
<th>Administrative Project &amp; Report Support</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Draft Report</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost proposal reflects our best effort to be responsive to the OCFA’s needs for this project, as we understand them, at a reasonable cost. If our proposed scope of work and/or cost is not in alignment with the OCFA’s needs or expectations, we are open to discussing modifications to our proposed scope of work and associated costs.

Citygate’s proposal includes one (1) draft review cycle as described in Task 4 of the Work Plan, to be completed by Citygate and the OCFA within 30 calendar days of the OCFA receiving the Draft Report. Additional Draft Report cycles or processing delays requested by the OCFA would be billed in addition to the contracted amount at Citygate’s time and materials rates. When changes are agreed upon, Citygate will provide the Final Report in reproducible .PDF format. The Draft Report will be considered to be the Final Report if there are no suggested changes within 30 days of the delivery of the Draft Report.
Standard Hourly Billing Rates

Citygate Consultants and Staff Hourly Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Gary</td>
<td>Public Safety Principal / OCFA Project Manager</td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garret Olson</td>
<td>Fire &amp; Emergency Services Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Samuels</td>
<td>Fire Services Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Lind</td>
<td>Statistical and Operations Analysis Associate</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriTech</td>
<td>Geo-Mapping Specialist</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animated Data</td>
<td>Statistical Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Project Support &amp; Oversight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeRoos</td>
<td>Citygate President</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Project Report Administrator</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Billing Schedule

We will bill monthly for time, reimbursable expenses incurred at actual costs (travel), plus a five percent (5%) administration charge in lieu of individual charges for copies, phone, etc. Our invoices are payable within thirty (30) days. Citygate’s billing terms are net thirty (30) days plus two percent (2%) for day thirty-one (31) and two percent (2%) per month thereafter. Our practice is to send both our monthly status report and invoice electronically. Once we are selected for this project, we will request the email for the appropriate recipients of the electronic documents. Hard copies of these documents will be provided only upon request. We prefer to receive payment via ACH Transfer, if available.

* * *

As President of the firm, I am authorized to execute a binding contract on behalf of Citygate Associates, LLC. Please feel free to contact me at our headquarters office, located in Folsom, California, at (916) 458-5100, extension 101, or via email at dderoos@citygateassociates.com if you wish further information.

Sincerely,

David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

cc: Stewart Gary
December 17, 2018

Brian Fennessy
Fire Chief
Orange County Fire Authority
brianfennessy@ocfa.org

RE: PROPOSAL TO PERFORM A SERVICE LEVEL ASSESSMENT OF THE FLEET SERVICES DIVISION FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

Dear Chief Fennessy:

In response to your request under our Master Agreement, Citygate Associates, LLC (Citygate) is pleased to present the scope of work and costs to prepare a service level assessment for the Orange County Fire Authority’s (OCFA) Fleet Services Division.

PROJECT APPROACH

We propose to prepare the Fleet Services Division service level assessment meeting the OCFA’s requested Statement of Work, incorporating guidelines and best practices from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the Commission on Fire Accreditation International, relevant federal and state laws and regulations, and other recognized industry best practices.

Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks over a five-month project schedule, incorporating all of the elements contained in the OCFA’s Statement of Work. We will conduct the service level assessment pursuant to our proposed project Work Plan for a total cost not to exceed $92,922.

THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY’S REQUESTED ASSESSMENT

The OCFA has requested the following items to be reviewed:

Objective

Conduct an objective service level assessment of OCFA Fleet Services to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of Fleet Services’ operations and ensure compliance with policies/procedures, best practices, and regulatory agency requirements.
Core Areas of Interest

- Is Fleet Services administered, equipped, and staffed to ensure the readiness and reliability of fleet assets as required by the OCFA’s operational needs?
- Is Fleet Services being operated in a cost-effective manner?
- Do the maintenance levels, asset condition assessments, and methods for determination of useful life result in the optimal use of capital outlay?
- Is Fleet Services appropriately staffed and equipped to deal with anticipated and unforeseen surges in activity?
- Are there any areas of weakness or vulnerability that require attention?
- Does the Fleet Services organizational structure effectively support the administration and operational functions?
- What are the opportunities to improve efficiency, performance, and service delivery?
- What technologies and best practices should the OCFA consider for Fleet Services?
- What are the opportunities to improve the health and welfare of Fleet Services personnel?

Assumptions

- Analysis could include variations of the current 9/80 Monday–Friday work schedule.

Specific Questions and Tasks

- Policies/procedures
  - Are the policies and procedures up to date, and do they meet best practices?
  - Are the policies and procedures clearly understood and consistently applied?
  - Assess initial and ongoing training and certifications; assess documentation.
Are levels of service clearly identified and understood by all? For example, what are the minimum requirements for a unit to be placed into service and what are the desired standards for returning a unit to service?

Are memorandums and directives translated into policies and procedures in reasonable time frames?

Do Fleet Services accounting procedures ensure the accurate allocation of direct and overhead costs to the OCFA’s cost centers (divisions)?

Work area

Is the floor layout for the mechanics and parts storage aligned for an efficient operation?

Are the employees working in a safe environment?

Does Fleet Services have the resources to ensure continuous compliance with Cal/OSHA regulations, including hazardous materials identification, storage, disclosure, and inspection/certification of pressure vessels, vehicle lifts, etc.?

Is there a written plan for providing mission critical services in the event the Fleet Services facility is inaccessible due to a disaster event?

Information technology

Are there opportunities to take advantage of existing technology to improve efficiency?

Is the fleet management application utilized by the OCFA technically current and utilized to the greatest practical extent, or are alternatives that better meet the OCFA’s needs available?

Inventory

How is the current on-site inventory determined?

Is it adequate for a 24/7 fire operation?

Internal controls

Are there adequate controls in place to account for and monitor the inventory of vehicles and parts?

Is there a periodic inventory and reconciliation that occurs?
Vehicle replacement plan

- How does the OCFA’s vehicle replacement plan compare to the fire industry average?
- Identify any areas where the OCFA is not meeting best practices regarding vehicle replacement.

Vehicle surplus plan

- Does the OCFA’s management of surplus fleet assets ensure optimal revenue recovery?
- Identify any areas where the OCFA is not meeting best practices in this area.

Staffing

- Is the supervisor-to-employee ratio adequate?
- At what fleet size should the OCFA consider adding additional personnel to Fleet Services?
- What is the industry average for mechanics-to-vehicles for both light and heavy duty?
- Should there be consideration of additional work schedules due to the workload?
- As the OCFA fleet grows and the OCFA potentially takes on additional agencies, how can the OCFA maximize its shop and resources to meet the increased workload? Should it look at evening and night shifts? Should operating 24/7 be considered?

Procurement

- Is the current vehicle procurement process effective; specifically, are the roles and responsibilities of the following groups clearly defined and documented?
  - Apparatus/equipment committees
  - Fleet Services
  - Purchasing

Training

- Is training consistent, reliable, and documented?
Is there a list of training requirements by position or classification?

- How often is it updated?
- How is it prioritized?

Communication

Is communication with vehicle operators adequate; does the information and method of presentation provide an accurate/realistic schedule for completion of maintenance/repairs or outfitting of new vehicles?

Are service scheduling time frames reasonable, and are they properly prioritized?

Planning

Does Fleet Services management perform mid- to long-term planning for adaptation to possible industry changes, such as electrification?

Future

Has any planning or consideration of the impact of smart technology been incorporated into the future planning?

- Has the use of hybrid and/or electric vehicles been considered?
- Has the use of alternative fuels been examined?

**PROJECT WORK PLAN**

Our proposed ECC service level assessment Work Plan consists of five tasks as follows.

**Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project**

**Subtasks:**

1.1 **Develop Detailed Work Plan Schedule for Project**

We will develop a detailed work schedule for the project. This will assist both Citygate’s team and the OCFA staff to monitor project progress.

1.2 **Request and Review OCFA Data and Documentation**

At the start of the project, Citygate will develop and submit a request for data/documentation relevant to this project, including documents describing Fleet Services organization, services, budgets, expenses, and performance measures, if
any. This questionnaire is extensive and will require the Division manager to produce existing documents about Fleet Services operations, including workload measures and demand forecasts as available.

Citygate will utilize a secure online file sharing service to make it convenient for OCFA staff to provide requested data/documentation.

After receiving the requested documentation, Citygate’s team will review it prior to conducting the start-up meeting and stakeholder interviews in the following subtasks. Citygate has found that reviewing this information prior to interviews improves the effectiveness and value of the interviews since it results in more specific questions and more definitive information.

1.3 Project Kick-Off Meeting

Citygate will conduct a project kick-off meeting with the Fire Chief and any designated stakeholder representatives. Citygate’s project staff will meet with the OCFA’s project team and key stakeholders to establish mutual acquaintance, clarify roles, and reach a mutual understanding of the future vision and plans for the assessment. Prior to the meeting, Citygate will thoroughly review any available documentation or material the OCFA can make available from previous relevant work, such as previous staffing studies, letters, standard operating procedures, contracts, as-built documentation, presentation and training material, etc. Citygate’s Project Manager will facilitate the meeting and will review:

- Project and task milestones, schedules, and deliverables
- Project budget
- Scheduling of interviews with user and stakeholder representatives
- Scheduling of progress review meetings
- Collection of any existing material and discussion of any other available information on each of the current systems/subsystems.

A step-by-step review of the content and outline of the OCFA’s plan and other deliverables for this phase of the project will be conducted during the project kick-off meeting. Any updates or changes from the initial outline will be documented for mutual agreement and to ensure that all of the OCFA’s expectations are addressed in the plan.
1.4 Ongoing Project Management

Citygate will provide monthly written status reports, along with an invoice, that describe work performed in the prior month, work scheduled in the upcoming month, and any study issues or project and budget issues.

In addition, if a serious issue is encountered at any point in the project, Citygate will immediately call and/or email the OCFA’s Project Manager to work on an effective, timely resolution.

Meetings and Deliverables

An on-site project kick-off meeting is anticipated for this task, which will include the delivery of the draft project plan. We will provide monthly project status updates throughout the project duration.

Task 2: Data/Information Gathering

Subtasks:

2.1 Schedule Data/Information Gathering Meetings

In conjunction with the kick-off meeting, Citygate’s team will work with the OCFA to schedule initial data gathering meetings.

2.2 Conduct Data/Information Gathering Meetings

It is anticipated that Citygate will be on site for one initial day for data follow-up and issues listening to managers and line staff.

2.3 Conduct Detailed Analysis of Fleet Services Division

The Citygate team will conduct detailed analysis of Fleet Services operations to address all of the questions within the Statement of Work, including regarding polices/procedures, work area, information technology, inventory, internal controls, vehicle replacement plan, vehicle surplus plan, staffing, procurement, training, communication, planning, and future.

As needed, information follow-up will be conducted via conference call and/or written documents.

Meetings and Deliverables

Up to one on-site day is anticipated for this task, which may be possible to partially combine with the on-site trip in Task 1.
Task 3: Analysis and Mid-Project Draft Opinions Briefing

Subtasks:

3.1 Prepare and Conduct a Mid-Project Briefing

Upon completion of Tasks 1 and 2, the Citygate team will prepare and conduct an on-site briefing to preview findings and recommendations. This briefing will also include a discussion of any anomalies in the data and the resolution of any remaining issues.

Pursuant to any input received from the briefing, Citygate will make any data-driven changes and then refinements, if needed, will be incorporated into the Draft Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task to present the Mid-Project Briefing, which will be provided in MS-PowerPoint to the OCFA.

Task 4: Prepare Draft Report

Subtasks:

4.1 Prepare Draft Report with Exhibits

The Citygate team will prepare a Draft Report, including appropriate exhibits.

Upon completion of the Draft Report, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the OCFA’s Project Manager for comments using the track changes and insert comments tools in MS-Word.

4.2 Review Draft Report with OCFA Planning Assessment Team

Citygate’s normal practice is to review Draft Reports with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate takes time to discuss any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.

The Citygate team will conduct an on-site meeting to review the Draft Report, answer any questions, and agree on the elements for the Final Report.
Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meetings for this task.

Task 5: Prepare and Present Final Report

Subtasks:

5.1 Prepare and Submit Final Report

The process of Final Report preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the recommendations were made, and how implementation should be accomplished.

Based on results of the review process in Task 4, the Citygate team will prepare and submit an Executive Summary and comprehensive Final Report, including appropriate exhibits. The report will:

- Describe why Fleet Services is being reviewed
- Describe how the Citygate team performed the analysis
- Describe best practice benchmarks
- Present technical review findings
- Present actionable recommendations
- Describe metrics and future needs for Fleet Services as an input to the overall OCFA applied strategic plan, which is continually built through each additional cost center service level review.

5.2 Final Report Presentation

The Citygate Project Manager will present key elements of the Final Report using Microsoft PowerPoint to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Executive Management team.
Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one partial-day meeting to present key elements of the Final Report to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Project Manager.

Project Schedule

Citygate’s five-month schedule is presented below:

Sample Project Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Draft Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-site meeting

**Citygate Project Team**

The Citygate Project Team for this engagement includes the following experienced senior Citygate consultants:

**Chief Stewart Gary, MPA, Public Safety Practice Principal / OCFA Project Manager**

Chief Gary is the Public Safety Principal for Citygate Associates and is the retired Fire Chief of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department in Alameda County, California. In 1996, he successfully designed and led the implementation of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department consolidation. For many years, he was the lead instructor and program content developer for the Standards of Coverage process and annually taught a 40-hour course on this systems approach for fire deployment at the California Fire Academy. He consults on all aspects of fire and EMS services design, planning, and performance auditing. Over the last 15 years, he has performed over 300 studies for clients of all sizes and projects of all complexities, such as the Counties of San Diego and Los Angeles (Fire EMS Bureau), the Cities of San Jose and San Diego, and one-station rural districts.

Chief Gary has excellent problem solving and facilitation skills having used planning, team building, culture development, and process re-design tools to successfully design, lead, and manage the California League of Cities Helen Putnam award-winning Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department.
Department consolidation. He also served his community of Livermore as a School Board and then City Council member for eight years, and is a long-time Rotarian.

Chief Gary will serve as the Project Manager for this engagement and will manage all of the technical work and written work products related to this study.

Chief Samuel Mazza, CFC, CFO, EFO, Senior Fire Services Specialist / OCFA Assistant Project Manager

Chief Mazza is a Senior Fire and Emergency Services Specialist with over 40 years of fire service experience. He is the retired Fire Chief of the City of Monterey, California, where he oversaw a successful consolidation of fire services with the City of Pacific Grove. Prior to his service with Monterey, Chief Mazza spent over 30 years with CAL FIRE in numerous assignments spanning state, county, and special district services. He has extensive collaborative and command experience, including appointment as the Incident Commander of a statewide Type-1 Incident Command Team. Chief Mazza is a California state Certified Fire Chief, CPSE Chief Fire Officer, Executive Fire Officer, and National Fire Academy instructor. He has performed many community risk assessments for Citygate and assists with strategic planning.

Chief Mazza will assist with the on-site review of Fleet Services functions and NFPA Standards compliance given his experience with fire fleet services for large agencies.

Tony Vargas, MS, Fleet Specialist

Mr. Vargas has over 25 years of experience in public works and fleet management. He is the former longtime Fleet Manager for the City of Sunnyvale, where he planned, organized, and supervised fleet management operations for all City departments and programs, and performed a variety of technical tasks relative to transportation administration. He has also served as the Fleet Superintendent for the City of Fremont, the Fleet Manager for the Stanislaus County, and the Public Works Site Program Manager as well as Transportation Services Director for the Navy’s Public Works in San Francisco Bay. Mr. Vargas’ professional affiliations include the National Association of Fleet Administrators, the California County Fleet Manger’s Association, and the Public Fleet Supervisors Association, where he served as Vice President. He has a bachelor’s degree in business management and master’s degree in human resources management and development.
Mr. Vargas will review the Fleet Services records, co-conduct the on-site assessment, and co-author all findings and reports.
Chief William Sager, CFC, EFO, Senior Fire Services Specialist

Chief Sager, Citygate’s Senior Fire Services Specialist, has more than 40 years in the fire service. With Citygate he has worked on over fifty strategic/master plans, organizational analyses, deployment studies, and training projects. Chief Sager’s last assignment with CAL FIRE was as the Butte Unit Chief, and the Butte County Fire Chief. Chief Sager is a nationally recognized course developer and instructor in leadership, organizational development and management courses.

He was the editorial consultant for Blueprint 2020, the Office of State Fire Marshal’s new statewide training plan for the California Fire Service (this plan is downloadable http://osfm.fire.ca.gov/training/pdf/BP2020finaldraft0108.pdf). He has led additional strategic planning projects for the Beverly Hills Fire Department, Corona Fire Department, and Los Angeles Regional Fire Chiefs Training Plan, to name several.

During his CAL FIRE career, he worked at all levels in two units and a region office; he participated in numerous personnel and finance projects, including chairing a streamlining task force on the “Changing Face of CDF.” He also was a CAL FIRE Type 1 Team Incident Commander. He is a Certified Fire Chief, and in 2003 he was designated a Chief Fire Officer. Chief Sager retired from CAL FIRE in 2003.

Chief Sager will assist with the technical measures and large agency practices review of Fleet Services functions and NFPA Standards compliance given his experience with fire fleet services for large agencies.

David DeRoos, MPA, CMC, Citygate President

Mr. DeRoos has over 30 years of experience as a consultant to local government, preceded by five years as an assistant to the City Administrator. He earned his undergraduate degree in political science / public service (Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Davis and holds a Master of Public Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Prior to becoming a Principal in Citygate in 1991, he was a Senior Manager in the local government consulting division of Ernst & Young.

Mr. DeRoos is responsible for ensuring the assessment is conducted smoothly and efficiently within the schedule and budget allocated and that assessment deliverables meet Citygate’s and the client’s quality standards.
PROJECT FEES

Our charges are based on actual time spent by our consultants at their established billing rates, plus reimbursable expenses incurred in conjunction with travel, printing, clerical, and support services related to the engagement. Our travel as needed for out-of-area consultants is budgeted at Southwest Airlines full fares and average local hotel rates. If advance planning allows, then lower fares will be used. In either event, the OCFA only pays the actual out-of-pocket expenses.

We will undertake this study for a not-to-exceed total cost based on our proposed project Work Plan, Scope of Work, and schedule as presented in the following table. Any additional work outside the scope of services described in this proposal, as mutually agreed to in writing as a change order, will be billed at the hourly rate of the respective consultant(s), including any reimbursable expenses plus a five percent administrative fee.

Project Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulting Fees of Project Team</th>
<th>Reimbursable Expenses</th>
<th>Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)</th>
<th>Total Citygate Project Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$76,925</td>
<td>$12,151</td>
<td>$3,846</td>
<td>$92,922</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed Project Hours

The following is a breakdown of project hours by task:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Stewart Gary</th>
<th>Samuel Mazza</th>
<th>Tony Vargas</th>
<th>Bill Sager</th>
<th>David DeRoos</th>
<th>Administrative Report Support</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Draft Report</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost proposal reflects our best effort to be responsive to the OCFA’s needs for this project, as we understand them, at a reasonable cost. If our proposed scope of work and/or cost is not in
alignment with the OCFA’s needs or expectations, we are open to discussing modifications to our proposed scope of work and associated costs.

Citygate’s proposal includes one (1) draft review cycle as described in Task 4 of the Work Plan, to be completed by Citygate and the OCFA within 30 calendar days of the OCFA receiving the Draft Report. Additional Draft Report cycles or processing delays requested by the OCFA would be billed in addition to the contracted amount at Citygate’s time and materials rates. When changes are agreed upon, Citygate will provide the Final Report in reproducible .PDF format. The Draft Report will be considered to be the Final Report if there are no suggested changes within 30 days of the delivery of the Draft Report.

**Standard Hourly Billing Rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Gary</td>
<td>Public Safety Principal / OCFA Project Manager</td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mazza</td>
<td>Senior Fire Services Specialist / OCFA Project Assistant Manager</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Sager</td>
<td>Senior Fire Services Specialist</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Vargas</td>
<td>Fleet Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Project Support &amp; Oversight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeRoos</td>
<td>Citygate President</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Project Report Administrator</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Billing Schedule**

We will bill monthly for time, reimbursable expenses incurred at actual costs (travel), plus a five percent (5%) administration charge in lieu of individual charges for copies, phone, etc. Our invoices are payable within thirty (30) days. Citygate’s billing terms are net thirty (30) days plus two percent (2%) for day thirty-one (31) and two percent (2%) per month thereafter. Our practice is to send both our monthly status report and invoice electronically. Once we are selected for this project, we will request the email for the appropriate recipients of the electronic documents. Hard copies of these documents will be provided only upon request. We prefer to receive payment via ACH Transfer, if available.

* * *
As President of the firm, I am authorized to execute a binding contract on behalf of Citygate Associates, LLC. Please feel free to contact me at our headquarters office, located in Folsom, California, at (916) 458-5100, extension 101, or via email at dderoos@citygateassociates.com if you wish further information.

Sincerely,

David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

cc: Stewart Gary
December 18, 2018

Brian Fennessy  
Fire Chief  
Orange County Fire Authority  

brianfennessy@ocfa.org

RE: PROPOSAL TO PERFORM A SERVICE LEVEL ASSESSMENT FOR FIELD DEPLOYMENT PROGRAMS FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

Dear Chief Fennessy:

In response to your request under our Master Agreement, Citygate Associates, LLC (Citygate) is pleased to present the scope of work and costs to prepare a service level assessment for the Orange County Fire Authority’s field deployment services.

PROJECT APPROACH

We propose to prepare the deployment service level assessment meeting the OCFA’s requested Statement of Work, incorporating guidelines and best practices from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the Commission on Fire Accreditation International (CFAI), federal and state laws and regulations, and other recognized industry best practices.

Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks over a six-month project schedule, incorporating all of the elements contained in OCFA’s Statement of Work. We will conduct the service level assessment pursuant to the following project Work Plan for a total cost not to exceed $122,061.

THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY’S REQUESTED ASSESSMENT

The OCFA has requested the following field deployment items to be reviewed:

Objective

◆ Provide a response system metrics review.

◆ Peer review OCFA response measures and tools to local need and best practices.
Provide advice on internal tools and personnel skill sets to conduct operational performance reviews to best practices internally going forward.

**Core Areas of Interest**

- Build an independent data performance model for assessment of OCFA deployment metrics.
- Build a model of deployment statistics and GIS to include traffic congestion.
- Advise on software analysis tools meeting the OCFA’s needs.

**Specific Tasks**

- Build a comprehensive assessment of response times and incident types by fire station first-due and community-wide service areas.
- Conduct a unit hour utilization workload assessment of all OCFA primary responding apparatus types.
- Prepare GIS models that measure and visualize the deployment needs and types of responses and time across the geography.
- Where incident workload for a unit or area exceeds best practice thresholds and results in lowered response time and capacity, conduct a gap analysis and offer recommendations for focused improvement.

**PROJECT WORK PLAN**

Citygate’s project approach for the requested Standards of Coverage assessment work is consistent with each Project Team member’s experience in fire service administration. Citygate utilizes various NFPA publications, the Insurance Services Office (ISO), and the self-assessment criteria of the CFAI as best practice guidelines. Citygate does not use simple or one-size-fits-all measures.

**Fire and EMS Deployment Methodology**

The core methodology used by Citygate in its deployment and operational response assessment work for this engagement will be that of the Standards of Coverage systems approach to fire department deployment as published by the CFAI. This is a systems-based approach using local risk and demographics to determine the level of protection best fitting the OCFA’s needs.
Deployment Assessment Methodology

Citygate proposes to provide a deployment assessment incorporating the following process elements:

1. **Existing deployment** – a description of the OCFA’s current fire and EMS response system.
   - The Citygate team will understand the OCFA’s existing fire and EMS deployment model, strategies, and performance measures.
   - The assessment will provide the OCFA with fire and EMS response performance goals from which it can adjust, if needed, the fire services deployment system, with a clear understanding of the costs involved with any recommended changes.
   - While this is not a study of adjacent fire agencies, the study will consider the impacts of the OCFA’s existing or potential mutual aid agreements on its fire and EMS deployment system.

2. **Community outcome expectations** – identification of what community stakeholders expect of the OCFA’s fire and EMS response system.
   - Citygate will update stakeholder expectations for fire, EMS, and special hazard responses.

3. **Distribution study** – evaluation of the location and effectiveness of first-due fire and EMS resources within the OCFA’s service area using the FireView™ software GIS mapping tool combined with cutting-edge traffic congestion analysis.

4. **Concentration study** – evaluation of the OCFA’s current fire and EMS response system to provide an effective multiple-resource response to serious emergencies using the FireView™ software GIS mapping tool.

5. **Historical reliability** – evaluation of the OCFA’s fire and EMS response system’s concurrent incident response performance utilizing the StatsFD™ software tool.

6. **Historical response effectiveness studies** – evaluation of the OCFA’s fire and EMS response system performance compared to existing OCFA or best practice performance goals.

7. **Overall evaluation** – revised deployment policy statements by risk type, as needed.
Citygate will provide a summary assessment of the deployment analysis, including recommended deployment policies as appropriate.

The overall evaluation will also include a summary assessment of the current fire and EMS response system’s performance throughout the OCFA’s service area, including the number and location of fire stations, quantity and types of apparatus, operational staffing levels, and specialized technical capabilities.

Citygate will recommend deployment and/or operational changes as appropriate to enhance fire service and EMS delivery, including implementation strategies, recommended timing, estimated costs, and potential funding sources.

Citygate’s recommended performance goals will be consistent with recognized guidelines and our experiences with large, diverse clients.

Citygate strongly encourages the OCFA to create a deployment analysis team, which will include a representative cross-section of key OCFA managers, operational staff, data analysts, and labor group leaders, to not only assist in growing Citygate’s understanding of the OCFA’s fire and EMS response system but also to be coached by Citygate on state-of-the-art deployment methods and tools.

Once the Citygate team has debated the data results internally to reach a preliminary understanding, the team will then meet at length with the OCFA’s deployment analysis team to explain and vet the initial results against the OCFA’s long-term agency knowledge and common sense about its deployment geography, statistics, and staffing. In this way, Citygate coaches, pushes against legacy thinking, and listens for issues that may indicate errors in the initial model results. This avoids a common trap of believing the model when local experience reveals a flaw in the first data build. Using this process of leveraging both teams’ strengths, the final work product is robust, meets the local situation, and is implementable.

Traffic Congestion

Citygate is the first consultancy (and still likely only) in the nation to utilize advanced traffic congestion data (not social media data) from which to model rush-hour impacted fire apparatus travel times. This is the same data used to display traffic congestion on mobile devices by coloring road networks green, yellow, and red. We have successfully used this tool in cities like San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento, and many more. A sample map and table from the City of San Sacramento, one of Citygate’ SOC clients, follows:
Figure 1—Sample – Congested vs. Non-Congested Residential First Alarm (ERF) Travel

Table 1—Road Mile Coverage for First-Due and First Alarm Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Total Public Road Miles</th>
<th>Non-Congested Miles Reached by Open Fire Stations</th>
<th>Congested Road Miles</th>
<th>Difference (Miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-minute travel, OPEN Fire Stations</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td>1,544 (86% of total public miles)</td>
<td></td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,544</td>
<td>989 (42.3% of open station area)</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citygate minimum First Alarm @ 8-minute travel, 3 engines, 1 ladder truck, 1 Battalion Chief</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td>1,521 (65.1% of total public miles)</td>
<td></td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,521 (28.5% of open station area)</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Reports

Given Citygate’s experience in local agency administration and presentation of complex issues to governing bodies, Citygate will create reports and briefing materials that make understanding the technical material easier while accepting the credibility of the conclusions and recommendations.

As requested by the OCFA, we will make draft findings and exhibits typical for this type of study available to the OCFA’s other cost of services consultants to ensure coordination across the different studies, such as the EMS and Fleet reviews.

This section details Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan to complete the Statement of Work requested. The proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks to be competed over a six-month project period, as follows:

| Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project |

Subtasks:

1.1 Develop Detailed Work Plan Schedule for the Project
   ✷ Citygate will develop a detailed work schedule for the project. This will assist both the consultants and OCFA staff to monitor project progress.

1.2 Request and Review OCFA Data and Documentation
   ✷ At the start of the project, Citygate will develop and submit a request for data/documentation relevant to this project, including Community Development General Plans within the OCFA’s service area; growth forecasts; any appropriate prior studies; OCFA documentation, including (as available) dispatch and incident data, fleet inventory, staffing, facilities, and response policies; and other relevant information.

   ✷ Citygate will also review travel time performance measure(s) and historical calls-for-service data from the OCFA’s data systems.

   ✷ Citygate will utilize a secure online file sharing service to make it convenient for OCFA staff to provide requested data/documentation.

   ✷ After receiving the requested documentation, Citygate will review it prior to conducting the start-up meeting and stakeholder interviews in the following subtasks. Citygate has found that reviewing this information prior to interviews improves the effectiveness and value of the interviews since it results in more specific questions and more definitive information.
1.3 Meet with OCFA Representatives to Initiate Project

◆ In collaboration with the OCFA deployment analysis team, Citygate will review and finalize a detailed project Work Plan, the schedule, activities, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and project benchmarks.

◆ To better understand the issues at stake in this project, Citygate, as appropriate and/or as requested, will meet with:
  ➢ The Fire Chief
  ➢ OCFA leadership and other key administrative/support staff
  ➢ Employee labor group leadership as/if directed
  ➢ Other project stakeholders from the contract communities.

◆ Citygate encourages clients to not only appoint a Project Manager as the focal point to coordinate with Citygate but to also appoint an internal deployment analysis team. The team can proof the draft data, contribute opinions, and provide feedback on technical and personnel issues in the OCFA. Finally, after Citygate has completed the project, the OCFA’s staff will have been “taught to fish” by understanding the project methods, OCFA data, and recommendations so they can continue the analyses, as well as explain it effectively to other OCFA personnel.

1.4 Ongoing Project Management

◆ Citygate will provide monthly written status reports, along with an invoice, that describe work performed in the prior month, work scheduled in the upcoming month, and any study issues or project and budget issues.

◆ In addition, if a serious issue is encountered at any point in the project, Citygate will immediately call and/or email the OCFA’s Project Manager to work on an effective, timely resolution.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one, one-day on-site visit during this task to initiate the project, establish relationships, and conduct stakeholder interviews.

Citygate will deliver the final project schedule and data/documentation request in writing. We will also provide monthly project status updates throughout the project duration.
Task 2: Standards of Coverage Assessment

Subtasks:

2.1 Community Served and Services Provided

The Citygate team will understand and describe the OCFA’s service area to include:

◆ General description, formation, and history of the OCFA.
◆ Service area description, including boundaries, authority, key demographic and socio-economic indicators, projected growth, values at risk, and existing risk mitigation programs.
◆ Description of services provided.
◆ Description and analysis of the OCFA’s current operational deployment model, including station locations, apparatus deployment, and operational staffing level.
◆ Identification, description, and review of any current mutual and/or automatic aid agreements.

2.2 Community Outcome Expectations and Performance Goals

◆ Citygate will review any existing community expectations and performance goals and identify and describe any differential expectations relative to fire protection services and response performance as a result of the stakeholder interviews.

2.3 Deployment Analysis

Citygate will use the FireView™ software GIS mapping tool, including traffic congestion data, to study the effectiveness of existing station locations to understand the existing deployment system performance and test proposed service measures by risk types in different zones for first-due, all-risk units.

◆ We also include traffic congestion impacts on response times and are the only firm currently capable of doing so. We will utilize TriTech to prepare analysis maps of the OCFA’s current and expected operational situation.

- **Distribution analysis** – Citygate will review the effectiveness of existing station locations to evaluate the deployment system’s performance by risk types in different zones for first-due, all-risk units. Citygate’s cost and scope of work includes the impacts of traffic congestion on response times.
Concentration analysis – Using prior incident statistics of coverage, Citygate will conduct an analysis of the OCFA’s capability to achieve an Effective Response Force (ERF) within best practice response times to resolve more serious/complex emergencies.

2.4 Historical Response Effectiveness and Reliability

Citygate will utilize StatsFD™ software to provide a comprehensive statistical analysis of:

- Current response workload of each staffed fire company, including crew unit hour utilization.
- Concurrent service demand and operational impacts.
- Historical response performance components.
- Mutual and automatic aid provided and received.

2.5 Overall Deployment Evaluation

Citygate will provide an overall deployment analysis summary, to include:

- A description of the current deployment system.
- A summary assessment of the current deployment system’s performance within the OCFA, including the number and location of fire stations, the quantity and types of apparatus, operational staffing levels, specialized technical capabilities, and first-due and ERF performance.

- Recommendation, as needed, of revised performance objectives by risk type, including measures and compliance methodologies in alignment with recognized industry best practices, community expectations, and current and prospective future OCFA resources.

  ➢ The recommended performance goals will be consistent with recognized guidelines from the NFPA, the CFAI, and the ISO.

- Identification of areas that are underserved, inefficient, or over-covered.
- Recommended deployment and/or operational changes as appropriate to enhance fire service and EMS delivery, including implementation strategies, recommended timing, and estimated costs.
Meetings and Deliverables

There is one on-site meeting in this task for follow-up work with the OCFA staff on deployment analysis techniques to date. Our deployment analysis, including applicable findings and recommendations, will be incorporated into the Draft Report in Task 4.

### Task 3: Mid-Project Draft Opinions Briefing

**Subtasks:**

3.1 **Prepare and Conduct a Preliminary Findings Briefing**

- Upon completion of Tasks 1 and 2, Citygate will conduct an on-site briefing of the deployment analysis findings for the OCFA’s deployment analysis team. This briefing will also include a discussion of any anomalies in the data and the resolution of any remaining issues.

- Pursuant to any input received from the OCFA’s Project Team, Citygate will make any data-driven changes and then refinements, if needed, will be incorporated into the Draft Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task to present the Mid-Project Briefing, which will be provided in MS-PowerPoint to the OCFA with supporting exhibits as appropriate.

### Task 4: Prepare Draft Report

**Subtasks:**

4.1 **Prepare Draft Deployment with Exhibits**

- The entire Citygate team will prepare a deployment Draft Report, including appropriate statistical and geographic mapping exhibits.

- Upon completion of the Draft Report, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the OCFA’s Project Manager for comments using the track changes and insert comments tools in MS-Word.

4.2 **Review Draft Report with OCFA’s Team**

- Citygate’s normal practice is to review the Draft Report with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate takes time to discuss
any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.

◆ Citygate will conduct an on-site meeting on the Draft Report, answer any questions, and agree on the elements for the Final Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task.

Task 5: Prepare and Present Final Report

5.1 Prepare and Submit Final Report

◆ The process of Final Report preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the recommendations were made, and how implementation should be accomplished.

◆ Based on results of the review process in Task 4, the Citygate team will prepare and submit an Executive Summary and comprehensive Final Report, including appropriate statistical and mapping exhibits. The report will include:

- A review of the approach and analyses conducted.
- A summary of the OCFA’s current deployment model and response performance, including any opportunities for improvement.
- Analysis of service delivery expectations, including labor, management, elected officials’, and community expectations for delivery of fire service, EMS, and special hazard service.
- An analysis of the values to be protected in the OCFA.
- An analysis of the efficiency of the current deployment scheme of firefighting resources within the OCFA’s fire stations.
- An analysis of the OCFA’s ability to meet its fire and EMS first responder deployment needs and expectations.
- Recommendations for deployment of existing resources, including probable growth, within the OCFA’s service area to optimize service delivery.
- Recommendations for deployment of new resources, if any, to meet current and future service delivery needs.
Provision of supporting data and rationale for all recommendations.

Provision of supporting statistics and other visual data to fully illustrate the current situation and consultant recommendations.

5.2 Final Report Presentation

Citygate will present key elements of the Final Report using Microsoft PowerPoint to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Executive Management team.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one partial-day meeting to present key elements of the Final Report.

Deliverables for this task include a comprehensive written Final Report, including statistical and mapping exhibits, and a Microsoft PowerPoint presentation of key elements of the Final Report.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Citygate anticipates that the duration of this project will be six months. Citygate’s schedule is presented below:

Proposed Project Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 SOC Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Draft Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-site meeting
CITYGATE PROJECT TEAM

The Citygate Project Team for this engagement includes the following experienced senior Citygate consultants:

Chief Stewart Gary, MPA, Public Safety Practice Principal / OCFA Project Manager

Chief Gary is the Public Safety Principal for Citygate Associates and is the retired Fire Chief of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department in Alameda County, California. In 1996, he successfully designed and led the implementation of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department consolidation. For many years, he was the lead instructor and program content developer for the Standards of Coverage process and annually taught a 40-hour course on this systems approach for fire deployment at the California Fire Academy. He consults on all aspects of fire and emergency medical services design, planning, and performance auditing. Over the last 15 years, he has performed over 300 studies for clients of all sizes and projects of all complexities, such as the counties of San Diego and Los Angeles (Fire EMS Bureau), the cities of San Jose and San Diego, and one-station rural districts.

Chief Gary has excellent problem solving and facilitation skills having used planning, team building, culture development, and process re-design tools to successfully design, lead, and manage the California League of Cities Helen Putnam Award-winning Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Consolidation. He also served his community of Livermore as a School Board and then City Council member for eight years, and he is a long-time Rotarian.

Chief Gary will serve as the Project Manager for this engagement and will manage all of the technical work, with an emphasis on deployment metrics, analysis, and adaptive deployment techniques to best meet future challenges, and oversee all written work products related to this study.

Chief Samuel Mazza, CFC, CFO, EFO, Senior Fire Services Specialist / OCFA Assistant Project Manager

Chief Mazza is a Senior Fire and Emergency Services Specialist with over 40 years of fire service experience. He is the retired Fire Chief of the City of Monterey, California, where he oversaw a successful consolidation of fire services with the City of Pacific Grove. Prior to his service with Monterey, Chief Mazza spent over 30 years with CAL FIRE in numerous assignments spanning state, county, and special district services. He has extensive collaborative and command experience, including appointment as the Incident Commander of a statewide Type-1 Incident Command Team. Chief
Mazza is a California state Certified Fire Chief, CPSE Chief Fire Officer, Executive Fire Officer, and National Fire Academy instructor. He has performed many community risk assessments for Citygate and assists with strategic planning.

*Chief Mazza will assist with the overall background information understanding and the future growth plans in the OCFA’s service area and assist Chief Gary with reporting and briefings.*

**Chief Garret Olson, MA, EFO, Strategic Planning Specialist**

Chief Olson, a second-generation Firefighter and Fire Chief, began his fire service career in 1988 as a paid-call firefighter with the Fire Department in Orange County, California.

In 1990, Chief Olson was hired as a 9-1-1 dispatcher for the Fire Department in Long Beach, California. He joined the Fire Department in Mesa, Arizona, later that same year and held the positions of Firefighter, Fire Engineer, Fire Captain, Battalion Chief, and Deputy Fire Chief. He was a certified Paramedic and Technical Rescue Technician.

In 2001, Chief Olson was deployed to New York City to assist with recovery from the September 11 terrorist attacks, serving as the government liaison between the City and the American Red Cross. In 2004, Chief Olson was recruited to join the City of Scottsdale, Arizona, in building its new municipal fire department. He served as Deputy Chief of Training and Special Operations and the Deputy Chief of Field Operations before being promoted to Fire Chief in 2011. During his tenure as Fire Chief, Chief Olson was proud to lead efforts to initiate changes in Department staffing and deployment, strategic planning, organizational culture, and the Department’s community-focused mission.

In 2012, Chief Olson returned to his home state of California to become the first ever Deputy Chief in the long-established San Luis Obispo City Fire Department. For the previous 138 years, San Luis Obispo City Fire did not have a second-in-command to the Fire Chief. Bringing this new position to life in a Department steeped with tradition was an exciting opportunity. In 2013, Olson was then selected to lead the San Luis Obispo City Fire Department as its Fire Chief.

*Chief Olson will assist with the review of the incident demands by community.*
Eric Lind, MA, Statistical and Operations Analysis Associate

Mr. Lind’s 18 years’ experience spans several industries, including two years in municipal government as a performance improvement analyst. His municipal government experience has largely focused on public safety performance improvement projects. He has developed baseline system-wide EMS response time capability and testing alternative models, reviewed MPDS systems and dispatch priorities for EMS systems, and improved Fire/EMS dispatch process flow. He has also performed a fire facilities location study, alternative fire service delivery modeling, and an administrative performance assessment of civilian police staff.

Mr. Lind has used performance improvement and business transformation techniques throughout his career across the globe. He is skilled with developing and conducting statistical research to answer operations questions. He is equally comfortable with survey research. Mr. Lind has two published survey research papers, including one he developed for Rotary International.

Mr. Lind is a Lean Six Sigma Certified Black Belt and has a bachelor’s degree and two master’s degrees in international business, with both master’s degrees from different countries.

Mr. Lind will assist with the staff workload statistical research needs.

TriTech Software Systems, Geo-Mapping Specialist

TriTech (formerly The Omega Group) has assisted Citygate for over 10 years. TriTech has revolutionized the public safety industry by becoming one of the first vendors to embed mapping technology into computer-aided dispatch software and to develop one of the most sophisticated recommendation algorithms. Today, TriTech leads the way as the undisputed leader with software that covers every facet within the incident-response workflow, including 9-1-1, computer-aided dispatch, field-based reporting, records management, jail management, analytics and intelligence, patient care reporting, and ambulance billing software. Providing customers with unmatched satisfaction levels and delivering innovative solutions has made TriTech the most trusted partner in public safety software.

TriTech’s GIS deployment specialist will assist with the spatial mapping of incident statistical trends across the diverse service area.
Michael D. Fay (Animated Data), Statistical Specialist

Mr. Fay has assisted Citygate with deployment studies for over 10 years. He has over 30 years’ experience and has served as a firefighter, EMS director, educator, consultant, and publisher. As President of Animated Data, Inc., he is the designer and publisher of StatsFD, formerly NFIRS 5 Alive. Using standard NFIRS 5 datasets, StatsFD quickly performs diagnostic analysis of fire department operations.

Mr. Fay will provide incident statistical research using his StatsFD software tool.

David DeRoos, MPA, CMC, Citygate President

Mr. DeRoos has over 30 years of experience as a consultant to local government, preceded by five years as an assistant to the City Administrator. He earned his undergraduate degree in political science / public service (Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Davis and holds a Master of Public Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Prior to becoming a Principal in Citygate in 1991, he was a Senior Manager in the local government consulting division of Ernst & Young.

Mr. DeRoos is responsible for ensuring the assessment is conducted smoothly and efficiently within the schedule and budget allocated and that assessment deliverables meet Citygate’s and the client’s quality standards.

PROJECT FEES

Our charges are based on actual time spent by our consultants at their established billing rates, plus reimbursable expenses incurred in conjunction with travel, printing, clerical, and support services related to the engagement. Our travel as needed for out-of-area consultants is budgeted at Southwest Airlines full fares and average local hotel rates. If advance planning allows, then lower fares will be used. In either event, the OCFA only pays the actual out-of-pocket expenses.

We will undertake this study for a not-to-exceed total cost based on our proposed project Work Plan, Statement of Work, and schedule as presented in the following table. Any additional work outside the scope of services described in this proposal, as mutually agreed to in writing as a change order, will be billed at the hourly rate of the respective consultant(s), including any reimbursable expenses plus a five percent administrative fee.
Project Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulting Fees of Project Team</th>
<th>Reimbursable Expenses</th>
<th>Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)</th>
<th>GIS Data with Traffic Congestion</th>
<th>Total Citygate Project Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$99,005</td>
<td>$9,156</td>
<td>$4,950</td>
<td>$8,950</td>
<td>$122,061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed Project Hours

The following is a breakdown of project hours by task:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Stewart Gary</th>
<th>Samuel Mazza</th>
<th>Garrett Olson</th>
<th>Eric Lind</th>
<th>Triftech</th>
<th>Animated Data</th>
<th>David DeRoos</th>
<th>Administrative Project &amp; Report Support</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Deployment Assessment</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Draft Report</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>62</strong></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>95</strong></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>71</strong></td>
<td><strong>452</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost proposal reflects our best effort to be responsive to the OCFA’s needs for this project, as we understand them, at a reasonable cost. If our proposed scope of work and/or cost is not in alignment with the OCFA’s needs or expectations, we are open to discussing modifications to our proposed scope of work and associated costs.

Citygate’s proposal includes one (1) draft review cycle as described in Task 4 of the Work Plan, to be completed by Citygate and the OCFA within 30 calendar days of the OCFA receiving the Draft Report. Additional Draft Report cycles or processing delays requested by the OCFA would be billed in addition to the contracted amount at Citygate’s time and materials rates. When changes are agreed upon, Citygate will provide the Final Report in reproducible .PDF format. The Draft Report will be considered to be the Final Report if there are no suggested changes within 30 days of the delivery of the Draft Report.
Standard Hourly Billing Rates

Citygate Consultants and Staff Hourly Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Gary</td>
<td>Public Safety Principal / OCFA Project Manager</td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mazza</td>
<td>Senior Fire Services Specialist / OCFA Project Assistant Manager</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garret Olson</td>
<td>Strategic Planning Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Lind</td>
<td>Statistical and Operations Analysis Associate</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriTech</td>
<td>Geo-Mapping Specialist</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animated Data</td>
<td>Statistical Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Project Support &amp; Oversight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeRoos</td>
<td>Citygate President</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Project Report Administrator</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Billing Schedule

We will bill monthly for time, reimbursable expenses incurred at actual costs (travel), plus a five percent (5%) administration charge in lieu of individual charges for copies, phone, etc. Our invoices are payable within thirty (30) days. Citygate’s billing terms are net thirty (30) days plus two percent (2%) for day thirty-one (31) and two percent (2%) per month thereafter. Our practice is to send both our monthly status report and invoice electronically. Once we are selected for this project, we will request the email for the appropriate recipients of the electronic documents. Hard copies of these documents will be provided only upon request. We prefer to receive payment via ACH Transfer, if available.

*  *  *

As President of the firm, I am authorized to execute a binding contract on behalf of Citygate Associates, LLC. Please feel free to contact me at our headquarters office, located in Folsom, California, at (916) 458-5100, extension 101, or via email at dderoos@citygateassociates.com if you wish further information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

cc: Stewart Gary
December 13, 2018

Brian Fennessy
Fire Chief
Orange County Fire Authority
brianfennessy@ocfa.org

RE: PROPOSAL TO PERFORM A SERVICE LEVEL ASSESSMENT OF THE EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP TEAM AND HUMAN RESOURCES FUNCTIONS FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY

Dear Chief Fennessy:

In response to your request under our Master Agreement, Citygate Associates, LLC (Citygate) is pleased to present the scope of work and costs to prepare a service level assessment for the Orange County Fire Authority’s (OCFA) Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources functions.

PROJECT APPROACH

We propose to prepare our service level assessment to meet the needs of leading and managing personnel by incorporating guidelines and best practices from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), the Commission on Fire Accreditation International, relevant federal and state laws and regulations, and other recognized industry best practices.

Citygate’s proposed project Work Plan consists of five tasks over a six- to eight-month project schedule, starting in February 2019. We will begin reviewing the Executive Management function and then work further down the organization chart into Human Resources functions. Our Work Plan incorporates the elements contained in the following Statement of Work. We will conduct the service level assessment work pursuant to the project Work Plan presented in this proposal for a total cost not to exceed $186,874.
THE ORANGE COUNTY FIRE AUTHORITY’S REQUESTED ASSESSMENT

The OCFA has requested the following items to be reviewed:

Objective

 conduct an objective service level assessment of OCFA’s Executive Management and Human Resources functions to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of leadership and personnel operations, and ensure compliance with policies/procedures, best practices, and regulatory agency requirements.

Provide service level assessment coordination and strategic advice to the Executive Leadership Team as all of the service level assessments are being conducted and provide continuity of effort into the final step of strategic planning.

Core Areas of Interest

Do the structure and operations of the Executive Leadership Team and Human Resource functions meet OCFA’s operational needs?

Are Corporate Communications and Public Information functions meeting OCFA’s needs and consistent with contemporary best practices?

How effective are the decision-making and time utilization methods and/or structures in the Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources functions?

Is the management and oversight of personnel functions consistent with best practices and regulatory requirements?

Are the Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources functions appropriately staffed and equipped to deal with anticipated and unforeseen surges in activity?

Are there any areas of weakness or vulnerability within these functions that require attention?

What are the opportunities to improve efficiency, performance, and service delivery in these functions?

What technologies and best practices should be considered for these functions?

Assumptions

That the existing organizational structure, division of duties, and reporting relationships are sufficient given the recent high level re-organization.
Specific Questions/Tasks

- Observe and review Executive Leadership Team interactions, communications, and decision flow as to how they meet the overall organizational needs,
- Provide coaching and ad hoc assistance to OCFA senior leadership as requested.
- Provide integration across all of the service level assessments into Executive Leadership Team and budget decisions until the overall Strategic Plan is completed and adopted.
- Policies/procedures:
  - Are policies/procedures up-to-date and meeting best practices?
  - Are policies and procedures clearly understood and consistently applied?
  - Are levels of service clearly identified and understood by all?
- Are memorandums and directives translated into policies/procedures in reasonable timeframes?
- Public Information functional review—is the program delivering the desired results?
- Human Resources functions and personnel internal controls:
  - Are there adequate controls in place for Human Resources?
  - Are overall Human Resources services meeting the needs of OCFA and regulatory requirements?
  - Is there effective coordination of Human Resources and labor relations policies with Executive Management?
  - Is the staffing in these functions adequate to meet regulatory requirements?

**PROJECT WORK PLAN**

Our proposed Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources functions service level assessment Work Plan consists of five broad tasks across six to eight months, as follows.
Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project and Provide Integration of All the Service Level Assessments and Strategic Planning

Subtasks:

1.1 Develop Detailed Work Plan Schedule for Project

We will develop a detailed work schedule for the project. This will assist both Citygate’s team and the OCFA staff to monitor project progress.

1.2 Request and Review Authority Data and Documentation

At the start of the project, Citygate will develop and submit a request for data/documentation relevant to this project, including documents describing the Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources organization, services, budgets, expenses, and performance measures, if any. This questionnaire is extensive and will require the unit managers to produce existing documents about their operations, including workload measures and demand forecasts as available.

Citygate will utilize a secure online file sharing service to make it convenient for OCFA staff to provide requested data/documentation.

After receiving the requested documentation, Citygate’s team will review it prior to conducting the start-up meeting and stakeholder interviews in the following subtasks. Citygate has found that reviewing this information prior to interviews improves the effectiveness and value of the interviews since it results in more specific questions and more definitive information.

1.3 Project Kick-Off Meeting

Citygate will conduct a project kick-off meeting with the Fire Chief and any designated stakeholder representatives. Citygate’s project staff will meet with the OCFA’s project team and key stakeholders to establish mutual acquaintance, clarify roles, and reach a mutual understanding of the future vision and plans for the assessment. Prior to the meeting, Citygate will thoroughly review any available documentation or material the OCFA can make available from previous relevant work on the project, such as previous studies, Board letters, standard operating procedures, contracts, as-built documentation, presentation and training material, etc. Citygate’s Project Manager will facilitate the meeting and will review:

- Project and task milestones, schedules, and deliverables
Project budget

Scheduling of interviews with user and stakeholder representatives

Scheduling of progress review meetings

Collection of any existing material and discussion of any other available information on each of the current systems/subsystems.

A step-by-step review of the content and outline of the OCFA’s plan and other deliverables for this phase of the project will be conducted during the project kick-off meeting. Any updates or changes from the initial outline will be documented for mutual agreement and to ensure that all expectations of the OCFA are addressed in the plan.

1.4 Ongoing Project Management

Citygate will provide monthly written status reports, along with an invoice, that describe work performed in the prior month, work scheduled in the upcoming month, and any study issues or project and budget issues.

In addition, if a serious issue is encountered at any point in the project, Citygate will immediately call and/or email the OCFA’s Project Manager to work on an effective, timely resolution.

1.5 Provide Integration of All the Service Level Assessments and Strategic Planning

Citygate’s Project Manager will provide service level assessment coordination and strategic advice to the Executive Leadership Team as all of the service level assessments are being conducted and provide continuity of effort into the final step of strategic planning.

Meetings and Deliverables

Conduct project kick-off meeting on site

Deliver draft project plan

Provide monthly project status update
Task 2: Data/Information Gathering and Analysis

Subtasks:

2.1 Schedule Data/Information Gathering Meetings

ู่ In conjunction with the kick-off meeting, Citygate’s team will work with the OCFA to schedule initial data gathering meetings.

2.2 Conduct Data/Information Gathering Meetings

ู่ It is anticipated that Citygate will be on site for two initial days for data follow-up and issues listening to managers and line staff across the Executive Management and Human Resources functions.

2.3 Conduct Detailed Analysis

ู่ The Citygate team will conduct detailed analysis of the Executive Leadership Team and Human Resources functions to address all Statement of Work questions and tasks.

ступил As needed, Citygate will conduct conference calls and/or submit written documents/questionnaires to clarify information obtained through our data and document request and our initial interviews.

Meetings and Deliverables

Up to four on-site days are anticipated for this task, which may be possible to partially combine with the on-site trip in Task 1.

Task 3: Mid-Project Draft Opinions Briefing

Subtasks:

3.1 Prepare and Conduct a Mid-Project Briefing

ู่ Upon completion of Tasks 1 and 2, the Citygate team will prepare and conduct an on-site briefing to preview findings and recommendations. This briefing will also include a discussion of any anomalies in the data and the resolution of any remaining issues.

ступил Pursuant to any input received from the briefing, Citygate will make any data-driven changes and then refinements, if needed, will be incorporated into the Draft Report.
Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meeting for this task to present the Mid-Project Briefing, which will be provided in MS-PowerPoint to the OCFA.

Task 4: Prepare Draft Report

Subtasks:

4.1 Prepare Draft Report with Exhibits

- The Citygate team will prepare a Draft Report, including appropriate exhibits.
- Upon completion of the Draft Report, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the OCFA’s Project Manager for comments using the track changes and insert comments tools in MS-Word.

4.2 Review Draft Report with OCFA Planning Assessment Team

- Citygate’s normal practice is to review Draft Reports with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate takes time to discuss any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.
- The Citygate team will conduct a site meeting to review the Draft Report, answer any questions, and agree on the elements for the Final Report.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one on-site meetings for this task.

Task 5: Prepare and Present Final Report

Subtasks:

5.1 Prepare and Submit Final Report

- The process of Final Report preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the recommendations were made, and how implementation should be accomplished.
Based on results of the review process in Task 4, the Citygate team will prepare and submit an Executive Summary and comprehensive Final Report, including appropriate exhibits. The report will:

- Describe why the functions are being reviewed
- Describe how the Citygate team performed the analysis
- Describe best practice benchmarks
- Present technical review findings
- Present actionable recommendations
- Describe metrics and future needs for the functions as an input to the overall OCFA applied strategic plan, which is continually built through each additional cost center service level review.

5.2 Final Report Presentation

The Citygate Project Manager and other key consultants will present key elements of the Final Report using Microsoft PowerPoint to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Executive Management team.

Meetings and Deliverables

There will be one partial-day meeting to present key elements of the Final Report to an audience as determined by the OCFA’s Project Manager.

Project Schedule

Citygate anticipates that this project will take six to eight months to complete, starting in February 2019. A sample eight-month schedule is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
<th>Month 7</th>
<th>Month 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Initiate and Manage Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Draft Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-site meeting
CITYGATE PROJECT TEAM

The Citygate Project Team for this engagement includes the following experienced senior Citygate consultants:

Chief Stewart Gary, MPA, Public Safety Practice Principal / OCFA Project Manager

Chief Gary is the Public Safety Principal for Citygate Associates and is the retired Fire Chief of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department in Alameda County, California. In 1996, he successfully designed and led the implementation of the Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department consolidation. For many years, he was the lead instructor and program content developer for the Standards of Coverage process and annually taught a 40-hour course on this systems approach for fire deployment at the California Fire Academy. He consults on all aspects of fire and EMS services design, planning, and performance auditing. Over the last 15 years, he has performed over 300 studies for clients of all sizes and projects of all complexities, such as the counties of San Diego and Los Angeles (Fire EMS Bureau), the cities of San Jose and San Diego, and one-station rural districts.

Chief Gary has excellent problem solving and facilitation skills having used planning, team building, culture development, and process re-design tools to successfully design, lead, and manage the California League of Cities Helen Putnam award-winning Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department Consolidation. He also served his community of Livermore as a School Board and then City Council member for eight years, and is a long-time Rotarian.

Chief Gary will serve as the Project Manager for this engagement and will manage all of the technical work and written work products related to these areas of review and provide overall integration of the service level assessments and strategic planning.

Janet Upton, Public Safety Corporate Communications Specialist

Ms. Upton has over 31 years of local and state fire service experience, including a decade as a member of the command staff for CAL FIRE’s Incident Management Team 5. She also has nearly a decade of experience serving on CAL FIRE’s executive team as an appointee of two governors. Her duties as a chief officer included the development and oversight of the crisis communications, public information and public education programs for one of the largest fire departments in the United States. Ms. Upton holds a bachelor’s degree and State of California Multiple Subjects Teaching Credential from CSU, Chico and is an alumna of the National Fire Academy. She is active in her community, volunteering her time in the areas of children’s health, animal rescue, and arts/culture.
Ms. Upton will assist with the review of Executive Leadership Team interpersonal functions and Corporate Communications.

Chief Michael Dyer, MPA, Fire & Emergency Services Specialist

Fire Chief Michael W. Dyer began his public safety career over 36 years ago. This career has taken him through various assignments that afforded him the opportunity to gain invaluable experience in many different disciplines, either as a direct participant, supervisor, or manager.

Chief Dyer has served as an Ocean Lifeguard Specialist, Firefighter, Firefighter Paramedic, Firefighter Specialist, Fire Captain, Battalion Chief, Assistant Fire Chief, Deputy Chief, and Chief Deputy in the Los Angeles County Fire Department, and is the retired Fire Chief of the Santa Barbara County Fire Department. Chief Dyer previously held the #2 ranking position in the Los Angeles County Fire Department.

Chief Dyer holds a Bachelor’s Degree in Physical Education and a Master’s Degree in Public Administration from California State University, Northridge. Chief Dyer recently completed the Executive Leadership Program at the Naval Postgraduate School. In addition to his formal education, he is also certified as a Hazardous Materials Specialist, Paramedic, and achieved the certification of Fire Chief from the California State Fire Marshal.

Chief Dyer recently served on several state wide committees. He served on the California Joint Apprenticeship Management Board, Chair of CALFIRE Contract Counties, and the Chair of the FIRESCOPE Board of Directors.

Chief Dyer will assist with the review of Executive Leadership Team functions overall and internal communication of strategies and intent.

Jane Chambers, MPA, ICMA-CM, Local Government Management Specialist

Ms. Chambers is a Senior Associate with Citygate Associates. Ms. Chambers’ 25 years in local government includes executive leadership as a City Manager, Assistant City Manager, Public Works Director, and Human Resources Director in full-service urban and suburban communities (Burbank, CA; Daly City, CA; San Bruno, CA; and Burnsville, MN).

Ms. Chambers served as Ukiah, CA City Manager for seven years, retiring in June 2015, and then served as Interim Assistant City Manager for the City of Sunnyvale, CA, and has recently again provided interim support to the City Manager’s office in Sunnyvale during its permanent Deputy City Manager search process. Throughout her career, Ms. Chambers successfully implemented strategic realignment of service
delivery systems, including financial resources, to achieve improved and sustainable programs for citizens.

Ms. Chambers has expertise assisting elected officials, city staff, and community stakeholders identify and achieve desired goals in complex financial and operational environments, as well as operational and service delivery experience in economic and community development, housing, human resources, parks and recreation, public works, water, sewer, and solid waste. Ms. Chambers is an ICMA Retired Credentialed Manager, having earned and maintained this recognition annually for more than a decade, and earned a master’s of Public Administration Degree from UCLA, and an undergraduate degree in Political Science from California State University, Northridge.

Ms. Chambers will assist with the general Human Resources review, focusing on how Human Resources assists with overall leadership strategy implementation.

Mario Beas, MPA, IPMA-CP, Human Resources Specialist

Mr. Beas has 34 years of municipal human resources experience, including 28 years of human resource management experience. Prior to his retirement in 2013, he served for 17 years as the Executive Director for the City of Long Beach, CA Civil Service Department. He also worked in two other leadership positions for the City of Long Beach—Recruitment Officer and Deputy Director. In addition, he worked as the Director of Personnel for the City of Monterey Park. Mr. Beas has extensive experience in recruitment, employee selection processes, program development, and training. Throughout his career, Mr. Beas has developed and implemented innovative ideas to enhance diversity in selection processes, streamline operations to improve efficiency, and trained staff at all levels in human resources topics. After retirement, Mr. Beas worked as interim Director of Human Resources for the City of Compton and the City of Commerce. Throughout his career and while retired he served as a consultant to multiple public agencies and organizations.

While working, Mr. Beas was active in the profession. He served as President on the Board of Directors of the Western Region International Public Management Association-Human Resources (WRIPMA-HR). He was also a board member, and President of the South California Public Management Association-Human Resources (SCPMA-HR), of which he was honored with a Lifetime Membership. He holds an IPMA-CP, a professional certification offered to successful public sector human resource professionals. Mr. Beas earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from UC Davis and a Master’s degree in Public Administration from CSU Long Beach.

Mr. Beas will assist with the general Human Resources technical services staffing review.
Steven Harman, MPA, IPMA-CP, Senior Human Resources Specialist

Mr. Steven Harman is an experienced and acknowledged leader in the public sector human resource management community, and retired as the Director of Human Resources in the City of Livermore. He has more than thirty-two years of personnel management experience covering the full array of management functions including recruitment and selection, classification and compensation, training and development, policy and procedure development and other related areas. Mr. Harman has extensive experience in providing human resource management services for fire departments. He is a certified expert witness in California and Federal Courts in matters pertaining to employment discrimination and wrongful termination. Mr. Harman has assisted Chief Gary with many large fire and EMS reviews, including for Los Angeles County and the City of San Jose.

Mr. Harman will assist with the Human Resources policies and regulatory compliance review.

David DeRoos, MPA, CMC, Citygate President

Mr. DeRoos has over 30 years of experience as a consultant to local government, preceded by five years as an assistant to the City Administrator. He earned his undergraduate degree in political science / public service (Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Davis and holds a Master of Public Administration degree from the University of Southern California. Prior to becoming a Principal in Citygate in 1991, he was a Senior Manager in the local government consulting division of Ernst & Young.

Mr. DeRoos is responsible for ensuring the assessment is conducted smoothly and efficiently within the schedule and budget allocated and that assessment deliverables meet Citygate’s and the client’s quality standards.

**PROJECT FEES**

Our charges are based on actual time spent by our consultants at their established billing rates, plus reimbursable expenses incurred in conjunction with travel, printing, clerical, and support services related to the engagement. Our travel as needed for out-of-area consultants is budgeted at Southwest Airlines full fares and average local hotel rates. If advance planning allows, then lower fares will be used. In either event, the OCFA only pays the actual out-of-pocket expenses.

We will undertake this study for a not-to-exceed total cost based on our proposed project Work Plan, Statement of Work, and schedule as presented in the following table. Any additional work outside the scope of services described in this proposal, as mutually agreed to in writing as a
change order, will be billed at the hourly rate of the respective consultant(s), including any reimbursable expenses plus a five percent administrative fee.

**Project Cost Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulting Fees of Project Team</th>
<th>Reimbursable Expenses</th>
<th>Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)</th>
<th>Total Citygate Project Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$158,150</td>
<td>$20,816</td>
<td>$7,908</td>
<td>$186,874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed Project Hours**

The following is a breakdown of project hours by task:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Stewart</th>
<th>Gary</th>
<th>Janet</th>
<th>Upon</th>
<th>Michael</th>
<th>Dyer</th>
<th>Jane</th>
<th>Chambers</th>
<th>Mario</th>
<th>Beas</th>
<th>Steven</th>
<th>Harman</th>
<th>David</th>
<th>DeRoos</th>
<th>Administrative Project &amp; Report Support</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initiate and Manage the Project</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data Gathering and Analysis</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mid-Project Briefing</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Draft Report</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final Report and Presentation</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>714</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost proposal reflects our best effort to be responsive to the OCFA’s needs for this project, as we understand them, at a reasonable cost. If our proposed scope of work and/or cost is not in alignment with the OCFA’s needs or expectations, we are open to discussing modifications to our proposed scope of work and associated costs.

Citygate’s proposal includes one (1) draft review cycle as described in Task 4 of the Work Plan, to be completed by Citygate and the OCFA within 30 calendar days of the OCFA receiving the Draft Report. Additional Draft Report cycles or processing delays requested by the OCFA would be billed in addition to the contracted amount at Citygate’s time and materials rates. When changes are agreed upon, Citygate will provide the Final Report in reproducible .PDF format. The Draft Report will be considered to be the Final Report if there are no suggested changes within 30 days of the delivery of the Draft Report.
Standard Hourly Billing Rates

Citygate Consultants and Staff Hourly Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Hourly Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Gary</td>
<td>Public Safety Principal / OCFA Project Manager</td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Upton</td>
<td>Public Safety Corporate Communications Specialist</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Dyer</td>
<td>Fire &amp; Emergency Services Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Chambers</td>
<td>Local Government Management Specialist</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Beas</td>
<td>Human Resources Specialist</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Harman</td>
<td>Senior Human Resources Specialist</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citygate Project Support &amp; Oversight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeRoos</td>
<td>Citygate President</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Project Report Administrator</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Billing Schedule

We will bill monthly for time, reimbursable expenses incurred at actual costs (travel), plus a five percent (5%) administration charge in lieu of individual charges for copies, phone, etc. Our invoices are payable within thirty (30) days. Citygate’s billing terms are net thirty (30) days plus two percent (2%) for day thirty-one (31) and two percent (2%) per month thereafter. Our practice is to send both our monthly status report and invoice electronically. Once we are selected for this project, we will request the email for the appropriate recipients of the electronic documents. Hard copies of these documents will be provided only upon request. We prefer to receive payment via ACH Transfer, if available.

* * *

As President of the firm, I am authorized to execute a binding contract on behalf of Citygate Associates, LLC. Please feel free to contact me at our headquarters office, located in Folsom, California, at (916) 458-5100, extension 101, or via email at dderoos@citygateassociates.com if you wish further information.

Sincerely,

David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

cc: Stewart Gary